

"What makes you think there are settlements THAT way, R2? It looks much easier THIS way."

# النشرة الرسمية من بروتس

#60

## وأنت كذلك يا بروتس؟

The BRUTUS BULLETIN is brought to you every few weeks by John Michalski, (Mih-HOLL-skee) Rt 10, Box 526 Q, Moore, OK 73165, and is a newsletter of postal DIPLOMACY™ Dip is a game invented by Alan Calhamer and copyrighted by the Avalon Hill Game Company, Baltimore. Subs are available at the rate of 10/\$4, 12/\$4 for resubs for now; rates will go up soon.

Yes, the title says Brutus Bulletin/et tu, Brute, not as one was suggested, "The Ayatollah eats it raw". The titlepiece this issue is in Arabic, courtesy of Mike Conner, address & letter within. If any of you know Persian and WOULD write what "The Ayatollah..." looks like in Persian, I'd be glad to run it though! Also, to all you east coast liberals who are offended, I will also print a title in Yiddish if you will send a nice dark copy as Mike did, to give your crowd equal time.

SUBS are expiring hot and heavy this time. Those I show expiring with this issue are CONNER, OSUCH, LEE, KOHLBECKER, COUGHLAN, SCHILLING, & GIBSON. Those who expired with LAST issue or 58 were Walker, Watson, Beamer, Baumeister, Lipscomb; those coming up within an issue or two are...heck, about half of you! I plan to go to 12/\$5 straight rate later instead of 10/\$4.50 flat rate, which will give you a little break of 3¢ an issue; however, if you want to sign up for an extra dozen NOW, you can get them for this OLD rate, saving you about 10¢ an issue.

FRANCOIS CUERRIER (Kury-ae) was ~~so~~ kind enough to call Mar 17 for an hour at his expense, trying to sucker me into a bet on Kennedy winning the election. No, thanks, I've changed my mind on that. Also swapped war sotries, learned about Canada and Bill LaFosse and New Brunswick and neo-liberalism vs real liberalism and what-not. Also, I need to correct a misimpression that Francois pointed out: the nasty adjectives regarding the rejected letters mentioned back in #58 or so applied to Wileman's letter, not so much Francois'. His was not the insulting one, for instance.

NEW FEATURE APPEARS THIS ISSUE! Inside is the first "copy" of THE PERILS OF PAULINA, a brief zine put out by Bob Osuch. He has adopted 1979N from the defunct AGAINST THE ODDS and is starting up another effort like our current CANAL DREGS that Jack Frost is so diligently running. PoP features relatively fast deadlines, encourages black press and is otherwise an attractive prospect as well. If you encourage him, you might talk him into doing another, altogether new game. See address within.

NEW BLOOD: Publishers interested in new faces might send a sample to Mike Henry, 516 W Alpine, Austin, TX 78704. You know, it's too bad that it is such a chore to turn out a published product as it is, because with the low turnover, game demands, and new inquiries I get, I often get the impression that I could push to or past the level of VOICE OF DOOMB or EGGNOGGIN or one of those biggees without too much difficulty. All I'd need is a GS 16 job, providing lots of pay, lots of free time, a big xerox machine or (like here) two, and a few less marbles than I have left right now... Jerry Jones is right about the lesson of who to keep in and out too, but that's another story.

THE LAS VEGAS \$25,000 TOURNAMENT was in fact a hoax. Nice job, though. I wonder who would go through all that trouble just for kicks? And who is this John Masters I keep hearing about? (U) You know, it's too bad that there are so few xerox zines around, or I'd be tempted to try a fake one myself, and probably be faked out by them once or thrice. (Hopefully they'd get the numbering straight, so I could adjust your subs for the guy's fake!). I guess xeroxing is so expensive that it frightens them all off. Our rates here have gone up, for instance: the rate we pay on the last 1000 copies, variable cost only, has gone from 1.1¢ each to 1.2¢. Terrible, isn't it?

First, how about some issue talk? Last issue wasn't too bad other than the printing of one season of 79HE twice; I wasn't sure if it was in there or not, so I thought, better twice than not at all. That's how it came out, darn it. This issue will have a little more meat, less games. Still, for the true connoiseur, there is a good batch of degenerate 1980S press to read, and perhaps PERILS OF PAULINA will chip in next time also. The cover of this one is blue again by mistake; I should have remembered what the last one was, but didn't. The supply of wierd envelopes, those with imprinted and metered AND licked stamps, is mercifully winding down, so more and more of you will start getting normal stuff. Also, the parent company has changed its name from "-Gas Co" to "-Energy Corp", since oil & gas have a bad name in the US, so I expect more and more stationery and envelopes will be set or tossed aside with the old designations on them; good news for me! I'm not proud, I'll send these to you in a brown paper bag if I can do it cheaper than otherwise that way. You benefit too, for now. As my inventory of stuff wears down and we approach the point where every cent comes straight from my pocket, rates will have to be adjusted accordingly. I'm gearing up for that now, as the mid-60s could see things tightening up a lot.

If you like to follow games, I have the complete history of the last round of the WARCON 80 Dipgame on the long sheet in this issue, start to finish; then too, there's a long letter from Gibson in basic 'Gibsonese' as its called, or brev-spel I think he calls it, for those of you who enjoy the challenge of reading that. Some of the endgame statements for the 2d 10-day-deadline game, 79CK, appear this time; and whatever else floats in in the way of letters, jokes, insults, and the like.

I had intended to run a lot more, like Bill Becker's endgame statement, some Dave Carter contributions, some general BSing, and 3 more pages of game results now ready to go (including 80S, another good raunchy season), but my page count is already too high, so out the door this one goes, as is. Once again, of course, a lot of carryover like that means that there will soon again be another BB stuffing your box and your patience perhaps, but I'm about ready to give up on trying to cut down and cut back. I get SO much stuff that I want to print or feel I have to print that it is clearly no use. You're just doomed to more and more of this stuff for the foreseeable future.

In keeping with the bits-and-pieces approach of this column, here's a late entry from Bruxus Linsey:

"An open letter of apology to Steve McLendon: In no way were my remarks about the price of THE DRAGON & THE LAMB intended to reflect on the high quality of this publication. D&L is one of the finest zines in this hobby, and I certainly did not mean to leave anybody with any other impression than that. I trust there are no hard feelings about it, Steve."

I didn't get that impression, but maybe someone did, so at Bruce's request, there it is.

TÖRBJÖRN STRÖM, publisher of BALTIC BATTLER, a Swedish dipzine in good English, has answered a question a reader asked about Scandanavians: can Danes, Norwegians, and Swedes understand each other? He says yes, which kind of surprised me, as I thought they were like Danes and Germans, or Spanish & Protugese: related linguistically, but not mutually understandable. I guess I was wrong. Tor asks if Finns and Estonians can likewise understand each other; that's a good one. Torb also ran a picture of himself, and Swedes look like regular American people, in case you didn't know that either.

GREG COSTIKYAN has put out an issue of LORD OF HOSTS, the zine of the Miller Number Custodian (him) which is to the variant hobby what EVERYTHING (Kendter) is to the regular hobby. Anyone running variant games can get a Miller number from him upon request, and should report the endgame wrapup to him for publication and immortalization in the hobby records via LoH. Write him at Box 865, Brown U, Providence, RI 02912. This includes those of you running blind games I'm floundering around in too.

