


And in  
the  
end



SKANE

# Anduin

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* #33 By Eric Kane 11 June, 1984 \*  
\* 1981IP, IR, KI, 1982HF, Bxm02, Qhp01, 1984Brv08 \*  
\*\*\*\*\*  
Circulation: 75

## FROM THE WIZARD'S MOUTH:

Hmmm, with the circulation slipping again it's time for me to send out a batch of samples. So, to those of you reading this for the first time, welcome to Anduin. This is the "chat" section where I normally "chat". Currently it is titled as above but I'm getting tired of that name. Since Greg Stewart is no longer a subber, my image as a teen-aged wizard has faded considerably. I think starting in September I will entitle this section as: "The View From JHU". Pretty nifty, huh?

I've taken my AP tests, and school is just about wrapped up. The Prom is on June 13th and we're holding it at Windows On The World Restaurant at the World's Trade Center. I find it hard to believe that high school will be over in a matter of weeks. There are a lot of people I'm going to miss.

I attended MaryCon in Fredericksburg, VA on June 2-3. Dave Lincoln, whom I drove down with along with Bruce Linsey and John Ferguson, won the tournament. I finished with the Best England award and John got the Best Russia award. We are now the proud owners of huge Blue and White fleets respectively. My Best England was a 17/17 draw with Jeff Bohner's Germany. I got to meet long time Anduin subscribers Mark Murray and Don Scheifler for the first time as well as see several other friends. All in all it was a great convention and a lot of fun.

Plans are being made for KaneKon II, to be held here at my house. The scheduled date is August 10-12. Events planned include Dip, Civilization, other games and, most importantly, the annual trip to Jones Beach where we will bury Bruce in the sand and let the tide come in. Already planning on attending are Ed Wrobel, Bruce Linsey, Ken Peel, Phil Dancause, Kevin Stone and a bunch of Great Neckers. I presume several of the other locals will show up, such as Byrne & Caruso, Cameron, Felella and Palter. It should be a good time. If you are interested in coming, please give me a call or drop me a note. The No Smoking rule will be enforced and I will take great offense at anyone trying to smoke within the confines of my house. Beer drinking will also be minimalized, but not nearly as extensively as smoking (can something be extensively minimalized?). In other words, don't plan on getting blasted - my parents would not take it kindly! Still there are other ways to have fun so don't let these 'houserules' keep you away.

I've decided to discontinue my calendar project. I don't think I can do an adequate job while attending Johns Hopkins and I want to keep my Dippy involvement at a minimum next year anyway. Is there anyone out there who would like to volunteer to do the job? I'll be glad to help anyone get started and to pass on any information I have. I think it is a valuable hobby service, but it needs someone who can give it the attention it deserves. Please contact me.

CONJURING UP THE ISSUE

From The Wizard's Mouth.....p1  
Table of Contents and Colophon.....p2  
Scrolls, Spells and Other Letters.....p3-  
.....Scott Cameron.....Rod Walker.....Dan Falter.....Kenneth  
Halpern.....p9  
1981IP -- "Spring, 1912".....p10  
"Sorry Folks".....p10  
1981KI -- "Fall, 1911".....p11  
1981IR -- "Spring, 1910".....p12  
1982HF -- "Spring, 1908".....p13  
1982Bxm02 -- "Spring, 1908".....p14  
1982Qhp01 -- "Fall, 1891".....p15-17  
1984Brv08 -- "Spring, 1902".....p17-18  
Kingmaker -- Turn 7.....p19  
Mailing Cover.....p20  
+++++

**Anduin** is a journal for the play of postal Diplomacy and other games (including Diplomacy Variants, Chess and Kingmaker); published every 5 weeks by me, Eric Kane, 109 Hicks Lane, Great Neck, NY 11024. (516) 466-2361 EST.

Subscriptions can be obtained at the rate of \$6/10 issues or \$10/20 issues. You can also earn subscription credit by writing something for print (other than a letter). The rate of payment is 2 issues/typed page.

There are no game openings currently, nor will there be any for a very long time.

Stand-by list for Regular Dip: Jung, Hakey, Murray, Quirk, Makuc, Ansoff, Corbin, Anderson, Lorber, Dancause, Frueh, Felella & Ferguson.

Stand-by list for variants: Scheifler, Anderson, Keller, Quirk, Dancause, Makuc, Chisholm & Lorber.

Stand-by list for Kingmaker: Brawner & Lorber. Stand-bys receive 2 free issues upon completion of their assignments. To get on the list all you need to do is say so and maintain a subscription. Please let me know if you want on or off the list.

+++++

**See-List:** Gremlins \*1/2; The Natural \*\*\*; Conan, The Destroyer \*\*; Indiana Jones In The Temple of Doom \*\*\*1/2; Star Trek III, The Search for Spock \*\*1/2; Ghostbusters \*\*\*\* This is the one to see!

**Play-List:** Beethoven, Symphony No. 9 - \*\*\*\*\* (All 79 minutes!)  
Beethoven, Concerto No. 5 (The Emperor) \*\*\*\*\* (Good stuff!)

+++++

Gregory Ellis is starting up a "zine" of sorts to be called **Feuilletonist's Forum** (700 Rio Grande, Austin, TX, 78701.) Texas is my second least-favorite state next to California, but Greg is a good writer (from what I've seen mainly in VoD) so give 'em a chance. He plans on running a game of Presidential Politics, and if I had the time, I would most certainly join. But I'll look forward to following it. Send him a SASE and tell him who sent you!

THE DEADLINE FOR ALL ANDUIN GAMES IS THURSDAY, AUGUST 9, 1984

## SCROLLS, SPELLS AND OTHER LETTERS

From Scott Cameron - Hicksville, NY:

Your discussion last issue with Rod Walker on morals was interesting but failed to reach the heart of the matter. The point I think you both missed is that a person cannot even claim to have morals without believing in a religion or religious-type philosophy (Rod's belief that principles established over a long period of time by rational people constitute morals falls into this latter category). If you don't claim to know of a guiding force to the world, then there can be no basis for an ostensibly universal system of morals (all moral systems are universal by nature--if they're not universal, then they're opinions, not morals). Either everybody is subject to the same higher power and therefore the same morals imposed by that power, or they are not.

