#### BROBDINGNAG

Brobdingnag #71 1966AV (F'08) 1966AQ (W'09) 4 October 1967

MAIN HARBORS OF EUROPE IN BRITISH HANDS ROY AL HAVY SEIZES OSTEND, MARSEILLES, SALONIKA

#### Game 1966AV

Fall 1908

The moves:

GERMANY (Shagrin): Army Fiedmont to Venice. Army Vienna to Trieste.

Army Tyrol support army Vienna to Trieste. Army
Bohamia to Vienna. Army Munich to Bohamia. Army Galicia support army
Bohamia to Vienna. Army Silesia support army Galicia. Army Livonia
to Moscow. Fleet Kiel to Baltic. Army Denmark stand. Army Ukraine (not ondered) stands.

EFGLADD (Wells): Fleet Greece to Bulgaria (South coast). Fleet Ionian
Sea to Greece. Fleet Tunis to Ionian Sea. Fleet Tyrrhenian Sea support Fleet Tunis to Ionian. Fleet Guld of Lyon to
Marseilles. Fleet English Channel to Belgium. Fleet Morvegian Sea to
Morth Sea. Fleet Mid-Atlantic to English Channel. Army Sweden to
Denmark. Fleet St. Petersburg (northemast) ito. Livonia (sic).

RUSSIA (Zelazny): Army Warsaw to Livonia. Army Moscow support army
Sevastopol to Ukraine. Army Sevastopol to Ukraine.
Army Budapest to Galicia. Army Rumania supporst army Budapest to
Falicia. Fleet Constantinople to Aegean Sea. Fleet Apulia / Venice.
support

ITALY (Fransic): Army Maples to Rome. Army Venice to Tyrolia. Army Trieste to Tyrolia.

As a result of these moves the belligerent powers control the supply centres listed below:

MYGLAID: 3 home, Norwayk, Sweden, St. Petersburg, Belgium, Brest, Marseilles, 2 Iberian, Tunis, Greece, Bulgaria. 14 in all. Could build 4 but has only space for 3.

RUSSIA: Sevastopol, Moscow, Warsaw, 3 Turkish, Rumania, Serbia, Budapest. 9 in all. Could build 2 but has no available space.

GERMARY: 3 home, Denmark, Holland, Paris, Vienna, 7 in all. Must remove 4.

ITALY: 3 home, Trieste. 4 in all. May build 1.

Deadline for adjustment orders is Wednesday, 18 October 1967.

#### PRESS RELEASE:

The government of Italy conveys its deepest regress to the Russian High Command at having blown it.

#### Game 1966AQ

## FRÊNCH BUILD LAND FORCES IN SOUTH RUSSIANS SEA PORCES IN WORTH

Fall 1909: The retreats:

RUSSIA: Berlin to Prussia.

GERMANY: Mot ordered. Army Warsaw is disbanded.

Winter 1909: The builds:

RUSSIA (Reinsel): Build fleet St. Petersburg (north coast).

FRANCE (Thompson): Build army Marseilles.

Deadline for moves for Spring 1910 is Saturday 21 October 1967. Players should note question, raised elsewhere in this issue, about deadlines. They are asked to submit an answer with their moves.

#### PRESS PERMASES

Constantinople, Dec. 3. Abdul Osman FII today delivered a key address to the populace. This was one of the few public addresses ever made by the present Sultan. His main comments centered around, "My people, a year ago I promised peace within two years. Now I must tell you that your sons and fathers must fight on for at least another three years. Nowever, we shall not and cannot waver from our stand! We shall support the Tsar and his armies until peace returns to Europe! France shall be destroyed?"

Paris, 16 Dec. Russia has acted with honor toward France. Turkey has not. The construction of a new French army in Marseilleswill further demonstrate to Turkey that she will indeed find the Gulf of Lyon, or any French cities, or any other gains, very very difficult to obtain. We note that Turkey has lost strength since she attacked France. Russia will find Germany easy to acquire.