TONY WATSON's latest RURI has some book reviews of 2 Han Solo novels, but no time to elaborate here. Write 1481 S Palm #207, Las Vegas, NV 89107. Tell him his BB sub is expired to

Scratch  
that  
wait till  
#61

# NEW FEATURE!

1979N

THE PERILS OF PAULINA

March 18, 1980

(As featured in the Brutus Bulletin)

## GREAT POWERS AWAKEN FROM EIGHT MONTH SNOOZE!

The new cast:

AUSTRIA: Tom Mainardi, 1403 Lawrence Rd., Havertown, PA 19083  
ENGLAND: Ronald Kelly, 6038 Richmond Hwy #314, Alexandria, VA 22303  
FRANCE: Gary Howe, 2012 Sandra Dr., Midwest City, OK 73110  
GERMANY: Eric Kirchner, 9036A McConnell St., Laughlin AFB, TX 78840  
ITALY: Tom Henthorn, 215 E Chicago Ave. #1202, Chicago, IL 60611  
RUSSIA: Jack Frost, RR 4 Box 138B, Lockport, IL 60441  
TURKEY: Kevin Mooney, 216 Charles St., Westfield, NJ 07090

Countries were assigned by a double blind draw, save Austria and Turkey, who were the only original players who cared to continue. Thanks to all for helping me get this, my initial GMing venture, off the ground. Special thanks to Dick Martin and Joe Daly for providing me with the material necessary to get going. And of course to John Michalski, for moral support above and beyond the call of duty.

1979N is a game of regular Diplomacy that first appeared in Craig Reges' now defunct 'zine, Against the Odds. The game was in Winter, 1901 when the 'zine folded. It has thus been idle for over eight months, F01 being in June, 1979. The new 'zine of record will be my Perils of Paulina. Incidentally, I am Bob Csuch, 3417 S. Paulina, Chicago, IL 60608. PH: 312-927-7069. Phoned orders will be accepted as long as they are accompanied by some means of positive identification. If any of you need houserules, just ask and you shall receive, though if you're familiar with the BB houserules, you won't need them. And now, for the positions.

1901	#	NET	COUNTRY & POSITION
	5	+2	Austria has A-Tri, F-Gre, A-Ser
	5	+2	England has A-Lon, F-Nwy, F-Bel
	4	+1	France has A-Spa, F-Eng, A-Pic
	5	+2	Germany has A-Den, F-Hol, A-Ruh
	4	+1	Italy has A-Tun, F-Ion, A-Ven
	6	+2	Russia has A-Rum, F-Bla, F-GoBot, A-Swe
	4	+1	Turkey has A-Bul, A-Con, F-Aeg

If you're curious about previous movement, you'll have to ask one of the originals. Black press is encouraged, and we'll go with two week deadlines. Actually, they'll be more like seventeen days. If there are any questions, please feel free to ask, and I'll do my best to answer them. If my best isn't good enough, then I will have failed miserably with this project and will probably commit suicide. Keep this in mind.

Winter, 1901 builds will be due with my mail received on Friday, April 4, 1980. Good luck to all!

MAR 17 1980

FALL, 1902:

AUSTRIA (Petrie): F Gre-AEG; A BUL H; A Bud-SER; A TYO-Mun; A BOH S A Tyo-Mun  
ENGLAND (Mitchell): F NWY S F Nth; F ENG S & F NTH C A Lon-Bel; A Lon-BEL  
FRANCE (Johnson): A PAR-Bur; A BUR-Mar; A Gas-SPA; F Spasc-POR; F Bel-Eng/d/r Pic, Otb  
GERMANY (Cummings): F SWE H; A HOL S ENGLISH A Lon-Bel; A RUH & A KIE S A Mun; A MUN H  
ITALY (Grabar): F Tyn-GLYO; F Wes-MID; A PIE-Mar; A Ven-TRI  
RUSSIA (Chatfield): F Sev-BLA; A Ukr-SEV; A RUM S A Ukr-Sev; F STPsc H  
TURKEY (Becker): A Con-SMY; A Arm-ANK; F Bla-CON

COA: Joe Petrie to: General Delivery, Middlebury, VT 05753  
Dave Grabar emphasizes that his address is 1583 Truman

Ah, so. Can Russia make a comeback? Will E&I fight over the French spoils? Will Poland become a theater of war? Will the Turks take Cyprus? Let's start finding out  
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 2, 1980, when Winter, 1902, and spring, 1903 will be due here. Press follows 1902 in review.

1902:	A: Vie Bud <del>7/7</del> Ser Gre BUL	5	even
	E: Home Nwy BEL	5	build 1
	F: Home <del>Bel</del> Spa POR	5	even*
	G: Home Den Hol SWE	6	build 1
	I: Home Tun TRI	5	build 1
	R: Home RUM	5	build 1
	T: Home <del>Bul</del>	3	1 ann., even
	Neutral: <del>Swe Por Rym</del>	0	
		34	

Oh, and of course your spring orders can be conditional on the builds, and those builds can be conditional on the retreats. France can build one if F Bel r OTB. Whenever you submit conditional orders or builds, please label one set as "all other cases"!

80D Press

BERN, Switzerland: The Institute for Geopolitical Studies has released a bulletin covering the current state of eastern affairs. This paper warns of a rapid increase in Austrian power if the Russo-Turkish war continues.

CON-VIE: Bite my Bul.

ROME-MadLab: Six armpits? I think I'm in love!!

CON-MOS: An official Apology Hindsight ain't worth much though.

ROME-BUD: Just checking.

ROME-TURKEY: Hang in there, I'll help if I can.

ROME-PARIS: I'm not attacking, I'm just scouting.

LONDON-PARIS: His Majesty expressed amusement at the president's truculence. The fleet in the Channel seeks only to secure the blessings of liberty for the Belgic masses. You, yourself told me F ENG was the only way to support an army into Belgium.

CON: The name of the country needs to be changed.

BULG: Arch Dupe Ferd, with speed boat in tow, announced that his next vacation spot would be Lake Bakal or Loch Ness, depending on whether he held the map upside down and continued his spit and hit tactics.

WILGARIA: Consumption (TB) up due to Arch Dupe's covering area with phlegm.

ANY CAPITAL, EUROPE: Would I lie?

Did you notice how I cut the salutation? Since you never use them, why should I bother. Well, BB#58 arrived, and I was quite pleased that I actually read every letter this issue, as usually I overlook the more 'suspect' writers. I guess I'm getting soft.

By the way...It's the number one fact of life...VD gets around...and you thought Brux just pubbed a zine.

I, myself, was wondering about this "\$25,000 Dip Tourney". I've talked to The Grand Volker a couple of times in the last few weeks, and he never mentioned it. I got my DDs the other day, after I got the 'announcement' and Mark didn't say anything. The 'announcement' arrives in one of those little envelopes: pretty shabby for an outfit moving around with LV casinos, and the #1 TV Net, wouldn't you say? And then, I read the stuff on how the Championship would be handled and wondered how ABC would make 7 guys sitting in hotel rooms talking to each other over the phone exciting. I mean, why not put on re-runs of some demo-derby?

BUT...never one to pass a good thing by, I sent a postcard to Region 7's organizer saying, "put my name in the hat."