In the absence of this guiding force (and as a nihilist I certainly doubt the existence of this force, even if you still seem to have some notion of "societal good")), morals can be seen to be merely justifications for the actions people take. For example, a person who decides for whatever reason not to drink alcohol will say, "drinking alcohol is wrong/immoral so I don't do it" (or "drinking alcohol is unhealthy and my body is the temple of the Lord" or some such). Meanwhile, someone who does drink alcohol would not have an idea like this as part of his moral system. Morals can therefore be said to include the whole range of personal decisions from choice of clothes ("I don't like checked ties") to decisions on government ("I think all Italians should be rounded up and shot"). Each of these decisions are made for the same reasons (personal convenience or prejudice) and with the same justification (little or none). In reality, morals simply serve as excuses for doing something you want to do or not doing something you wouldn't do anyway. ((I think the point you are missing is that a person's moral code is based (hopefully) on some sort of logical building block. Thus he/she would not dislike Italians unless there was a good reason not to like Italians. As for checked ties, that is a matter of personal preference and I'll grant you that there is no single cohesive or logical factor guiding one's preference in ties or art or anything else for that matter. It's a matter of taste. I happen to like Led Zeppelin, but I also enjoy Beethoven. Sure people have different morals. If you prefer to call them opinions or ethics, that is fine -- it is not the word you use, but the definition that is important. Whether you call it your moral code or your 'code of honor' or your ethics or whatever does not matter.))

In the absence of any higher view of morals, and in recognition of the fact that the world is composed of individual people, each of whom has his own needs and desires, then I would say that there is only one idea which might be applicable to all situations. This is the belief that a person should be allowed to do anything he wants so long as he does not infringe on other people's doing the same. In the absence of the provable existence of god this is the only "ethic" which makes any logical, rational sense. ((This "ethic" could also be described as a "moral", don't you think?))

You and Rod are both wrong in your discussion of NY vs. LA. Both places are rotten places to live. Most cities are beat places to live in unless you have to and I'd say the country is much preferable. In fact, I'd say the best place to live is... (continued next letter).

From Rod Walker - Encinitas, CA:

Another interesting issue of ANDUIN. This is a quick response, but no time for an extensive one. We've been over the "deity" question before...but as a quick side comment, I will agree with you if "deity" means "being that created the universe". I prefer to redefine the term as a being of quite finite (but still very great) powers which has influenced the course of evolution and of human social development on this planet, to the best of its abilities and with objectives only very dimly perceivable by us. If you think of "worship" as a form of communication, you will then be very close to the point. However, this is not the sort of idea I can spread around and get people to work up much enthusiasm for; the traditional religions do a better job, and that's what they're for. ((The idea of a "being with finite powers" is almost harder to grasp than that of Jehovah - almost. I think it may indeed be possible that some other being or beings visited our planet long ago (ET's if you like) and have since disappeared either by leaving or dying out. With the size of our universe, it is quite possible that other planets have developed life forms more advanced than ours and have traversed space to reach us and influence our evolution. The connections between the Egyptians and some South American cultures is quite striking. Thor Hyerdahl talks at length about this in his book, The Ra Expeditions, though he does not discuss the concept of some ET being.))

I am sorry, however, to see you still clinging to the old non sequitur that whatever is done in the name of religion is done by that religion. You are looking at intrusions of religion into the secular world brought about forcibly by individuals who were using religion for their purposes. I do not believe that anything should be condemned by the fact that some individuals distort or abuse it. None of the acts you complain of are inherent in the normal beliefs and practices of the major religions (in fact, quite the reverse). It is the inherent values of a system you ought to consider, not the abuses. ((Maybe so, but I still maintain that the mere existence of organized religion has been the cause of much sorrow and pain and has been used as an outlet for man's worst inherent qualities - those of greed, power and just plain meanness.)) (That said, you have to realize that any belief system which claims to have a key to absolute truth...whether Christianity or Marxism or any other religion...will attract fanatics. That must be guarded against.)

Evolution: I don't see we have much of a disagreement here. However, you have to be careful about over-emphasizing natural selection. Darwin himself opposed people like Wallace who wanted to make natural selection the only mechanism by which evolution worked. The fossil record does not substantiate this, since it does not substantiate the old idea of gradualism. The primary

mechanism of evolution does appear to be random occurrence. That involves the random occurrence of mutations (some of which might not be visible) and the random occurrence of change in the environment, including the occurrence of disasters which wipe out great numbers of species. In an equilibrium situation a developing new species might not find an ecological niche into which it can move (not available, or already filled by another quite efficient species). For instance, if another big-brained animal were to appear, we'd wipe it out at the present time. But in a post-disaster situation, there is plenty of room for anything that develops, so that new species which might otherwise never make it becomes the dominant life form instead. In normal times, it is not always the "fittest" that survives; whoever is there first has a natural advantage. Really, a lot of thinking earlier this century was to define whatever survived as having been "the fittest". That is simply circular reasoning. The fossil record shows long periods in which little or no evolutionary change occurred at all, plus occasional appearances of new species which simply added themselves to the existing fauna without necessarily displacing any other species. This last seems always to be associated with evidence of disaster on a cosmic scale (for instance, the last layer of dinosaur fossils or rocks from that era is almost invariably covered by a clay or sediment containing unusually high quantities of iridium...an element whose presence is pretty conclusive proof of a collision with a comet or some such body. In any event, the Cretaceous Catastrophe literally wiped out every land animal with a body weight of more than 25 pounds. Current thinking is that the object hit the Pacific and punched a hole in the Pacific plate (the "hot spot" currently generating the Hawaiian Islands), with a crater 100km wide...now vanished through recycling of the ocean floor. That is the sort of chance that gives evolution its big breaks.