Geneve, 15 Dec. The Turkish consulate issued the following communique: Word has it that fighting once again will be general from Morway to the Ionian Sea. While another naval action will surely be fought off Sicily, Germany will again be a battle ground. Germany may suffer more damage than in the Thirty Years' War. First her sons were killed in the front lines, now the country itself is being ravaged. Faris. 1 Jan. The activity in Marseilles will re-inforce the Piedmont

Faris. 1 Jan. The activity in Marseilles will re-inforce the Piedmont defenses. Turkey will find Lyon and Piedmont most difficult to seize. Russia will find France willing to negotiate a peace and alliance.

"The object of most diplomacy is to postpone decisions."

A. J. P. Taylor: The Trouble Makers.

# BROBDINGNAG Current Game Reting List - #7

Judging by the letter from Charles Turner in the last issue, there is some slight demand for a current game rating list. One is provided below. It covers all completed games, as given in the MROB Completed Game Rating list (FROB #69), together with all games in progress which have advanced as far as the first elimination. As always with BROB Rating Lists it includes regular games, either 7-man or 5-man, but no variants.

- +23 John Moning John McCallum (W) John Smythe ( $\forall$ ) Charles Wells ( $\forall$ )
- +18 Derek Felson (W)
- +15 Don Miller (W) Jerry Pournelle (W)
- +14 Charles Turner (W)
- +10 Fra**h**k Clark James Dygert Terry Kuch Rod Walker
- + 9 Larry Peery Monte Zelazny
- + 8 James MacTenzie (W) Marold Haus Marl Thompson
- + 7 James Latimer (W) Banks Kebane
- + 6 Mark Owings Marold Peck Marold Peck Bruce Pelz (W)
- + 5 Rick Brooks Michael Dobson Jack Greene Bud Pendergrass Chris Wagner
- + 4 Len Atkins Richard Bryant Alan Tuff (W) Robert Lake Kenneth Levinson Dian Pelz Richard Shagrin

- + 3 John Austin Dan Barrows Chuck Carey Men Davidson Thomas Criffin William Linden
- Bob West**en**
- + 1 John Beshara - Maddox John Mazor Brank Musbach Cliff Ollila Menk Reinherdt Mike Santos ⊛**ob** Speed SDDC&CBW Mehran Thomson Ben Turk
  - 0 Edwin Baller Donald Berman
    Bill Bogert
    John Davey
    Ben Hendin Gail Schow ( . . . . .

- 1 Brian Bailey Dave Francis Terry Muston
- 2 Bob Adams Brenda Banks

  Dan Brannan

  Gene Prosnitz
  Conrad von Metzke (W)

  + 2 Clyde Johnson
  Greg Holenear
  Greg Hong
  Wike McIntyre
  Jock Root
  Jim Sanders

  Bob Wester

  Brenda Banks

  Dan Brannan

  Leonard Garland

  Al Goggins

  Greg Molenear

  James Lunroe

  Geo. Parks

  Jock Root
  Jim Sanders

  - 3 Wayne Webeiger
  - Al Scott
- + 1 John Beshara

  Douglas Beyerlein
  Bill Christian
  Louis Curtiss
  Thomas Eller
  Wayne Gibbs
  Steve Gordon
  Bill Haggart
  Michael Hakulin
  Christina Krogh
  Dave Lebling
   Maddox
  John Mazor
  Brank Musbach
  Cliff Ollila - 4 Michael Aita
  - 5 Tom Bulmer Scott Duncak Stuart Keshner Fritz Eulhauser Stephen Patt Bill Stewart Jerry Tenney
    - 6 Wm Cellestre Jack Chalker Thomas Gorman Jack Longbine Jerry Page

- 6 Rick Payment
  Don Recklies
  John Sandoval
  Bill Schreffler
- 7 Ron Bounds
- 8 Sidney Get
  James Goldman
  Robert Ward
- -9 Barry Gold
- -10 Paul Harley
  Bernie Kling
  Joel Satuel
  Richard Schultz

- -11 Jerald Jacks
  Ron Parks
- -12 Stephen Barr Fred Lerner
- -13 Edi Birsan
  - -19 Charles Alexander Phil Castora
  - -20 Roland Tzudiker
  - -28 Margaret Gemignani.