Now, that my suspicions have been seconded, and by the time you all read this, we've all had a laugh...(watched \$25,000 go up in smoke)...and now wonder who done it?

BUT I wish Rod Walker and friends would stop faking things: he makes life confusing!!

Time to reflect...How powerful is TV in shaping public opinion on world affairs? How many letters on Iran do we see in BB since the network newsmen were shown the door in Iran? But, we had the Olympics...US Hockey team. We have primaries during the week and for the time-being NCAA basketball playoffs on the weekend...I guess since the media dosen't have time for Iran, either do we! Well, perhaps we should scratch our heads for awhile. I did hear a rumor about a US Ambassador being held hostage in some bananna republic? (Can anyone confirm this? And what is his name?)

Good news on the political front! John Connolly dropped out. I no longer live in fear. My voodoo root-man Rev DePrince has been taken off retainer. Now, we've got Reagan, Bush and Ford on standby. (Did I not say that Jerry would?) Anderson probably wouldn't be a bad candidate, but the Republicans just won't give him the nomination. He's too urbane and looks like a Yalie.

I guess LaFosse is the best we've got. We know where he stands on the issues of slavery and defense. He dosen't accept Federal matching funds. He's a man unafraid: he dosen't want Secret Service agents between him and trouble. And he's probably the guy we need when Quebec leaves the Canadian Confederation because he's got friends in Ottawa. Maybe we could pick up Ontario in the deal and put a maple leaf on the stars and bars.

Moving on...Looks like Jimmy (our beloved Prez) got snookered in Iran, but it ain't his fault--really. In Iran you have one uncompromising, unrealistic, aging religious fanatic who has true Power. On the other hand you have a Revolutionary Council, a President and a Foreign Minister who have no power. The latter three vary in a true comprehension of the Embassy takeover. Then you have the terrorists-militants-students who are calling the shots.

The problem for the President and the Foreign Minister is how to get a Medieval religious leader to back their 20th century ideas, when all the folks holding the hostages have to do is stir up the crowds and remind this religious guy of the Dark Ages and withdraw his support of the gov't guys. The Iranian Gov't is doing its administrative functions, but the Embassy folks are making policy.

I think that Jimmy ought to terminate the student's assignment with extreme prejudice as soon as possible and boost Iran's President into real power. It would be quaint. The Soviets would have their statelet and we'd have ours: and we'd be neighbors. We could then use them as surrogates to fight WWII, after all it has to come sometime and there's no better place.

Or perhaps we could hire some of Germany and Britian's secret agents for some covert activities that would make the student's look like the Soviets they really are. I wonder how many of the foreign news correspondents are really paid for other jobs.

Want to talk about something that's not in the news, but just the thing for St Patrick's Day? How about Northern Ireland? That probably strikes you as a tedious subject because you don't know how to root for. It's like an American or a Canadian watching cricket: you just don't know what the hells happening, though you can see things going on. Well, it's really pretty simple. The Protestant Loyalists/Unionists say No Ireland is part of the UK and always will be. The IRA and the Provo IRA say the Prods are crazy: it always was part of Ireland. The British say, "Let's do it democratically", but they've installed a military jurisdiction over the place because the Prods wouldn't give up any power to the Catholics when they tried a power-sharing gov't. So Thatcher figures we'll just keep the lid on it and maybe it'll blow over. So, then you get the Catholic and Protestant paramilitaries all pissed off at the Brits, who in turn get mad at the other two until you have a three-sided conflict cluttered with all sorts of people inbetween. And, did I forget the guys in Dublin? Well, they'd like to see No Ire part of a united Ireland, but they're too close to the Holy Mother, the Roman Catholic Church for anyone's comfort (You know, you can buy a Playboy or get divorced in The Republic of Ireland), but they also take the stand for protecting their co-religionists in the north, except the Army of the Republic has no muscle, since Ireland is neutral. So, added to this is the Irish-Americans, who want to get the US involved as a guarantor of rights/peace/etc so everyone can get together and have a conference, but Jimmy and all the rest of the Presidential hopefuls either say "No" or "no comment". Then, there's a strange quirk with the US State Dept. Jimmy and the Congress is trying to find out why they are so pro-British to the detriment of Irish-American opinion of them and relations with Ireland.

Now, if you want to make lots of Irish friends just take this to the nearest Irish bar on St Patty's Day, slosh down a few pints, show this to the nearest stranger and say, "Fuck the IRA."

Mills, conclusion

The above explanation is pretty close to reality, so you can see it's hard to side unless you get emotionally involved. Perhaps the IRA will take the British Embassy staff hostage in Washington DC? Then, we'd see more, but until then keep hoping.

Sincerely...

PS: John, since you never run puzzles, her's one for you.  
decode this message and you will have proved you is smert:

26224967iPM 27556931 U2524682849695551028R 4616Mh92dqMNR99  
AZXHSVrS 67409733684953954098225217034392672420 q40ZM2532  
0225349067 j37FMgyfWYQV 7297 zNrTVK XTNYSV

((My spare time goes into typing and correspondence, I'm afraid. Maybe someone in the reading audience will care to take a shot at it.

Current news items get short shrift because of the time lag involved in even a relatively fast-paced zine like this one, and more, because of the wasted effort that much discussion of, say, the hostages would be. If Carter is willing to do nothing, what good is a statement here, e.g.?

I have always favored a unified Ireland. Historically, as you point out, the religious issue may not have been what it is; nonetheless, it is very real now, whatever the reasons, so only persistence and finally, brute force will be needed to unify the island. I don't think we'll see it this century, though.)) J.M.

3214 Beverly Road  
Austin, Texas 78703

R. Michael Conner

Finally got around to sending you my translation of "The Brutus Bulletin" and "et tu, Brute" into Arabic. Hope it's useful to you. I don't gurarantee that the translation is 100% accurate, since I've only had four semesters of Arabic and that hardly makes me an expert.

John Kelley's comments in BB #58 prompts me to comment that burning the Iranians wouldn't be a just response to the unthinkable murder of the hostages. A friend of mine said we oughta bomb 'em with pigs.

Enjoyed Eric Kirchner's description of the WARCON '80 tournament. I also played in the Dip tourney, and I pretty much agree with his assessment. Upon realizing that a two-player alliance holding 18 centers would advance to the finals, Rap Peguero and I (England and France) sealed a firm alliance and proceded to demoralize the other players, who conceded to us after spring 1903. I'm enclosing a record of the final round for your amusement.

One final comment: it really chaps me to read the cheap shots some people take at research projects they make no attempt to understand. It is a manifestation of the rising anti-intellectual atmosphere in our country. We are falling behind in our basic research precisely because the grant proposals cannot predict unforeseen spinoff benefits and must focus on immediate benefits. The blurbs from Conservative Digest poking fun at NSF grants get laughs, but maybe the buffalo gourd is being considered as a source of hydrocarbons! That wouldn't be quite as funny, would it?

((I'm sure, or at least I HOPE, that there was some real basis for the claims made and granted that BLUNDERS quotes. However, how many hot shot "professors" pad their pay and lighten their teaching 'burden' by stepping up to the gov't trough for some bullshit "research" project? The heaviest research most of 'em do is researching where the heftiest grants are to be found . Thanks much for the coverpeice! )) J.M.