Mankind is of course its own ecological catastrophe, and who knows; we might have to look to a post-human world. Read Dougal Dixon's After Man: A Zoology of the Future. I don't agree with him in some instances (e.g., he does not postulate the survival of the coyote), but the book is very interesting and informative.

((Ah yes, often have I pondered what the future holds for the poor coyote. We don't have much of a disagreement I think concerning evolution, much of what you said I could have quoted out of textbooks. But, you are applying your obviously vast knowledge of the subject incorrectly in several instances. Yes, random occurrence is the main means of evolution, but those occurrences which prove to be beneficial or helpful are the ones that survive and thrive--the survival of the fittest. Naturally if an organism is occupying a certain ecological niche already it will have an advantage over other organisms when competing in that niche. So the other organisms must adapt and change and ultimately, evolve. Your telling me that they have an advantage is a simple statement of fact. It's like me telling you that the sun rises in the east and sets in the west. So what?))

From Dan Palter - Cedarhurst, NY:

Dear Conceited Twits (since you don't identify which of you is which I am forced to address you as a group entity),

Let's take your last comment first - if a typo equals mental disorder then there are nothing but mentally disordered people who write. ((Ah yes, the ole' "it was a typo trick"!)) I had presumed that my meaning was clear on that sentence; If not I will elaborate. Our concept of government descends through the enlightenment of the 18th century plus the English tradition of an adversary legal culture with relatively limited government ((This still doesn't make any sense, Dan. What is an "adversary legal culture" besides confabulation? Is it a culture in which the legal system is based on the two sides of each dispute facing off in the courtroom? We're sorry if you consider questioning your flimsy arguments "nasty", but what did you expect?)) The combination of these two traditions has produced a set of norms here that do not apply to most of the rest of the European world to say nothing of the excolonial portions of the globe.

For the rest, nasty comments and a pitiful few books (what you are describing is by my standards a rather small section of a home library) do not expertise make, and based on your comments you either have only read popular histories or don't know what the big words inside them mean. Let's take your objections one at a time.

(1) Your first insertion was conceded by me in the portion immediately following the insertion. Of course, many Germans disliked Weimar as a product of Versailles. Nonetheless, after the final wave of postwar chaos in 1923, they stomached it quite well until economics drove the governing coalition apart. ((I'm not disagreeing with you, but I still contend that it was a combination of the two - poor economics and a dislike of the Versailles treaty. Why you can't or won't accept this simple fact is beyond me.)) Since WWII we have a similar situation in Italy where a fascist bloc on the right plus a much larger communist bloc on the left leave only a relatively narrow majority of democratic parties who accept liberal democracy. These parties, spanning by our standards birchers and revolutionary socialists, have had to run a coalition dance to keep the allied imposed system working. They have managed to do so only because neither war nor economics has ever caused them to completely fall out over the risks of bringing the whole thing tumbling down. The same was true in Germany. Even the democratic parties would have overthrown Versailles and even the Weimar majority had reservations on the applicability of Western norms to Germany. Yet they survived economic collapse after the war, a punitive peace and civil strife from the right and left to come out for a six-year run as a respectable liberal democratic state. The collapse of 29-31 was economically induced. The democratic parties, divided sharply on class and economic lines were simply incapable of allocating the pain in any agreed upon manner. This led to government by decree and ultimately Hitler as the only alternative to communism on one hand and starvation on the other. It was a sorry failure in which all the German political and economic leaders share much blame. ((It could be said that the United States government was an economic failure during the

depression, but it was not overthrown. We changed presidents, of course, but the government remained the same institution it had been before the depression. If the German government had been a firmly entrenched one of several hundred years, the depression simply would not have caused sufficient instability to permit Hitler to gain power as he did. Sorry, Dan, **both** factors were of vital importance.))

(2) You prove with your second comment that either you cannot read or have no mind. Where did I say Goebbels had "actual authority over the city"? I clearly stated his party title, not any municipal, land or national office. ((What you claim to state and what we interpret are obviously two different things. Though again you are taking our comment here as if it were an attack on the point you had made. It is not. We were merely clarifying Goebbels' position. To argue over such a trivial point is, well, trivial.)) Nonetheless he used his Gau, please note again the party title, organization to run a joint transit strike with the KPD that brought Berlin to its knees and scared the powers that then were out of their feeble collective wits. For the first time since the Kapp Putsch a revolutionary mob had seriously changed the balance of political power. What scared them was the two parties leading the mob as the prior time it had been the quite tamable SPD.

(3) The record of Nazi measures against the Jews is subject to several interpretations. If you are so motivated I will swap footnotes with you. At what point does official anti-Semitism plus tolerated but unofficial terror turn into genocide. I will stand by my statements and am prepared to document them. The fact is that down until the start of the war the official rationale was forced emigration - a rationale that lead to the Nazis allowing Palmach training camps for settlers emigrating to Palestine to run Germany until 1939. Scarcely the mark of the ovens of 1943. Similarly until the invasion of Russia such silly but nonetheless real schemes as the Madagascar plan were being floated. So let's review the case. The mass roundup of Jews only follows Kristallnacht - until then the bulk of the population of both the official and unofficial prisons were communists or other political enemies of the Nazi's. The mass murders only start in Russia in 1941 and not in the rest of Europe until 1942. Did this make it great to be a jew in Berlin in 1934? No, not hardly but far better than in 1941 and far better then than 1943. Horror is relative. Dachau was scarcely a summer resort. It was also not Treblinka. ((You know, Dan, you appal me. Let me change the ethnic group since people are desensitized to hearing what befell the Jews. Let's say the United States passed laws forbidding blacks to use any of the same facilities as whites, employ whites, marry whites, be educated with whites, be citizens, retain any assets, use the same mass transit conveyances as whites, shop at the same time as whites, etc., etc. All of this was perpetrated on the Jews prior to Kristallnacht, according to Milton Meltzer's **Never To Forget**. In addition, Jews were beaten and killed throughout Germany at the encouragement of the establishment. Throughout Meltzer's book are examples of this sort of activity. I also recommend Lucy Dawidowicz's book **The War Against the Jews**, if you have the stomach for it. The conditions for Jews in



Germany before Kristallnacht were comparable to the conditions for blacks in slavery in this country, which were quite dehumanizing.))