### The "Stalemated" Game.

There are a number of cases, lately appearing, in which there are more than two survivors, but in which repeat them have any opportunity to force a victory. Several such games have cropped up in Graustark, there is one impending in STab, and, in the last issue Charles Turner mentioned a similar game. I think in ADAG, Strangely, none of these appeared in the first four years of postal play, but they now appear quite common. Py common consent they appear to be called "stalemated" games, although the name is something of a mis-nomer.

In Graustark #140, John Doordman bring up the question of what to do with such games. We there states that the rule he will follow in Graustark is that if three playing "years" elapse with no change of supply centre occurring, then he will declare the game a draw and end it. We draws an analogy between that decision and the "fifty-move" rule in chess.

Chess having been borught into this, first by the use of the term "stalemate" to describe the situation, and secondly by refering to the 50-move rule, let us look at the ways that a chess game can end. They are the following:

- 1. Checkmate, i.e., an outright win.
- 2. designation. The weaker player realizes that a checkmate against him is only a matter of time and concedes the game.
- 5. Draw by mutual agreement. This usually occurs when both players have been so reduced in strength that they feel that a mate is not possible by either side.
- 4. Draw by stalemate. One player, invariably the weaker in material strength on the bound, is not in check but cannot move without going into check. Notice that there is nothing analogous to this in our game the whole idea of a chess stalemate arises from the fact of

there being alternate moves in chess, unlike the simultaneous move feature of Diplomacy.

- 5. Draw by perpetual check. A player can be put in check on every move of his opponent but can get out of it on his own turn to play. The commonest instance is that the materially weaker player has two adjacent squares available for his king; the opponent can attack either square but not both simultaneously. The attacked king then oscillates between the two squares. The situation can, formally, lead to 6, below, but usually goes to 3, above, instead.
- 6. The 50-move rule, which states that either player can call a draw if he can prove that 50 consecutive moves have been made by both players without a piece being captured, and without a pawn being moved. In serious games, in which a transcript of the moves is kept, the rule may be invoked by either player at any time. In casual play, it usually only occurs when the materially weaker player grows tired of being chased around the board by an opponent with more strength but who does not know how to complete the mate; in such circumstances the former will challenge the latter to complete the game in 50 moves, or call the game a draw. I.e., counting of moves begins from the challenge, and not from the last capture or move of a pawn.

For the 50-move rule to be effective it is essential that no pawn be moved, as emphasized by underlinging, above. The longest standard mate, not involving pawns, is that by two bishops and king against a king alone. It takes a little over 20 moves if the board is otherwise bare, slightly longer if there are opposed pawns which mutually block each other elsewhere on the board. This means that under the 50-move rule the player who thinks he has a win is allowed as much time as he needs to establish that win, plus a generous margin to allow for occasional slips in play.

Diplomacy, like chess, has pieces of equivalent power but of different abilities. Bishops and knights are roughly equivalent in mean strength, but there are things which a bishop can do and a knight cannot, and vice-versa. Similarly, in our game, although armies and fleets have, by rules of the game, exactly equal strength, there are times when it is preferable to have an army in a coastal province, and other times when a fleet would be more advantageous.