IT'S THAT TIME AGAIN, GUYS!

Courtesy of DRAGON & THE LAMB

Form 1040 US Department of the Treasury - INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Individual Income Tax Return 1977

For the year JANUARY 1 - DECEMBER 31, 1976. OR WHENEVER YOU GET AROUND TO IT

Name: **JEFF MACNEELY** LAST NAME: **MACNEELY** SECOND LAST INITIAL: **MACNEELY** STARRED? ☐ YES ☒ NO ☐ CUFFS ☐ NO CUFFS

Present Address of Addresser (must be filled out by Addresser - legal guardian of Addresser) (unless greater than line 10 above)  
 City, Town, Post Office, State (ZIP Code) (NO 12%)  
**THE RICHMOND NEWS LEADER**

IS YOUR ADDRESS GREATER THAN LINE 41 P. 1? ☐ NO ☐ YES ☐ YOURS ☐ SPOUSE

IF YES, WHY? ☐ YES ☐ NO

Requested by DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE: ☐ A. HOW MANY TALKING CHICKENS DO YOU OWN? ☐ B. NAMES ☐ C. DO ANY OF THEM PLAY THE GIG? ☐ YES ☐ NO

DO YOU LIVE WITHIN 2 MILES OF A DECENT PIZZA PLACE? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ EXTRA CHEESE

DO YOU HAVE YOUR TITLES LITELY? ☐ YES ☐ NO

IF NO, FILE THE TIME ROTATION SCHEDULE L

E. YES? ☐ YES ☐ NO F. NO? ☐ YES ☐ NO

Filing Status: ☐ 1 Single ☐ Double ☐ Sacrifice Fly ☐ 2 Married Filing Single joint return (even if spouse is married separately) ☐ 3 Joint married single separate spouse (but filing DOUBLE JOINTED) ☐ 4 Head of Household filing separate but joint return (if unmarried but jointly single) ☐ 5 Head of joint filing single file spouse's separately ☐ 6 Widower with separate dependent filing out of joint return single

Exemptions: ☐ 41 a REGULAR? ☐ yourself? ☐ Spouse ☐ b Names of Dependent children who lived with you ☐ c Just First names, Dummy ☐ 4 Do you weigh more than last year's tax form? ☐ e Number of Parakeets subtracted from Gross Rotated Income (plus line 27 - unless greater than TWELVE MILES) ☐ f How many inches in a liter? ☐ 7 a Total Confusion (add lines 6e and f, fold in eggs, and uniform)

Presidential Election Campaign Fund: ☐ DO YOU WISH TO DESIGNATE \$1 OF YOUR TAXES TO THIS WORTHY CAUSE? ☐ YES ☐ ISN'T THIS A RUMBLE? ☐ NO ☐ IF YOU CHECKED YES, WE WILL COME OUT AND STRIKE ALL YOUR HUSBANDS

9 Wages, Salaries, Tips, Extortion ☐ ATTACH W-2 FORMS TO YOUR FOREHEAD WITH HEAVY DUTY STAPLE GUN ☐ 10 Remunerations ☐ IF LESS THAN GROSS REIMBURSEMENTS, THEN FILE SCHEDULE Q (SEE PAGE 14 OF "JOY OF COOKING") ☐ 11 Gross Intox ☐ 12 Money you made ☐ IF 100 OR LESS, MORE OR LESS, LIST SCHEDULE B WITHOUT NOT FILING IN PART I AND 12, BUT MORE THAN LINE 8 ☐ 13 What about all that cash you stashed in that jar under the garage? ☐ 14 SUBTRACT 13 FROM 11 ☐ 15 (THE ANSWER TO 14 IS 1)

• HOW WOULD YOU LIKE A GOOD SOCK IN THE FACE, FELLA? ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ IF LINE 15 IS BIGGER THAN A BREADBOX OR MORE, GO TO LINE 43 TO FIGURE TAX

TAX RATE SCHEDULE, X, Y, OR 12 ☐ See Page 7 of INSTRUCTIONS ☐ CHECK HERE

Think of a number between 1 and 10

Reprinted from ARRAKIS#48 and PASSCHENDAELE #21:  
 From Pakistan\*

I come to Canada poor and broke  
 Get on unemployment, see labour bloke.  
 Fill in form, have lots of chatters.  
 Kind man give me lots of akkers.

I thank him much and then he say:  
 Come next week and get more pay.  
 You come here and we make you wealthy,  
 Doctor too, he make you healthy.

Six months welfare, get plenty money,  
 Good Dr. Ballard fill my tummy.  
 Send for friends from Pakistan,  
 Tell them come as quick as can.

Plenty of us making out,  
 Lovely suit and big bank account.  
 Unemployment Insurance is a boon,  
 All the dark men on it soon.

They come here in rags and tatters,  
 Go down Commission, get more akkers;  
 They come with me, we live together,  
 One bad thing, the bloody weather.

One day white man come inside,  
 Ask me if we wash in Tide,  
 Yes, I say we wash in tide,  
 Too damn cold to wash outside.

All get nicely settled down,  
 Fine big house in busy town,  
 Fourteen families living up,  
 Fourteen families living down.

All are paying nice big rent,  
 More in garden live in tent.  
 Soon I send for all my family,  
 Brothers and cousins and even granny.

Still vote Liberal, big bank roll,  
 Good old Trudeau, bless his soul.  
 Wife wants glasses, teeth, and pills,  
 All are free, we get no bills.

White man good, he pay all year,  
 To keep the unemployment insurance her  
 Bless all white men big and small,  
 For paying tax to keep us all.

We think Canada damn good place.  
 Too damn good for white man's race.  
 If he not like the coloured man,  
 PLENTY ROOM IN PAKISTAN!

\* Read the paragraphs from left to right.



First of all I would like to say a few things about the 10 day format and the GM. I signed on to play in 1979 CK after receiving a solicitous letter from John attempting to recruit players for an experimental game format, the 10 day Dip game. I believe I sent in my game fee the same day. At no point was I anything but enthusiastic about the format. The short deadlines not only adapt well to postal play, but allow for a more wide open game as timing becomes a more crucial and ever present factor. It much more reminded me of the style of a FTF game where actions seem to dictate alliances rather than tradition and writing style. John's efficiency and fairness plus his "hard-line" seemed to be the glue that held this almost chaotic game together.

I have nothing bad to say about any of the players, so from that (and probably many other) standpoint this letter will be boring. Sorry guys. About the drawing alliance between Bill and myself... It was not nurtured from the beginning of the game. In fact, I was trying my best to ignore him and keep him busy with the Russian. The grounds for an alliance was purely situational and was cemented by a couple mutually complimenting moves. Soon thereafter we had letters cross in the mail that outlined our independently arrived at visions for the rest of the game; they were essentially identical. From that point on the game was more or less tactical, we really never had any "issues" to discuss. That was a tremendous advantage in the 10 day format as it took the other players (me included) about a month to recognize the A-T spectre. That was over 3 game seasons and by then there was little anyone could do to stop us.