(4) The Germans knew the Jews were being taken in the night. So were communists, uncooperative priests, homosexuals, members of the left populist wing of the Nazi party, and a host of others. Again it is easy with 20/20 hindsight to say they should have known, but how? ((Uh uh. The key here is that while official policy was aimed at all of these groups, in practice it was much worse for the Jews than for any of the other groups (see my last interjection - those restrictions did not apply to the other groups to the degree that they did to the Jews and in some cases not at all. Die Juden were singled out quite clearly. The German people are not excused, exonerated, or absolved **at all**.) The worst excesses were in the East and none were publicized. ((They knew. There were thousands of civil servants involved in the repressive operations.)) By the time the stories made it back from "home on leave" soldiers in '42-'43 about the real massacres in the East the average German couldn't help but know that he was living in a gangster state. By then it was also far too late. The patriotic impulse in the midst of a great world war plus a terror apparatus with nothing to lose should not be underestimated. For example, after the bomb plot Hitler went wild against the old imperial ruling classes. ((Not quite an ethnic group, Daniel. Attacking a political group for their views or because they pose a threat is not comparable to destroying an ethnicity merely for existing as such.)) People with extremely minor connections by action or blood to the conspirators were savagely tortured and murdered. ((Horrible, but not **as** horrible, nor on **as** grandiose a scale.)) The elite of Germany did nothing as their own kindred were led off almost at random to the slaughter. And these would have tried to save the Jews?

(5) If you think everything I say is false name your sources and line of reasoning. I have briefly named mine and am prepared to go over them at whatever length is necessary. Either you have no knowledge of the topic or are using the immediately post WWII sources that do not take account of the scholarship of the last 20 years. What you are mouthing is British propaganda, however prettily packaged under university press labels. ((You say "British propaganda" - you mean "Jewish propaganda", but don't wish to sound anti-Semitic.)) See again the Lucas and Taylor books I recommended. The key to Prague and Danzig was the military clauses of the Versailles Treaty. A militarily impotent Germany could do nothing about the Versailles settlement of Eastern Europe. A rearmed Germany with her Western borders reentrenched behind a new Westwall could do what it liked in the East. The keys were as follows. France had the military strength to enforce Versailles as long as Germany was totally disarmed but feared being bled to death even so without British support. The Brits wouldn't fight for Versailles so Hitler was allowed to rearm. Having been allowed to do so there was nothing militarily a Franco-British coalition could do to save Czechoslovakia or Poland. The phony war was proof thereof. The rest of Europe didn't "Have to fight or face an imperialist Germany". They had always faced one. They could have fought to retain a toothless

Germany. Having given the German Eagle back its claws they lacked the power to do more than defend themselves while waiting on events. The ultimate defeat of Germany required the power of the US and USSR. Britain and France themselves could with proper planning have defended themselves but could never have gone over to the offensive to beat Germany. However, the fall of France and the Battle of Britain did not mean a general World War. A different British government could have made peace on fairly generous terms in the spring of 1941 and probably gotten a German withdrawal from France and the low countries in the bargain. The conversion to world scale was brought about by the entry of the US and USSR into the picture - see Lucas especially for possible alternate endings and do remember that the renewal of the selective service in the US in the fall of 1941 passed the House by one vote after considerable presidential arm twisting--without Hitler's help FDR might never have been able to bring us into the war. ((I am incredulous! The Germans would most certainly **not** have withdrawn from France and the low countries had Britain sued for peace. The Germans believed firmly that they would crush the British and had no reason to bargain. Germany annexed Austria and Czechoslovakia, Germany invaded Poland, Germany invaded France, Germany attacked Great Britain, Germany invaded the Soviet Union. To think for even a moment that the Germans did not desire world hegemony is inconceivable. There was no room for negotiation at any point. The British, French, and everyone else should have acted a lot sooner than they did. This point of view is supported by the vast majority of historians and people. Does it not surprise you that, other than by the Nazi party and its ilk, World War II is universally (i.e. worldwide) considered a "good war"??))

In summation, I'm more than prepared to have a reasonable discussion but find it very typical of many people in this area that they see persecution of Jews in capital letters while ignoring the other circumstances of the period and place. They also tend to equate number of books with knowledge of their contents (would you care to see the WEG/DSP library on the period--come in some night when we do playtests and ask Stefan to show you around) and to attribute mental disorders to those who fail to share their shallow prejudices. ((Calling us shallow and prejudiced reflects only upon the source of such labels and has no effect upon the truth. The facts are what they are, and they belie your contentions about history.))

From Ken Halpern - Great Neck, NY

Wow! I'm so used to arguing with Steve Hutton that I don't really have much of anything to say this issue. For some reason Steve didn't respond to my comments of last issue, and I urge him to write something, if only in explanation for his termination of our argument. Up Orioles! Down Yankees! Down Mets! Down down down Tigers! Farewell.

1981IP

"Spring, 1912"


Winter, 1911: Russia builds F St.P(nc), A Mos and declines one.

TURKS HIT RUSSIA ON THE BLIND SIDE!! WHAT NEXT?

France (Chisholm): F Bar-  
NRG; F Nth S F Bar-Nrg;  
A MAR MS F SPA(sc)  
A HOL-Kie; A RUH S A Hol-  
Kie; A BUR S A Ruh;  
F MID-N.Af; F POR S  
F Spa(sc); A GAS S A Mar.

Russia (Byrne): F Nwy-NTH;  
F DEN S F Nwy-Nth;  
F St.P(nc)-NWY; A SWE &  
A FIN S F St.P(nc)-Nwy;  
A Mos-ST.P; A MUN-Ruh;  
A KIE S A Mun-Ruh; A SIL-  
Mun; A BOH S A Sil-Mun;  
A BUD el holdo.