A few issues ago, in MROB #68, Doug Deverlein described one of these "stalemated" situations which arose in an over-the-board game that he was in. As he rightly pointed out, one side would have been in a stronger position had it had an army in Ruscany instead of a fleet. In the particular instance to which he referred, an attempt to bring up an army would have allowed the enemy to also strengthen the front at that point so that a stand-off would have again been the result. That might not always be the case, however. There might be a case when a player in the position Beyerlein described could bring up an army to replace the fleet, without the other side being able to effectively reply. And, it seems to me, the player involved must always be given sufficient time to make such an exchange, without having the game called off before he can complete the transfer. This, at least, is what is done in chess where the 50 moves of the 50-move rule are about

one and a half times as long as the playor would need to complete a mate, in the most difficult case, if he knew the method of perfect play.

Suppose we consider the case that Deverlein suggested. Suppose that the negrest army that can be stared is one an lorway which is engaged in supporting a force in St. Patersburg. Two fleets, now engaged in backing up the attempted naval advance in the Mediterranean, are dispatched to bring the army to the south. They sail, from the Mid-Atlantic and Spain, respectively, to the Forth Atlantic, to the Forwegian Sea and to the Barents Sea. The floet in the Barents Sea takes over the support duty formerly performed by the army in Forway. There is now only one fleet left to convoy the army, so it does it in stages, first to the British Isles, then the convoying fleet moves to the Channel, and convoys the army to Wrance, it marches south, and is finally convoyed to Tuscany. All this must be done as more preparation, before the attack can be launched for which an army in Tuscany was required. It seems to me that the manoeuvre described could easily use up more than three playing "years" before t ere is any possibility of securing a supply centre. And I think that the player in such a position should have the opportunity to try it if he wants to and not be faced with an artificial guillotine of three years.

The rule to be used by MRCB is as follows:

- 1. If all surviving players ask for a draw, it will be declared. This can occur at any time.
- 2. In the absence of such requests, or in the absence, at any rate, of unanimity among the surviving players, should three playing "years" elapse without transfer of a supply centre, the gamesmaster will ask the remaining players to describe to him, in outline, their plans for victory.
- 3. Any player who does not submit such a plan of campaign when requested to do so will be deemed to have acceded to a draw.
- 4. If one player, at least, submits a plan of campaign, the gamesmaster will look it over. If in his judgment the plan does offer some chance of success, the game will continue, just as before.
- 5. If, on the other hand, the plan amears to the genesmaster to offer no real hope to the player submitting it, the genesmaster will call the game a draw.
  - 6. Steps 2, 3, 4, will be repeated as often as necessary.

It is important to note what is demanded in the "plan of campaign". It need not be a water-tight plan which is guaranteed to succeed against any and all defence; it needs to be a plan which has a reasonable chance of success against reasonable defence. "Sooner or later, X will miss a move. When he does so my attack on Paples will succeed" will not do.

I might say that the matter appears somewhat academic here. Feither of the present ENCOR games shows any sign of going to a

"stalemated" position. However, it is well to be prepared for all eventualities. The comments of players, and other readers, on the procedure set out above, are invited.

# Deadlines.

A little while ago a player wrote in to suggest that the two week deadline used in B OB gives very little time for negotiation. Me suggested that the deadline be extended to two weeks and a half to allow a little more time.

By own feeling is that, usually, slow deadlines result in a loss of interest; all tension and sense of urgency disappears from the game and, as a result, most interest goes as well. So I rather slighted his suggestion.

However, since then, an accident has occurred in one of these games. A player either did not get at all, or got very late, his initial notification of the moves. The word that finally reached him left very little time for him to reply and, in fact, his following moves did not reach here by the deadline, nor on the following day, when the move stencil was cut. This player had, previously, submitted a set of conditional moves and they were used; there can be no doubt though that those moves were less satisfactory than his more considered moves would have been. But the latter did not arrive until one day too late.

First, I would like to remind all players that we are in the second half of the twentieth century and that a long distance telephone service exists. (Let us emulate Jutland Jollies and emphasize, while we are at it, that that Scotsman by birth, and Canadian by adoption, Alexander Graham Bell, invented the telephone in the town of Brantford, Ontario. Ver since, Canadians have been the greatest users of the telephone in the world, as a clipping from a New Lork paper that John Beardman once sent me showed.) In an emergency like that which faced the player mentioned, please phone. If I am at all convinced that the difficulty is in any way my fault I am always willing to pay for the call.