At the game's beginning there was a 3-way "pen-pal" sort of alliance between Mitchell, Kelley and myself. We exchanged a flurry of letters (an aspect of postal diplomacy I like). The monkeywrench that ended our bliss was the fact that the best player in the game was playing Italy. To point out a contrast in styles, Becker and I only talked once on the phone and that was to clean up the mess my leaving for a two week vacation created. We conducted the entire game via the mail, such as it is. Frost, on the other hand, not only was lightyears ahead of me in his analysis of the game of Diplomacy, but he already apparently understood the impact of a well timed phonecall. He totally awed me in our first conversation. My reaction... I'd better ally with this boy! His action after our conversation awed me even more; he stabbed me! Communication ceased but his moves continued to amaze me as his position continued to improve despite being surrounded by the "triple alliance" of A/G/F. About '03 or '04 the A-T alliance started to take shape and I figured my problems with Frost were over. But what's this? Frost calls me for the second time in the game and announces he's on to the A-T alliance (I mean I was barely on to it) and tries to undo me again. Awed again, but not duped. Having to deal with a "genius" as my main nemesis was the highlight of the game and I had mixed emotions when he was finally eliminated. The other highlight was that the action and fast pace of the game could actually form an alliance itself. I think both Bill and I were surprised when the ramifications of an A-T became apparent. We had no time to really pound out an agreement, but we both acted on the situation and, presto, the game was in our hands. With longer deadlines the other players may have smelled the rat and formed a counter-alliance. But, as it was, they continued to scrap and the once very exciting game became a yawner. Thanks to all for a great game. When's the next 10 DAY, John?

Endgame Statement (John Kelley, France) for 1979-CK:

Boy, was this a strange experience. Ten days, indeed! I wondered if I would even be able to keep up with the thing, let alone work up a good position.

Well, things started out on a fairly good note. Somehow, from the beginning, I felt Russia was doomed. No disrespect to Dave, of course; but it was a premonition. Yup, sure enough, down he went. Over in France I was quite bewildered. Letters came from most everyone; but the one from Tom Henthorn over in Austria impressed me most. Germany moved into Bur in SO1, and Italy to Pie; I thought I was doomed! But Germany pulled back, and Italy used a magnificent A Ven-Tri, A Pie-Tyo which caught Tom off guard. Jack, I still congratulate you on that one; I've never seen the like! However, everyone was out to get Frost, because the poor guy had won 79-E, so he didn't really have much chance. About 1903 a FGA alliance formed, working against Engalnd and Italy. Which was when I made the most inexcusable blunder of the game, and fittingly that was what it cost me. I feared for Austria's safety. I also wanted to get help vs. Italy. So I worked my can off trying to solidify an A-T alliance. Well, that was the Frankenstein of the game; soon they became unstoppable. Hayner began to play well toward the end, but by that time I had began to lose interest. So, those two won. Here's my general impression of the players and their playing styles:

Austria (Henthorn): It was a pleasure to play with Tom. Not only was he a very friendly guy, but he was very clever and deserves his share of the victory. Well done, Tom.

England (Hayner): Well, his habits of massive double-dealing with abandon disgusted me at first (when one does that, it all gets back to him; better to lie once in a while, and make it good), and I was pleased to war with him. But toward the end, he got better and better. Not a bad player.

Germany (Nathan Mitchell): Well, he sure put a lot into the game! England and I seem to have been deluged with letters from him early on; it's a shame a nice guy like that who worked so hard didn't survive longer than a lazy bum like me!

Italy (Frostie): After playing in the game with Jack, I see his diplomatic talents, the ones that won him 1979-E: the ability to strike quickly and decisively like a cobra. Formidable, but like Russia, Jack never really got a chance to do much. He's a good player, though, and I hope to see TCD become good.

Russia (Grabar): I feel kinda sorry for ol' gruesome. Poor guy never had a prayer, when the A-T wolves got at him. Funny thing, too, because Dave was easily the most experienced player in the game (10 years under his belt, and I don't think any of us have more than two).

Turkey (Bill Becker): Hmmm..well, I paid him less attention than I ought to've ealry in the game; toward the end I was surprised and nauseated by the fact that he was wasting ink writing me every season about our 'alliance' which he continued to blatantly encroach upon, and how we could conquer the world together. Standard procedure was to ignore them and fight instead. But, whatever he did, it won him part of the game, so I tender him my congrats. Good show, Bill.

John Michalski, GM: Never in my life have I seen any GM so prompt, excellent, knowledgeable, and outright fun to play under than John Michalski. He never failed to give us the maximum time possible with these short deadlines, and even though I got wiped out, this game was well worth my money. Here's to John Michalski, one of the hobby's outstanding GMs.

Well, that's that; let CK (and my pitiful play there) rest in peace.

*John Kelley*

March 10, 1980

BOB OSUCH

3417 S Paulina, Chicago 60608

Sorry to bore you with the same old argument, but I do believe this time it has gone beyond that. Hey, wait a minute. You actually like this sort of thing. Still, I cannot sit idly while my name and reputation are being smeared across the pages of your ~~scandal/sheet~~ fine 'zine. So I feel obliged to dissect Scott Marley's last letter (BB#58) in order to expose him as the buffoon that he is. Scott seems to enjoy nitpicking, so by all means let's pick some nits.

Nit #1: Scott obviously takes everything he reads at face value. As I explained to you earlier (BB#53), the tone of my original letter was more than slightly satirical. Thus, my diatribe professing my heterosexuality should have been taken in that context. Yet Scott claims to have been offended, and adds, "The sort of person who is "genuinely interested " in the sex life of complete strangers is not much more mature than the sort of person who is genuinely interested in peeping through bedroom windows. ". Fair enough. Later on, however, he reveals his sexual tastes with the remark, " us "normal" folk ". What's it going to be Scott? Either none of us " complete strangers " are interested that you're "normal", or we both enjoy peeping through bedroom windows.

Nit #2: Scott speaks of the homo-hetero transformation as if it's the rule rather than the exception, and infers that I claim that it cannot be done. What I actually said was "Any psychologist will tell you that you'd have about as much chance of converting a homo to hetero as you would converting a hetero to homo.". Sure, it can be done in a closely controlled therapeutic situation, but let's talk about the real world. The reason the transformation is so difficult is not because it can't be done, but that the majority of homosexuals view themselves as "normal", per se. Therefore, who needs therapy? Does Scott envision homos flocking to the shrink in droves? I hope not. If so, let's see some concrete data. It's not worth a trip to the library to me, and being semi-literate it would take me too long to look up all those big words.

Nit #3: Frame of reference. Scott is speaking from fruit country, California, where anything goes. In Illinois, a more structured and conservative climate prevails. To use the old catch-all, it's all relative. Illinois hasn't near the gay population of California, but since environments vary, neither of us can speak on absolute terms. So, Scott, your personal experiences are questionable support, at best.

CONT'D

Nit #4: This is probably the most important AND relevant nit of all. Scott challenges, " If somebody doesn't come up with a reason why they wouldn't want their son to become gay, I shall consider myself the winner of this argument by default. ". What about procreation, Scott? For your sake, let's hope that it's not your son who's the only hope of prolonging the Marley name. Even if it weren't important to you personally, what about the rest of your family or those close to you? Of course, if family isn't important to you then you can disregard this argument. And I'll kick myself in the ass for trying to reason with a nihilist in the first place.

Thank you, John, for letting me clear the air, let off steam, practice my typing, ...