Turkey (Nadner): A Pie-TYR;  
A Ven-TRI; A Tri-SER;  
A Tyr-VIE; A Bul-RUM;  
F Aeg-CON; F Ion-ADR;  
F Tun-N.AF; F Tyn-ION;  
F LYD S F Wes; F WES  
S F Tun-N.Af.



France must retreat F Nth -Ska, Hel, Bel, Eng, Lon, Yor, Edi or OTB. There is a proposal for an R/T draw.

PRESS:

Russia-France: Good try, pal! But I really do want the 2 way, so you better find another ace up your sleeve!

Turkey-Russia: I just felt that you were going for the win and was going to get it first.

Russia-Turkey: He's desperate and he knowsd that he isn't going anywhere, so let's give him hell!

Turkey-France: Alliance?

+++++  
Well, since I have all this lovely space to us, I think I'll make te best of it and do some expalining. Uh, this issue is a little late. I really am sorry, by the time it gets to you, it will be about three weeks overdue. I'll be changing the deadlines accordingly but I apologize as I know that when I am a player, it is infuriating when the zine arrives late. There is no single reason why this issue is three weeks late. Suffice it to say that with graduation, end of the year deadlines and other minor "transitional" changes, Anduin got lost in the shuffle. Again, I'm sorry folks, for awhile there I had been doing this pretty much on time, and I'll try to get back into the swing with the next issue.

1981KI

"Fall, 1911"

Summer, 1911: Russia: A Sil r War.


**FRENCH FINALLY PICKING UP SOME MORE CENTERS!**

England (Chisholm): A Mun-SIL; A BER S A Mun-Sil; A Hol-KIE; F Nth-BEL; F NRG & F BAR H; A ST.P S A Mos; A MOS S A Lvn-War; A Lvn-WAR; F Bot-LVN; F Bal-DEN; F PRU H; A Ukr-RUM.

France (Hakey): A Rom-GRE; F ION & F TYN C A Rom-Gre; A Bur-MUN; F Nap-AR; F Lyo-WES; A Pie-TYR; A Tyr-TRI; A VEN S A Tyr-Tri.

Austria (Murray): A Tri H;  
F Bul(sc) & F ALB S  
FRENCH F Ion-Gre((nso));  
A GAL S RUSSIAN A War  
((otm)); A BOH S FRENCH  
A Bur-Mun; A Sil-Ber;  
A Rum S RUSSIAN A Sev.

Russia (Corbin): A War-Gal;  
A SEV S ENGLISH  
A Ukr-Rum; F Aeg-BUL(sc);  
F CON S F Aeg-Bul(sc).



The Austrian A Sil and F Bul(sc) are annihilated. The Austrian A Tri may retreat to Vie, Bud, Ser or OTB and the Austrian A Rum may retreat to Bud, Ser or OTB. The Russian A War may retreat to Ukr or OTB. Here is the 1911 supply center chart:

England: Home, Nwy, Den, Swe, Bel, Hol, Kie, Ber, Mun, St.P, Mos, War, RUM (14). Build 1 (was 1 short).

France: Home, Spa, Por, Tun, MUN, Nap, Rom, Ven, TRI, GRE (12). Build 3.

Austria: Tri, Vie, Bud, Ser, Gre, Bul, Rum (3). Remove 2 (2 anni)

Russia: Sev, Con, Ank, Smy, BUL (5). Build 1 (no room).

**PRESS:**

Sevastopol: Ribet, ribet.

Paris-London: How're we doin' pard?

Paris-Vienna: Perhaps it is too late, eh?

Paris-Russian Expeditionary Forces: Ain't life sweet?

Budapest: If the Austrian leader knew what he was doing he wouldn't be in this mess. But there's no fool like an old fool and I've been here before. The game is Diplomacy, the object is to prosper and expand, not shrivel up and die. I've been trying to offer France a chance at a win. I'd be glad to toady to be on the winning side and get the feeling that I somehow was in control of the war. The fun for me is to influence the flow of the game on a winning note, not to react to what is forced by superior oppressors. If France didn't go for it, I'll really be in bad shape and the game will soon be over. If France accepted my challenge, this will turn into a most interesting game.

1981IR

"Spring, 1910"

Winter, 1909: France removes F Cly. Italy builds A Ven. Russia builds A War & A Sev.

Italy builds A Ven. Russia builds A War & A Sev.

IT'S ONLY A MATTER OF TIME NOW...


England (Ansoff): F Nth-EDI.

France (Martin): F Lon H.

Germany (Carter): F HOL-Nth.

Italy (Kollmer): F Lpl-CLY; F Mid-NAO; F Bre-MID; F Eng-LON; A WAL S F Eng-Lon; A PIC & A BUR S A Bel; A BEL S RUSSIAN A Ruh-Hol((nso)); F Ion-ADR; F AEG MS F SMY; A SER MS A GRE; A TYO MS A VIE; A Ven-TRI.

Russia (Furey): F NRG S ENGLISH F Nth-Edi; F DEN-Nth; A Kie-Hol((nso)); A RUH S A Kie-Hol((imp)); F KIE U, H; A BOH MS A MUN; A Sev-RUM; A Gal-BUD; A War-GAL; F BLA & A BUL S F Con; A SIL, F CON, A LVN & A NWY U, H.



The French F Lon is dislodged and retreats to Yor or OTB.

PRESS:

Italy-Germany: Sorry Dave, you played a good clean game, which is more than I can say for the English scum.

GM: My my, that ought to ruffle a few feathers I should think!

+++++



1982Bxm02

"Spring, 1908"

Autmn, 1907: England retreats F Karafuto-Japan Sea (anni) & F Tokyo-Fuji. France retreats F Borneo-Siam Gulf. China retreats A Sikang-Shan. Japan retreats F Korea-Japan Sea (anni) & F Spa(sc) OTB. Germany retreats A Ukraina-Warsaw.

