

January 13, 1985

Game openings: Gunboat, Snowball Fighting.

This issue is lovingly dedicated to Pete Gaughan. If you don't believe me I can prove it. Look at the extremes I go to on page 8. The things I'll do!

The first issue of 1985 has little variety. We have the game results from Presidential Politics, of course, and the Freshman Zine poll. Sorry there isn't more, but I decided to go with an eight pager and get it out on time. Next time I promise more.

I have one request for each of the two games that I mentioned last issue: Snowball Fighting or a no press Gunboat. Snowball Fighting was invented by Trevor Mandham and Dow Elias and I can fit 4 to 6 players. The complete rules and maybe even a map will appear next issue, assuming they fit. Game fee will be free for SF and \$5 for Gunboat. Naturally it will also be necessary to sub to FF as well. A sub is presently \$5/10 issues. DO NOT SEND ME ANY MONEY FOR THESE YET! I still have to find out how much time I will have this semester before I commit to anything new.

On a personal note, I have had a few ups and downs lately. Although I did get a 44 on the LSAT (see, it's easy to twist your arm around and pat your own back!), I also found out that a few of my courses are not going to count toward my degree as I had planned. Therefore, although I have the necessary grades and scores to be admitted to the UT Law school next fall, I need to take 33 Hours worth of classes between now and then. That translates to 18 this semester in addition to my 30 hours at the statehouse, and 15 this summer. Wish me luck folks.

Another quick note: Thanks to Jim Makuc and BRUX and what must have been thousands of loyal Republicans who moved into Massachusetts in time to vote. The President actually won there! I find it hard to believe that so many of the natives came to their senses, so I must attribute the victory to carpetbaggers. Thanks!

#### HOBBY NEWS

##### POLLS POLLS POLLS

The Freshman zine poll, which I had hoped to avoid, is being run by Steve Langley, 2296 Eden Roc Lane #1, Sacramento, California 95825. I say I had hoped to avoid this because I have only had a few issues out, and would have much preferred being a member of the 1985 Frosh class. But I have already seen a ballot printed in other zines, and my name is on it. I would like everyone to note, however, that BUTTER BATTLES is not yet a subzine. Or at least it wasn't one in 1984. He stated in every single non-issue that it would become a subzine when and if it got a game started. So ask Conrad before you vote; I think he wants to be in the '85 Frosh subzine poll, and I don't blame him. To press the point home, he does not have a non-subzine for this issue.

So now I have to grovel around and ask all of you to be kind in your remembrances, and please give me a good rating so that I can get lots more subbers and then start printing this on an offset printer because I will be printing a hundred copies per issue.

As I understand it, Steve and Bruce are not on the best of terms, so I propose that we all rate The Echo of Doom a ten here, and rate Maqus a ten in the Runestone.

Here is a ballot

## FRESHMAN ZINE POLL BALLOT

## ZINES

COMMAND  
THE CONCERT OF EUROPE  
COSTAGUANA  
ELECTRIC PENGUIN  
ERENHON  
FUEDESS  
FZUILLETONIST'S FORUM  
FROBOZZ  
THE INNER LIGHT  
REBEL  
SO I LIEB

MY NAME IS \_\_\_\_\_

**I QUALIFY AS A VOTER BECAUSE:**

**SUB2INES**

BAHNOFF ZOO \_\_\_\_\_  
THE BEHOLDER \_\_\_\_\_  
THE BOOB REPORT \_\_\_\_\_  
BOTTOMS UP \_\_\_\_\_  
BUT I'M HAPPY \_\_\_\_\_  
CHOMPS & MIAMS \_\_\_\_\_  
CORN OF THE COBS \_\_\_\_\_  
DEBI'S FILLER \_\_\_\_\_  
THE ECHO OF DOOM \_\_\_\_\_  
FEMME FATALE \_\_\_\_\_  
FNORD \_\_\_\_\_  
FOOT IN MOUTH \_\_\_\_\_  
GALAMATIAS \_\_\_\_\_  
HARE OF THE DOG \_\_\_\_\_  
THE LITTLE DIPPER \_\_\_\_\_  
ORPHAN CITY \_\_\_\_\_  
PERLMUTTER'S REVENGE \_\_\_\_\_  
SAVONLINNA \_\_\_\_\_  
SPACE VIKING \_\_\_\_\_  
SUBMARINE WARFARE \_\_\_\_\_  
TEMPORARILY DELAYED \_\_\_\_\_

The deadline is February 22, 1985. Rate each zine and subzine that you have personally seen on a scale of 0 to 10. Sign the ballot and indicate in what way you are a member of the hobby (ie, player, subber to which zines, just generally obnoxious, whatever) and mail in the ballot. Limit one per customer please. Do not vote for your own zine or subzine.