((I have to disagree with the last point though, Bob. Creating a clan has no value to me, as I view people as individuals rather than standardbearers for the family group. Also, if the population of this country were reduced by 1/3, I think we'd all be better off (altho to do so disproportionately, among the decent folk, would probably result in collapse). To that extent, Gibson was right in pointing out how the dirt have 11 children, the bright have 1. He says we should reverse that. I would say, just delete the 11. This is especially true with the queers, where nature corrects its own mistakes by eliminating the mutants in one generation. Personally, I wouldn't mind seeing the "family line" of all the wierdos, perverts, kooks, ---hell, even the liberals--- all end with the very next generation. Good riddance to 'em!)) J.M.

Now an update from our renowned world traveller,  
RON MAZURKIEWICZ

2431 N 41, Milwaukee, WI 53210

I am now entering my second week as office manager... I spent about two months looking for a job and then landed this. Not bad for one who really doesn't have any experience in the business world. I have to admit that it certainly is different from the Government world. A different attitude than how-can-I-do-no-work-all-day-long. Naturally I would prefer to be a government drone, but... So now I am training to take over in three more weeks. Two secretaries, one warehouseman, and fearless leader, their immediate supervisor. The only thing I don't get is money. I start at what I made last year. I suppose I can't expect more without experience or training in some specific field. In fact I just might be lucky getting on the rolls of the employed before the next recession hits. Otherwise I wouldn't be able to collect unemployment, would I?

It is really horrible not being able to have all the time I had while I was unemployed. It has now been half a year since I last worked, and six and a half years since I had to get up in the morning! That is the real kicker. When I was working third or second shift, I made it a rule to always get up when I felt like it. A very sensible way to live. Not this crazy being awakened by a alarm clock every day. If I'm sleeping, my body is trying to tell me something. It obviously just needs to sleep until 10 or 11 every day. It's for my health.

((Ah, the voice of an experienced gov't employee (ex-), and an honest one too! No wonder they won't let you back in!

Seriously, it sounds like a decent spot, esp. as you say, for someone without readily available exper./skills. I've noticed that there seem to ALWAYS be a lot of management spots open in the paper: usually McDonald's type stuff, but plenty of them. Shops and offices of all kinds looking for a "manager". I don't know if it's such a big field, or if the turnover is astronomical, or what. One friend here was a manager of a pretty fair little operation, then left to work in a retail sales store. Other than job boredom -dissatisfaction, I'm not sure I can see that. I'd always heard that retailing is the

pits: I can remember asking my dad why there were so many salesman jobs under their own listing in the want ads when I first started looking at such things when I was young, and how he said it was because no one wanted them in with the listings for decent jobs.... My brief experience with Amway backed that up. Even Amway itself always called it 'a service you're offering' rather than, say, 'pushing soap'. And Amway wasn't the crooked deal that a lot of other, ripoff-type lines are (Avon, Encyclopedia Britannica, etc.) at that. Let me know your impressions in six months when you've been at it a while.)) J.M.

DICK MARTIN (Retaliation)  
(excerpts)

26 Orchard Way North, Rockville, MD 20854

Brux's letter is hilarious! Too bad he didn't write it in Gibsonese, that would have been the clincher.

...The best solution to the whole mess is to ignore Gibby completely! Don't give him the attention he craves so desperately! To print his letters (as John ((Kador)) suggests) is merely a reinforcement of his behavior. GMs should expect Gibby to take full advantage of them, and proceed appropriately. Give an inch, he wants a mile! Ignore Curt Gibson!

((That should earn you an honorable mention in The Ultsent #5, hey? Actually, I have to agree more with Kador though. I mean, Curtis writes letters to people; who cares? If people like them, great; if they don't, they can toss them out. Even the people who are attacked often take the simple attitude (rightly or wrongly) of saying "consider the source" and let it go at that (Linsey, e.g.). Next, there is the nature of Curt's writing: if someone is denounced for taking someone's money, e.g., that is a much stronger complaint (even if unfounded) than to be attacked for their "bloodlust" (as was true in your case, wasn't it?) or for, say, being one of a "wolf race" or some such. True, some will take offense, or be scared off or drop out or flee to NYC, but hell, some people believe Bernie Oaklyn is a real person apart from Buddy Tretick. Not writing about it won't make it go away. Besides, wouldn't this be an INCREDIBLY dull hobby without the characters of all hue within it? I think even Linsey has let Curt stay on the VD mailing list. But of course your own zine is your own baby, so whatever policies you want are up to you.

Thanks for writing!)) J.M.

Cpt RICHARD KEHRER

Sub Rt, Box 255, Rapid City, SD 57701

I've finally lost my patience over the Iran situation. I'm now in favor of turning the entire country into a cinder, but only if Carter and his complete advisory staff are in the country also. Once again Carter has proven what a naive, incompetent jerk he is! Steve Martin could do a more effective job in foreign relations. The only thing Carter can do well is vacillate on every decision-making issue that comes his way.

Concerning the up-coming elections, I don't know who I'll vote for yet--it sure won't be Carter. I won't have to worry about voting against Kennedy because he has finally proven what an ineffectual idiot he really is. Glad to see that.

A while back you asked about my job and what I thought about the strategic situation. I can't tell you much about the job except in the most general terms, because everything we work with is Top Secret. Only members of the Battle Staff have access to the data, no one else no matter what their position or security clearance. Basically, what it boils down to is this--if the SAC CP, the President, and JCS are all wiped out, we have the authority and capability to operationally control and execute all of our strategic nuclear forces including SLBMs and, if we have to, the Tactical Nucs in Europe. That's very doubtful though.

The biggest problems facing our strategic forces are threefold; in order of importance as I see it, they are: age of equipment; inability of the national command (President) to take action; and the growing disparity in numbers between the Soviet forces and ours. The only way I can see us coming out badly in a nuclear exchange

Osuch, conclusion

Nit #4: This is probably the most important AND relevant nit of all. Scott challenges, " If somebody doesn't come up with a reason why they wouldn't want their son to become gay, I shall consider myself the winner of this argument by default. ". What about procreation, Scott? For your sake, let's hope that it's not your son who's the only hope of prolonging the Marley name. Even if it weren't important to you personally, what about the rest of your family or those close to you? Of course, if family isn't important to you then you can disregard this argument. And I'll kick myself in the ass for trying to reason with a nihilist in the first place.

Thank you, John, for letting me clear the air, let off steam, practice my typing. ...

((I have to disagree with the last point though, Bob. Creating a clan has no value to me, as I view people as individuals rather than standardbearers for the family group. Also, if the population of this country were reduced by 1/3, I think we'd all be better off (altho to do so disproportionately, among the decent folk, would probably result in collapse). To that extent, Gibson was right in pointing out how the dirt have 11 children, the bright have 1. He says we should reverse that. I would say, just delete the 11. This is especially true with the queers, where nature corrects its own mistakes by eliminating the mutants in one generation. Personally, I wouldn't mind seeing the "family line" of all the wierdos, perverts, kooks, ---hell, even the liberals--- all end with the very next generation. Good riddance to 'em!)) J.M.