Winter, 1907: China builds A Hankow & F Peking. England plays one short as F Japan Sea annihilated after Autumn. Germany builds A Kiel. Japan removes F Western Med (plus two retreats OTB in Autumn). France removes A Portugal & A Piedmont.

**OOOH, THIS IS GETTING VERY CONFUSING!!**

China (Nadner): A TURKESTAN-Omsk; F Korea-JAPAN SEA; A Shan-(14) THAILAND; A VLADIVOSTOK H; A TIBET-Nepal; A KANSU-Sikang; A Vietnam-ANNAM; A BURMA S INDIAN F Calcutta; A JOHORE S A Shan-Thailand; F Formosa-SOUTH CHINSA SEA; F Peking-CANTON; A HANKOW S F Peking-Canton.

England (Scheifler): F NORTH PACIFIC OBB-North Pacific; F FUJI- (11) Tokyo; F Japan Sea H((nsu)); F South Atlantic-SOUTH ATLANTIC OBB; F Morocco-SOUTH ATLANTIC; F SIBERIA-Omsk; F Barents-ST. PETERSBERG(nc); F Brest-MidAtlantic((nsu)); F NORTH ATLANTIC & F SPAIN(nc) S F Brest-MidAtlantic((imp)); F English-BREST; A MOSCOW U, H.

Germany (Hakey): F MidAtlantic-SOUTH PACIFIC OBB; A Kiel-LIVONIA; (11) F BALTIC C A Kiel-Livonia; A GASCONY S ENG F Spain(nc); A MARSEILLES S ITALIAN A Tuscany-PIEDMONT; A BURGUNDY S A Marseilles; A Munich-TYROLIA; A BOHEMIA S A Munich-Tyroia; A GALICIA-Vienna; A SILESIA-Galacia; A WARSAW S ENG A Moscow.

Italy (Keller): A Tuscany-PIEDMONT; A VENICE S GERMAN A Munich-(10) Tyrolia; A APULIA S A Venice; A Tunis-GREECE; F IONION C A Tunis-Greece; F AEGEAN S A Tunis-Greece; F EASTERN MED- Jordan(wc); F PERSIAN GULF-Iran; F ARABIAN SEA S F Persian Gulf -Iran; F ALBANIA-Adriatic Sea.

Austria (Anderson): A Vienna-CLUJ; A TRIESTE-Vienna; A SEVASTOPOL- (8) Moscow; A UKRAINA S A Sevastopol-Moscow; A ROMANIA S A Ukraina; F ADRIATIC-Ionion; A BULGARIA-Greece; A Tyrolia S FRENCH A Piedmont-Venice((nso)).

India (Chisholm): A AFGHANISTAN-Turkestan; A DELHI-Nepal; (6) F CALCUTTA H; A DECCAN S F Calcutta; F Borneo-SIAM GULF; F MALAYAN SEA-Johore.

Japan (Makuc): F Canton S INDIAN F Borneo-South China Sea((nso)); (6) F SIKANG S F Canton; F South Pacific-EAST CHINA SEA; F Japan Sea S F South Pacific-South China Sea((nsu)); F TOKYO- North Pacific; F KARAFUTO S F Japan Sea((imp)); F OKHOTSK U, H.

France (Dancause): (1) F Siam Gulf-SAIGON.

RETREATS: Japanese F Canton -Tonkin Gulf, Yellow Sea or OTB.  
Austrian A Tyrolia OTB.

PRESS:

Berlin-GM: Please print orders of all units this time!

GM: Oh, alright. Sheesh but this is a demanding job!

Baltic-World: Wow! We get to do something! Boy do we feel lucky!

Yeman-Iran: Attack my shipping will you?

India-Germany: Thanks alot for your help.

India-World: I need allies!! China, Japan, Italy, France, Turkey, England, German High Seas Fleet: Send me your letters,

your offers, your deals! If you want a loyal, dependable ally content to come in 2nd, that's me!! (Phone # (617) 267-3881.)  
China-India: Let's end the fighting - neither of us are getting anywhere - I moved to Tibet only because I was unsure of peace.

+++++  
1982Chp01 "Fall, 1891"

Summer, 1891: Italy retreats A Ven -Rom.

WHAT IN THE WORLD ARE YOU PEOPLE DOING?!

England (Chisholm): F Nao-HEB; F Val-CAT; A GAS S F Val-Cat; F Sao -ALBS; F Albs-ALG; A Ast boards F Mid; A/F Mid-SAO; F POR S A/F Mid-Sao; A disembarks Mor; F Cad-VAL; A MAD S F Cad-Val; F NTH "watch the interesting events to the east" H.

Spain (Makuc): F MOR H.

Sweden (Hakey): A Cour-MAL; F BAL "fast ferry" A Cour-Mal; A Pru-SIL; A WAR S A Pru-Sil; A Mos-ARCH; A Ukr-MOS; A PER-SEV.

Germany (Wrobel): F Hel-DEN; A KIE S F Hel-Den; A Gal-BOH; A Vie-TYR; F BER H; A DRE S F Ber; A Ven S A Pie; A PIE S A Ven; A MAR S A Pie.

Italy (Dancause): F Aeg-GRE; A SMY-Con; F WES H; F LYD-Pie; A Tus-VEN; A ROM S A Tus-Ven.

Austria (Anderson): A SEV MS A RUM; F ARM S A Sev; F CON-Smy; A Clu-GAL; A Bud-VIE; A CRO S A Bud-Vie; A Spl-BUD; A Ser-MAC.

The German A Ven may retreat to Apu or DTB. Here is the 1891 supply center chart:

England: Home(3), Ire, Ice, Bre, Par, Por, Cad, Mad, Val (11). =

Spain: Mor (1). Even.

Sweden: Home(3), Arch, Mos, St.P, War, PER (8). Build 1.

Germany: Home(4), Hol, Bel, Den, Swi, Vie, Mar (9). Remove 1.

Italy: Home(3), Tun, Egy, Smy, GRE (7). Build 1.