Secondly, however, we come back to the suggestion of the player mentioned in the first panagraph. Do you find the deadline too short? Yould a 17 or 18 day schedule be preferable to the current two-week one? All players are asked to state their views. I don't promise to be ruled by majority opinion, but I will consider it.

# The Loster.

whe last issue of Tonely Tountain, \$37, takes up the Moster, previously published in Graustark. The games listed in the last TROB and shown no Boardman numbers, have now been assigned numbers as follows:

Big Brother #5	1967AX
Diplophobia PQC	1967AL
Xenogogic X-4 (?)	1967A
Berad-Dur K	1967A"
ADAG HI	1967AO

Since then six additional games have formed, Big Brother's #6, Diplophobia's PBC and PSC, Xenogogic's X-12, Armageddonia's #7, and ADAG's E2. For details of these games and all other games, readers are urged to subscribe to Lonely Lountain (Charles Wells, 3678 Lindholm, Cleveland, Ohio, 44120). Editors, in particular, should inform Charles of Deginning and ending of games, changes of players, any errors in published listings, and similar details. In this connection Charles has asked that the following paragraphs be published:

"I did not change the numbers I already assigned for greater "chronological accuracy because I thought it would cause too much "confusion to do so, and because it can't be kept up. It's simply "bound to happen that so e one in South Weehauk, West Virginia, "starts a game and that I don't find out about it for four months. "The Diplomacy world is too big. What I can, and will, do, is "start putting the date, or at least the month, the game started "in the listing.

It seems to me that the following principles operate: (1)
"Do not reassign a number if it turns out that it has been
"assigned to a game that never got started, on which later becomes
"vacant for any other reason. I have already carried this out in
"the case of 1967AI, a team game -- I will not "take away" the
"number and use it again even though I have stopped including
"team games. (2). Assign a number to every game you find out about.
"team going to try to get the system is for keeping records. I
"am going to try to get those gamesmasters who do not publish
"Boardman numbers of their own games to start publishing them,
"at least occasionally in a list is not with every set of moves.
"I plan to send each one of them a list of the Boardman numbers of
"his games. But the point of the system is hot to get gamesmasters
"to co-operate -- I intend to assign cardman numbers to games even
"when the gamesmaster objects, because Boardman numbers are for
"records."

During the years that John Foardern maintained the Ropters of all Diplomacy games he did an excellent job. Little or nothing escaped his eye. Since he had to drop the task it is fortuanate that some one has agreed to take it up - some one with as clear an idea of what is required as Charles Wells expresses in his letter, above.

# A Ford from our bounder.

Allan Calhamer, invastor of Diplomacy, has atated several times how much his ideas in developing the game were incluenced by the writing and lectures of Professor May. See, for example, his article on the origins of Diplomacy, published in Graustary #100, also published in Diplomacy appeared in print elsewhere most Diplomacy editors. It has already appeared in print elsewhere but is repeated here for the benefit of those who haven't had other chance to see it:

Sidney Bradshaw Bay.

Professor Sidney D. Way died last week at 91. Tis book, the Origins of the World War, sud loctures delivered at larvard

in 1950-51 were important in leading me to the development of the game of Diplomacy. Prof. May paid unusual attention to the role of the individual decision maker and his contacts with his counterparts in the diplomatic world. The whole interlock of meshing and conflicting intentions, accidents, blunders, etc., was carefully explored. His work appeared from the retrospect of 1951 as something of an antidote to more nearly deterministic approaches that had become prominent.