[illegible]

AHA! Perhaps we have a topic for debate here! Do restarts such as Erehwon and Electric Penguin belong in the Freshman Zine Poll? I mean, how am I supposed to compete with Rod Walker and John Mirassou? Think it over and send me your comments.

And he forgot my favorite subzine: WHITE SPACE!

Of course that has been around for a long time, and hardly qualifies as a Freshman, but then that didn't stop Walker, did it?

Which brings up another point. We need topics to debate here. Now that the election is over is everything hunky-dory for everybody? Is the tax plan presented a good one? Should Social Security cost of living raises be frozen if the military and government pensions are? Is it possible to trust the Russians in an arms deal, and if not is it possible to truly verify arms reductions? Why isn't anyone upset that Gerry Ferraro was found guilty of the charges brought against her concerning her financial disclosures during her tenure in the House? And what about her husbands conviction?

An issue that has had a lot of talk here at the office has been child abuse and government remedies. If you watched 60 Minutes on Sunday the sixth you saw my boss, State Rep. Terral Smith, talking about a client he defended who was falsely accused of child molestation, and how her life has been drastically affected by the charges. In Minnesota 20 couples had their children taken away from them because they had been charged with child abuse or molestation. A year later none of them have their children back. [REDACTED] have been convicted.

# Democrats

Maryland Senator Mark Larzelere spent a record 368 CFP to win the Massachusetts primary today. Three quarters of the money was spent on media as the liberal Senator tried to create a more moderate position by advertising his pro-Draft Registration stand. The results worked out well for him, as did his campaign swings through the smaller towns of Massachusetts. Larzelere garnered 23% of the vote and also picked up 161 CFP in contributions.

The more moderate Colorado Governor Jake Halverstadt used his position on the Nuclear Moratorium and the recent Three Mile Island incident to pull in 21% and a second place finish. Halverstadt had hoped to do better here, as evidenced by the extensive amount of time he spent travelling the state as well as the terrific organization he had here. But the spending blitz by Larzelere caught him by surprise. There were some very tense early morning moments as the Governor teetered back and forth over the 20% mark as the returns came in. A cheer went up at 3:49 a.m. when the Pittsfield returns put him over to stay. "Not winning is quite a disappointment, but after seven weeks of working on this campaign I don't know if I could have taken not managing the 20%," the head of the Massachusetts campaign said.

Governor Gaughan came in two percentage points behind Halverstadt, and five behind the leader, despite his surprise move of campaigning on the new Windfall II issue. "Our message never seemed to get across to the voters until this last week," the candidate said. "If we had just one more week we may have been able to pull this out." Few of the campaign workers were disappointed with the outcome, especially in light of being out spent three to one.

Governor Burgess of Rhode Island came in a dismal third; staffers had hoped a last minute swing through the state and advertising stressing the regional ties would pull up the slumping campaign. Even more disappointing than the loss was dropping to third in the overall delegate totals. Although he attempted to push his stands on the Draft Registration, his views were swallowed up by the media campaign of Senator Larzelere. The candidate held a day after news conference and said, "We will be spending this week off reevaluating our campaign and our methods. We will have to find a way to distinguish ourselves from the other candidates, especially Senator Larzelere. If we can do that in Illinois and New York I am sure we can get back on track again."

Conservative Senator Stephen Wilcox brought up the rear in this eastern liberal state, as was expected by his campaign staffers. "All the conservatives here vote Republican, and 15% of those don't like any of the Republican candidates. If the Democratic party is going to win in November, we are going to have to have a conservative on the ticket," the candidate said at a news conference in Syracuse, New York. As election night wore on some of the Wilcox staffers began hoping for a 15% finish and thereby qualify for some of Massachusetts' 111 delegates. The final results show Wilcox just .55% below that figure.

No preference took only 6.2% in the Democratic primary.