Austria: Bud, Spl, Clu, VIE, Gre, Bul, Mac, Con, Sin, Rum, Sev, Per (10). Build 1 (was short).

PRESS:

London: King John said today that he was shocked by reports that troops and naval units of our two loyal ally's, Germany and Sweden, had clashed. He expressed hope that the present difficulties could be resolved amicably and announced that he was sending envoys to Germany and Sweden as well as to the capitals of other major powers to discuss the situation.

Stockholm-Berlin: You've convinced me to "protect myself".

Stockholm-London: I hope you didn't lie...

Stockholm-Vienna: Have fun!

Stockholm-Rome: Hang tough - hold off those Krauts!

Stockholm-Persia: You ran, I ran, we all ran to Iran...

Stockholm-Front Line Troops: Retreat! Run away! Ops...

Italy: The scene is the Ministry of State in Rome. The new Prime Minister Giovanni Squarcialupe is perusing the document left behind by his predecessor, slowly shaking his head from time to time as he reads. Suddenly a servant dashes into the chamber.

S: "Excellentismo! Come quickly! The servant's entrance!"

PM: "What's the matter, can't you handle it?"

S: "No, no! You must come!"

The prime minister gives in and follows the servant to the rear entrance. He finds a tall man in rather shabby formal



clothes. At first the Prime Minister takes him to be some sort of travelling magician or other Mountebank, but suddenly he recognizes him as the German Ambassador.

PM: "Good evening, Heinz. What brings you here? Trying to seduce one of our kitchen maids?"

GA: "No, no! I tried the front but they wouldn't even notify you."

PM: "I don't blame them from the shape you're in. Were some of the populace conveying to you their displeasure at the German occupation of Venice?"

GA: "Oh no! I assure you we were welcomed; besides I came here the back way. It's just that when things get tight, the clothing budget is the first to feel it."

PM: "From the looks of things, the clothing budget was tight long before anything else. So what happened? The English finally got wise to you?"

GA: "No, although I suppose they'll be next." (He pauses, looks furtively around and then adds in a dramatically lowered voice) "It's the Swedes!"

PM: "Don't tell me they stole all the herring in the Baltic?"

GA: "This is serious! They have landed in Prussia!"

PM: "And you're worried they won't keep it?"

GA: "Stop joking! They are threatening our National Integrity!"

PM: (laughing) "Oh come now, Heinz. I just saw the latest reports and you've got enough Krauts stacked up in your other centers to take the starch out of any Swedes who stop by."

GA: "But don't you see, that's only today. Next they'll be sneaking into Silesia and then into Dresden and then Munich and Denmark and then where will we be?"

PM: "With your hands in someone else's pockets if I know you. Now, since you're so strong on National Integrity, can you explain just what your troops are doing in Piedmonte and Venezia? You can't even pronounce them. How do they fit in with your precious National Integrity?"

GA: "Well that's really what I wanted to talk to you about."

PM: "Yes?"

GA: "I won't pretend it's a question of National Integrity."

PM: "It is of ours!"

GA: "Well, perhaps. What I'm trying to say is that this Swedish offensive places us in an awkward position."

PM: "As awkward as Tuscany was, I hope?"

GA: "Aaaaarrgh! Don't sound so cheerful! If this situation continues, it is possible that we could actually find ourselves under some circumstances contemplating the potential unavailability of some equipment and stores that might be required to maintain our fighting forces' capabilities at times."

PM: (Pauses and momentarily appears to be trying to work something out on his fingers, then says) "Heinz, the way you're carrying on, I don't think you could find yourself under any circumstances. I'm afraid I lost the rest of that though. What is it you're trying to say?"

GA: (sighs) "I was hoping to convince you not to push us out of Venice this fall."

PM: "Why not?"

GA: "Because we're in such desperate straits. Surely you can spare us one of your many centers to help us out of our difficulties?"

PM: (openly astonished) "The last reports I saw gave Germany at least ten centers, not counting whatever you've stolen lately. You mean to say that you'd expect us to give you one of our six just because of these phantom difficulties of yours?"

GA: "They're very real! I suppose I can't expect you to understand the many problems faced by a noble empire, but I had hoped you might attain a fleeting glimpse of understanding."

PM: "Instead, I'm attaining a strong spasm of indignation listening to the problems of your noble horsetheives."

GA: "Bah! This conversation lacks all dignity."

PM: "No, Heinz, what it lacks is a willing dupe on this end. I grew up on a farm, and all this conversation has done for me is to remind me of the many times I cleaned out the stables. I can smell what you're selling and it smells just the same after all these years. Now the Austrians are just down the road, and I think their man is a city boy. So maybe you can convince him that what you're pedaling is really some form of precious garth and maybe he'll let you have Budapest or Cluj in return for some. As for me, however, no thank you! I didn't take this job to clean out more stables. And so good evening to you."

The prime minister nods to the servant who closes the door. The prime minister then returns to his study where he is soon immersed in his documents once more. From time to time he slowly shakes his head.

+++++  
1984Brv08 "Spring, 1902"

I CAN'T BELIEVE HOW MANY TIMES YOU GUYS KEEP CHANGING MOVES!!

Astalon (Hakey): A Ank-ARM; A MUN S FOURDOR A Ber-Kie.

Beta (Chisholm): F BRE S ZIMBABWE F Mid-Gas((nso)).

Coelenterates (Halpern): A Tri-BUD; A VIE S A Tri-Bud; A Ven-TRI;  
A ROM-Nap.

Decipere (Scheifler): F NAP-Rom; A EDI H.

Edrank (Anderson): A Par-BRE; A GAS S A Par-Bre; A SPA H.

Eurdor (Larzelere): A Ber-KIE; A HOL S A Ber-Kie.

Gilkaw (Felella): A War-MOS; A TUN H.

Hell Hath No Fury... (Furey): A DEN-Swe; F For-MID.

International Communist Conspiracy (Cameron): F SWE S STAPLES

F St.P(nc)-Nwy((nso)); F BEL-Nth.