- Allan I. Calhamer.

hr. Calhamer also sent a summary of the two matches held in the harshall Chess Club on August 20th and 27th. They follow:

Player	Country	Score	Country	Score	Total	Place
Robin Spital Tom Griffin	Russia England	10 3	Turkey France	10 12	20 15	I N.I
Pater Sepulve	daGermany	7	Germany	4	11	III
Andrew Soltis	Austria	l	Luosia	7	8	$\mathtt{IV}$
B. Pandolfini	Italy	5	England	0	5	V
Stave Gordon	Turkey	5	Italy	0	5	$\Lambda$ .
John Beshara	France	5	-	÷	)	
Ralph Duciano			Austria	. 0	) 5	V

Weither game was played to a conclusion. Points assigned were number of pieces laft on beard at the and of the game.

In the first dame, Russia made allience with Gormany and Turkey,

these memaining firm throughout.

In the second game, Turkey with the points and the corner position was formidable. Italy and Austria attempted to block furkey, which, lowever, allied with mussia. He built mainly fleets and argued that Mussia, with only one point (from the previous week's play), had the best chance to win the tournament if he attempted to sweep northern Europe.

This editors feeling is that Peter Sepulveda made a remarkable showing. In postal play, Germany has, by far, the poorest record of any power. Sepulveda had the ill luck to draw that country in both matches and yet contrived to come third in the over all rating. If he becomes interested in postal play, there are a lot of people who will have to look to their lawrels.

# Secled Bag.

John Roning, 2008 Sherman, Apt. #1, Hvanston, Hll., 60201.: On MROD, I must agree with Turner (MROD #70). I found the Current Game ating List quite fascinating, and was disappointed to see it discontinued. .. While it is true that newer players may be discouraged by immediate losses only balanced by very gradual gains, I do not think this is sufficient to eliminate such a listing. As a mauter of fact, I am contemplating publishing a continuous center-year chart, based on Dierdman's listings. I am merely fascinated by statistics, I guess.

Along with historians, lawyers, military men, etc., I would like to put forward the assertion that economists make good diplomats. as evidence I offer John Smyths, Roland Tzudikor, and mysslf...and mention that Fournelle is a professor of Folitical Leonomy. Of course, what I am talking about is Diplomacy players, not diplomate as such. ((+(For those who went the Current Gaus deting List, the desired Wing is back. See page 3. ... Lour point on Maconomists as Diplomats, whether diplomets or not, is well taken. John Smythe's name clone gives considerable weight to your angument. -jamec)+))

#### INV PLCOD

the following are interested in Diplomacy. Some of them wrote asking about the game and available openings. The names of others were forwarded by Monte Zelazny and Boug. Beyerlein.

Bob McMaughton, Hickory Moras of Chio Store, 65 Farole Plaza, Annapolis, Md., 21401.

Charles Welsh, 6917 Cherry Lane, Annandale, Virginia, 22003.

Paul Scroggie, Sprague, Washington, 99032.

Preston Moward, 103 Westridge Drive, Wallahassee, Dla., 32304.

Eduard Halle, 107 SE 8th St., Gainsville, Fla., 32601.

Tenney Word, 184 South Wassier Dr., St. Patersburg Reach, Mla., 33706.

Scott Moward, 104 Branklyn Ave., Indiatlantic, Bla.

Robert Borries, Box 113, Orange Park, Ma., 32073.

And, with the decks awash in Tresh blood, it is a fortuante whing Wat there is to be a new journal to son up some of it. Douglas Meyorlain, 3934 S. W. Southern, Seattle, Wash., 98116, announces that he and Doug Paker will jointly publish a new 'zine to be called Efgiart. Game fee is 2.

Diplomacy is a game manufactured and sold by Games Research, Inc., 48 Wareham St., Moston, Mass., 02118. The mostal version of the game has given rise to a host of magazines. One of the latter is

DROBDINGNAG, which is published and edited by J. A. McCallum, Malston, Alberta, Canada. It sells for ten cents a copy. The same made a plies for copies of back lesues and also for subscriptions. Whis wagezine trades with all other Postal Diplomacy Mulletins which are known to its editor.