Now an update from our renowned world traveller,  
RON MAZURKIEWICZ

2431 N 41, Milwaukee, WI 53210

I am now entering my second week as office manager... I spent about two months looking for a job and then landed this. Not bad for one who really doesn't have any experience in the business world. I have to admit that it certainly is different from the Government world. A different attitude than how-can-I-do-no-work-all-day-long. Naturally I would prefer to be a government drone, but... So now I am training to take over in three more weeks. Two secretaries, one warehouseman, and fearless leader, their immediate supervisor. The only thing I don't get is money. I start at what I made last year. I suppose I can't expect more without experience or training in some specific field. In fact I just might be lucky getting on the rolls of the employed before the next recession hits. Otherwise I wouldn't be able to collect unemployment, would I?

It is really horrible not being able to have all the time I had while I was unemployed. It has now been half a year since I last worked, and six and a half years since I had to get up in the morning! That is the real kicker. When I was working third or second shift, I made it a rule to always get up when I felt like it. A very sensible way to live. Not this crazy being awakened by a alarm clock every day. If I'm sleeping, my body is trying to tell me something. It obviously just needs to sleep until 10 or 11 every day. It's for my health.

((Ah, the voice of an experienced gov't employee (ex-), and an honest one too! No wonder they won't let you back in!

Seriously, it sounds like a decent spot, esp. as you say, for someone without readily available exper./skills. I've noticed that there seem to ALWAYS be a lot of management spots open in the paper: usually McDonald's type stuff, but plenty of them. Shops and offices of all kinds looking for a "manager". I don't know if it's such a big field, or if the turnover is astronomical, or what. One friend here was a manager of a pretty fair little operation, then left to work in a retail sales store. Other than job boredom -dissatisfaction, I'm not sure I can see that. I'd always heard that retailing is the

Kehrer, conclusion

is if the Soviets launch a massive surprise attack against our nuclear forces and the President doesn't authorize an immediate counterstrike. Very likely with the born-again Carter. In that case we would only have our alert bomber force (around 70 or so) about 10-20% of our missiles and SLBMs left for nuclear strikes against the Soviet Union. This would leave about 50-60% of the targets in the Soviet Union uncovered. Right now the Soviets can hit every SAC, MAC, & TAC base in the US (depots also) and put two nucs on each missile silo with about 70% of their ICBM force and still have their SLBMs, bombers, and remaining ICBMs left for 2d, 3d, 4th, etc strikes; and their capabilities are growing while ours are remaining stagnant. We are on the borderline of being a second-rate strategic power right now, and will be one in 10 years if something isn't done now!

I'm off my soap box for now.

((Darn; I was hoping for more. From what I've read, the "window" should be about 1980-1985 before we make a little bit of a comeback, barring any further USSR improvements... But on the election, assuming there are no decent rightwing candidates, don't forget that there IS LaFosse!)) J.M.

BILL BECKER

810 Turwill, Kalamazoo, MI 49007

Look, I know that much of what is written is supposed to be tongue in cheek humor, but LaFosse and Gibson have gone far beyond humor with their dialogues/discussions concerning slavery and Negroes. It is a sorry thing to have to admit that their pro slavery and separate the races ideas are held dear by a faction of whites in this country. They are both fanning a hatred for other human beings—not unlike Hitler's campaign against the Jews. Just because BB is a small zine read by a very few, it does not relieve them of their responsibility. They have signed their names to a diatribe of slurs so crude, I hesitate to be associated with it by subscribing to it.

I understand the use of slurs in the press of games. I am sorry to hear John has had to edit some. I knew I was subscribing to black press games. I understood that BB was a zine that would not skirt controversy. I do not subscribe to GRAUSTARK because I knew it endorsed political ideas that I did not wish to be associated with. I understand that John has endorsed LAFOSSE for President in the spirit of fun. I hope his printing of these people's ideas was not his endorsement of these ideas, but only his wish to stimulate controversy.

John, I am not pressing you to become a censor. Each person has a right to his views, and I wish it known that I do not subscribe to the views put forth by LaFosse and Gibson. I do not know either of them personally, and if they continue stressing racism, I don't think I will ever want to.

((Thanks for an excellent letter—I'm sure a lot of others feel the same way, and you also give me an opportunity/excuse to expound in response.

The LaFosse stuff IS pretty outrageous—that's why I love to run it. In every other sphere, all we ever see/hear is the liberal racism that tells us blacks can't learn unless leavened with whites, blacks won't work unless partitioned between whites, blacks can't get jobs without liberal white bureaucrats dictate quotas...it's disgusting. The endless leftwing/government dirge is, blacks are helpless without Liberal guidance, and whites are the cause of all black failures. What bullshit. So in my zine, none of that. Likewise, no handwringing or excuse-making or bland blatherings of "moderates". Here, you will instead see scathing, WAYout, wildass stuff from ribald reactionaries! No half-measures here! No sickly sweet liberal benevolence, no musty mental pap from the apathetic center—no, instead, the declarations here will strike like a thunderclap, rushing in with such a gust of forthright and astounding ~~absurdity~~ clarity that even a Klansman will sit up and take notice!

Thanks for writing.)) J.M.

\*\*\*\*\*

CURTIS GIBSON wrote to point out that his letter referred to "any woman FOOL enough" to be involved with ERA, rather than "FOUL" as I misread (and -typed) it. Also, the Lexington Ave address is his work address; he lives on E 11, about 2 mi away, in a slum as stated. More goodies from Curt next issue!

John-Kelley (The BEHOLDER)

Box 35, Klickitat, WA 98628

Well, I did see one thing in #57 that I can easily respond to. That is, Scott Marley's question as to why I or anyone else wouldn't want my son to be a homosexual. Well, Scott, you might win by logic and facts sometime but not by default. I can give good proof why no one who is not cool on seeing one's son live a turbulent, rough adolescence and adulthood.

I'm sure, Scott, you know the general feeling toward homosexuals. They are, in many cases, outcasts from their peers in adolescence. If I were a homosexual, and it were to get out, do you think I'd ever have any peace? I think that many homosexuals go through a very tortured life from childhood to adulthood, struggling to cope with society around them while at the same time being very different in a way. Ask Rod Walker, who has the experience. Once I read a letter of his (I think it was in EB) in which he mentioned (indirect quote; these weren't his exact words, I bet) this point: "I don't think that there is a homosexual who, if he or she were to be completely honest with himself, would not prefer to be straight." Now, assuming Rod is correct and also hoping I haven't perverted his original phrase too much, if the person wishes he was n't gay, then wouldn't you also wish that, simply out of the desire to see things work out pleasantly for him? That is, wouldn't it be better if he was spared all the problems that go with that particular sexual orientation?

Aside from the inevitable social pressures, I think what you were trying to get at with your challenge was the father's feeling about homosexuality, and revulsion or endorsement with regards to it. Well, I still wouldn't be pleased, I admit; but once he's 18, his sexual orientation is up to him; if he's got what I consider to be weird sex habits, it's not my place to interfere, unless asked to.

Which is funny, because I'm what's called 'sympatico' by most standards. I'm not gay, but usually don't blink twice at maintaining friendships with those who are. In fact, since I happen to be very emotional and not gay, but somewhat of an outcast among the average macho-type guys, I may turn to them for understanding a lot in my life, since they know something about that trip. Hell, if the straights won't accept a guy as masculine because he's not much of a weight-lifter/athlete/cool character, he might as well turn to the homosexuals for friendship. I think that the gay community would accept such a person's abstention from actual homosexual intercourse, but can't be sure. Most women are born with a rose in one hand and a dagger in the other, anyway, so who needs back wounds? Not I, said the guy in Klickitat. I'll stick to people I can trust, sex habits notwithstanding.