Ken's Bar & Grill (Peel): F Con-SMY; A Bud S No Fixed Name A Gre-Ser.

No Fixed Name (Hutton): A GRE-Ser. - *succeeds*

Oceania (Makuc): F Lon-NTH; F NWY S F Lon-Nth.

Pandemonium (Martin): A LVP H Peacefully; A Mos-Sev.

Staples (Quirk): F ST.P(nc) S ICC F Swe-Nwy((nso)).

Irimania (Murray): A SEV S GILKAW A War-Mos; A SER-RUM - *succeeds*

Untied States (Lew -): F RUM - *But (ec)* (H); A Kie S  
Astalon A Mun-Ber((nso)).

Zimbabwe (Stone): F Mid-ENG. *succeeds*

Beta retreats F Bre-Pic or OTB. Ken's Bar & Grill retreats A Bud-Gal or OTB. Pandemonium retreats A Mos-Lvn, Ukr or OTB. Untied States retreats A Kie-Ruh or OTB.

PRESS:1984Brv08

Staples-Coelenterates: Thanks for teaching Scum Scheifler a lesson.

Irimania-Astalon: Nyah! Nyah! Look what I've got!

Astalon-Irimania: Okay - you die now!

Hakey-Stone: Are you in? Boy are you ever, baby! Ooooo!

Coelenterates-World: Look out, here I come! 8 next year!

Daleks-Oceania: The Daleks always triumph!

Decipere: I regret that, due to pressures of work, I will be forced to resign from this game.

Great Neck: Thanks for not NMRing like some people do. Will John Chisholm, 119 Bay State Rd. Boston, MA, 02215 please ASSUME the Decipere position?

GM: Everyone will please check last issue's game notes as to the validity of that last press release.

Fus-People-Felelelella: Have you seen our film "Attack of the Fus People" or its sequel, "People of the Fus"? Well that's what's going to happen to you in this game.

Edrank-World: Better watch out for Coelenterates. He's about to grab what was called Italy once.

Gilkaw: Why?

Beta-Gilkaw: Why not?


Irimania-Beta: Why not why?

Edrank-Irimania: Why not why not?

Great Neck: Cut it out, all of you! Stop it right not!

Budapest: My hovercraft is full of eels.

Cybermen-Oceania: Prepare to die, human!



Kingmaker

Turn 7--1461

Percy, Clifford Lose Homes! There's Gonna Be a Parliament!

Jim Makuc's GREY'S GROUCHY GHOULS; Michael Quirk's POWER HUNGRY;  
Dave Anderson's WONDERLAND FACTION; Mark Murray's THORN IN THE ROSE.

Event Responses: Courtenay to Okehamton; Hastings to Bodmin;  
Mowbray to Wressle; Neville to Raby; Plantagenet/L to York; Pole  
vacillates.

Between Turns: Audley appears @ Tickhill; Plantagenet/York  
appears @ Sandal; Le Lucas appears @ Whitby; Le Michael @ Bristol.

Movement:

HOLDING: Pole @ Appleby(c).  
Audley @ Tickhill-Conisboro(c).  
Berkeley @ M28-M27-M22-Preston.  
Courtenay @ Okehamton-D6-H35-Taunton-H36-Wells.  
Cromwell @ Towton-M35-Newark-Belvoir-Rockingham (enters).  
Fitzalan @ Appleby-N16-N2-Alnwick(c).  
Grey @ Beverley-Towton-M35-Newark-Belvoir-Rockingham(enters).  
Hastings @ Bodmin-D8-D9-Okehamton-D6-H35.  
Mowbray @ Wressle-Towton-M24-M23-M22-Preston.  
Neville @ Raby-Richmond-M4-M2-Preston.  
Plantagenet/L @ York-M25-M24-M23-M22-Preston.  
Plantagenet/Y @ Sandal-Conisboro(c).  
Stafford @ Hereford-H26-H28-H29-Oxford-St. Albans (Plays FREE  
MOVE card)-Royston-Bury-H19-Caister.  
Stanley @ St. David's-Milford Haven.  
Le Christopher, Le Margaret, & Le Rose @ Milford Haven-S9-S8-  
S5-S3-Preston.  
Le George & Le Nicholas @ Rochester-S26-S24-S22-S20-S18  
Le Lucas @ Whitby-S30-S29-S28-Caister.  
Le Michael @ Bristol-S12-Cardiff.  
Le Trinity @ Ravenser-S30-S29-S28-S27-S26.

Combat: At Conisboro, Audley & Plantagenet/Y lay siege. The  
card is: Plague-Carlisle/ 5-4, Courtenay, Greystoke. The siege is  
successful & both nobles remain on the commons. At Alnwick, Fitz-  
alan lays siege. The card is: Embassy-Pope/3-2, Herbert, Holland.  
The siege is successful & Fitzalan remains on the commons. Also,  
the event deck is shuffled.

Parliament: At Preston, the player controlling Queen Margaret  
serves a Writ on Hastings & calls a Parliament @ Preston. So, the  
adjudication of turn 7 will stop here & we'll have a Parliament.  
I will send this turn out early to the players & ask for an Immed-  
iate Reply Mailing. Please let me know by June 15, 1984 whether  
your nobles will be attending Parliament (note: Hastings MUST  
attend). When all these orders are in, I will inform you of:  
1) Which nobles are at Parliament; 2) How many total votes are  
at Parliament; 3) How many votes you control; 4) Which cards are  
in Chancery; 5) What the procedures & next deadline are. This  
Parliament should go quickly & I hope we can have the voting in  
the next ANDUIN. Anyone wishing to contact the player calling  
Parliament may do so via the GM.

Where the Heirs Are: The Queen w/ Plant./L; Wales w/Stafford;  
March w/Hastings; Rutland @ Ireland.

Press:

Grey's Ghouls: Hi Ho, Hi Ho, it's off to work we go,  
It's out of fear, that we're leaving here,  
Hi Ho, Hi Ho...