I think I'm about sick of this discussion about the public restrooms; for one thing, I'm beginning to see Marks point, and also, I'm grossed out.

I don't want to comment at all on the no-standby thing; there are good arguments both ways, and I don't care a whit about it anyway. By the way, is IDA dormant? Why hibernate over the winter like a bear? I thought they finally had their shit together for once, but who can tell. I'll stick to the CDO; they get things done, with little or none of the expected yankophobia.

On the election front, I'm vacillating again; this time in support of Reagan. Conally sure went down in a hail of bullets, didn't he?

The Ultsent? Where on earth did he get that name? And how is telling someone that so-and-so is an s.o.b. and he should attack him infusing goodwill and friendship into the hobby? And people are to admire such personal vendettas? A 'deed of kindness and friendliness'? Hoo, boy. As I've said, though, this 'anti-Gibson campaign' is pure paranoia. As I've said before, it is (in my opinion) disallowable to try to screw other people in games one has never even been in.

((But it is not disallowable to dislike people, or to write letters to whoever you choose, or to enforce such a rule if it were--and fortunately for us all, it is not. You denigrate the players by assuming that they cannot judge what is good mail or bad, or to assume that someone attacked by an outside party suffers under some handicap as a result. I'd like to comment on the rest, esp. the CDO, but I have 3 GAMES to carry over now, so no such filler here!))



Dear John (+ B.B.)

NYC - 3/13/1980

Loads of wasted words in B.B., E.g. re homosex. Must need me to straighten it out, as I did the nonsense re Ron + Eric prefer each other as allies condemned by Berch + someone (I see Becker tries to accuse me of telling him how to play, when I simply point out the treachery in Dife is something a gentleman wd not use against another one). <sup>his letter re RK + EV is pointless, as I only dealt with alliance basis - see a sep.</sup>

First for the little fuss re sex perversion. Homosex is no worse than other sex perversion. Anal, oral, auto-erotic (incl. those nasty plastic puds for electric vaginators), etc. etc., whether in man, fem, or beast, is always a grave offense against the spirit, the hyper self given from God, the guardian angel to protect + inspire each of us if we don't offend it too much so it can't.

Those who start in a sinful family, + those who by some quirk of fate slide into the slough of immorality anyway, lose their ability to realize how fine and how sacred sex is or ought to be. One common result of such degeneration is sexual perversion. But rape + female enslavement are even worse, as it is the female's sexual organs + her capacity for turn + exact into true love, to make sex sacred. The Mosaic temple (or tabernacle) holy place + holy of holies were appointed by Jehovah as symbols of the outer + inner vaginal packets, resp., to teach Israel (i.e. us, as the West. Eur. + Amerind races are all of the lost tribes of Israel) the sacredness of the female organs.

Oddly enough, much male homosex is the result of a sensitivity to the female right of inviolation. So this justifies homosex males in considering themselves as good as heterosexual, but only vis a vis the carnal + unappreciative, unreverent kind of heterosex, which is the kind they are most aware of.

It seems you've omitted my important info re the Zionist acquisition of the land in Israel (judge by Morley's + your own false charge that it was taken by force). At the 1948 partition between Israel + Jordanian territory, the UN commission gave the Jewish land according to what they had purchased, mostly bad land with the wealthy Palestinian land-owners gladly sold them (never a trace of coercion or of any kind of threat), + charged the Jews 3 times the price the Arabs considered normal. And because these Zionist refugees passionately loved the holy land of God's ancient gift to them they worked + endured hardships more prodigiously than have ever been seen since the Pilgrims of Plymouth, to slowly turn the hopeless desert land into fertility after centuries of death. Then, in '48, the Arabs that slaughtered them, the most peaceful + harmless people in the world, because their democratic ways were infectious, made the E. of the Jordan fellishin serfs, ground under heel for centuries, restless. So those fat cat landlords lied to the Arab masses, illiterate power, + told them the Israeli aimed to displace them, and capture their holy places. Anti-Zionism is all based on lies, but when you persecute + persistently malign a good man don't expect him to turn soft + defenseless!

You forgot, I said I live in the slum, E. 114 St. 2nd fl. from 176 Lev.

12  
This letter will be reproduced in Ultara #3, c. Mar. 25, or at least as an  
enclosure with it.  
Now what is Marley referring to those Jewish stonings as 'murder', &  
then "people like Mr. Gibson to try to justify murder," would he have the decency  
to specify? He knows (I should hope!) that none of those stonings are mur-  
ders. People drive a car thru an Orthodox neighborhood on the Sabbath & their  
car, or whatever, is pelted with stones. When has anyone been killed? Hardly  
any of the offending non-Orthodox intruders are even injured, but a couple hun-  
dred \$ of damage teaches them to steer clear of such fanatics on the Sabbath.  
Sometimes it's a Christian or Moslem who gets the lesson, usually a liberal Jew. So  
Marley acts like most anti-Zionists, sports falsehood, makes good into bad &  
bad into good, exactly like all the Red anti-American propagandists. Of course  
we do enough blundering injustices to give them a lot of ammo, & so do the  
Israelis, but both we & Israel are basically pure idealists, humanitar-  
ian, & our <sup>practically</sup> ~~foes~~ <sup>are</sup> all 10 times as guilty & hateful as we are.  
The pitiful thing is that the diehard Palestinian patriotic fighters are dupes,  
who never realized they were the pawns of their own real enemies & Arab upper  
class, & fight their own real friends like the Israelis! They are the actual  
descendants of the serfs to come along as camp followers with their masters the  
Crusader knights, & the effendi are the descendants of those knights. There is some  
Arab blood - most of them, but less than 50% in the average. They were mainly  
French, & thousands of them still use corrupted French names & look just like the  
French peasantry in some regions of France.  
Carter's intense interest in getting Israeli-Egyptian peace has been a major  
coup for the forces of justice. Egypt never put any heart into its war with Israel,  
and is innately delighted at this turn of events. The Arab world will be led by  
Turkey into a jihad vs. Europe as Russia's sidakich - WW III, in this dec-  
ade. But I don't expect Israel & Egypt will ever fight again. Genesis chap 14  
is an allegorical preview of Armageddon: the 5 kings of the plain will be the  
5 Arab neighbors of Israel, who have the role of Lot & Co. The 4 kings of the east will be  
4 great Empires comprising most of Europe & Asia. Armageddon's prelimi-  
nary battle will be between these 4 vs. the 5 small powers (Lot-Israel will be a  
disarmed nation for 24 yrs., then in 2022 AD, and will not fight, but will be taken cap-  
tive, & then rescued at the main Armageddon battle when expeditionary vol-  
unteers from the West, & SE Africa, attack in a brilliant surprise like the attack by Abra-  
ham & his 318 men. Like the stone that killed Goliath, they will slay the hordes of 25+  
million, with very few left alive. I could tell you much more, & the WW IV in the  
1990's, & Notre-Dame's War of Wares c. 2000 A.D.  
The Marley, like Linsey, is a foul mind all fouled up with falsehoods I guess we  
should be thankful for his provoking me to reveal so much truth, as I didn't feel you guys  
deserved to know, but maybe God intended this result. C. L. Gibson