CANDIDATES	JIM	PETE	JAKE	MARK	STEPHEN
BALANCE	1355	1241	1250	1315	1251
This week					
SPENT	<198>	<176>	<110>	<368>	<113>
GAINED	-0-	-0-	89	161	-0-
BALANCE	1157	1065	1229	1108	1138

# Democrats

## MASSACHUSETTS

LARZELERE WINS IN SPENDING BLITZ  
HALVERSTADT TAKES FOUR LESS DELEGATES, BUT AT ONE-TENTH THE COST

CANDIDATE	JIM	PETE	JAKE	MARK	STEPHEN	No P	f
<b>ISSUES:</b>							
Nuclear	- 54	- 54	+220	+220	- 54	120	
Panama	+100	+100	+100	+100	-161	161	
Draft	+160	- 60	- 60	+160	+160	120	
Nicaragua	+	+	+	-	-		
Windfall II	- 81	+220	+220	7120	- 81	81	
DECISION BONUS	120	120	120	-0-	120		
SPOTLIGHT ISSUE	Draft	Wind II	Nuke	Draft	Panama		
<b>VISITS: days/votes</b>							
Boston	3/53	1.9/33	6.5/114	6.3/111	4.4/78		
Worcester	2/16	.9/ 7	.9/ 7	3 / 23	1.5/12		
Springfield	1/12	.9/11	.9/ 11	2 / 25			
New Bedford	1/9	.5/ 4	1.8/ 16	2 / 18			
Pittsfield		.5/ 7	.5/ 7				
<b>ORGANIZATION:</b>							
weeks/votes	3/157	4/174	7/194	3/157	2/128		
<b>MOMENTUM BONUS:</b>							
		143	143				
<b>MEDIA:</b>							
Votes	286	286	143	572	143		
<b>number/cost</b>							
bids	2/117	2/108	1/48	4/303	1/48		
	1/ 48	1/ 48	1/48	1/ 48	1/48		
	1/ 69	1/ 60		3/ 85			
<b>TOTAL VOTES:</b>							
	1048	1219	1355	1506	934	401	
<b>PERCENTAGE:</b>							
	16.22	18.86	20.97	23.30	14.45	6.20	
<b>DELEGATES:</b>							
	23	26	29	33	-0-	-0-	
<b>CFP GAINED:</b>							
			89	161			
<b>TRAVEL:</b>							
DAY 1	New Bed	Boston	Boston	Worcestr	Syracuse		
DAY 2	Sprngfld	Miami	Tampa	"	Buffalo		
DAY 3	Worcestr	Jcks/Lkd	"	"	Buff/ NYC		
DAY 4	"	Chicago	Jcksnl	Springfld	NYC		
DAY 5	Boston	"	"	"	"		
DAY 6	"	"	Miami	New Bed	"		
DAY 7	"	"	"	"	"		
<b>Organizations:</b>							
Florida	N	2	2	2	2		
Illinois	2	2	N	1	2		
Alabama	N	N	2	1	2		
New York	1	2	1	1	2		
New England	1	N	N	N	N		
Wisconsin	1	N	N	N	N		
<b>DECLARED FOR:</b>							
Florida	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Illinois	Y	Y	N	Y	Y		
Alabama	N	N	Y	Y	Y		
New York	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
<b>TOTAL DELEGATES:</b>							
	77	78	70	86	43		

# Republicans

## MASSACHUSETTS

### HARE WINS AGAIN

NOW IN FIRST PLACE, FORMER SENATOR ALSO GRABS ALL THE DONATIONS

CANDIDATE ISSUES:	DAVE	TY	DICK	JAMES	DAN	NO PR
Nuke	- 68	+270	- 68	- 68	- 68	2180
Draft	+ 90	+ 90	+ 90	-180	+ 90	2180
Busing	+	+	-	+	-	
Chrysler	- 60	- 60	+180	- 60	+180	2180
Nicaragua	+	+	?	-	-	
Windfall II	- 60	- 60	? 90	? 90	- 60	+360
DECISION BONUS	120	120			120	
SPOTLIGHT ISSUE	Wind II	Nuke	----	Draft	Chrysler	

### VISITS: days/votes

Boston	5.5/105	6.5/124	-0-	.9/17	7.5/143
Worcester	.8/ 14	1.5/ 26			1.4/ 25
Springfield	2.8/ 20	2.4/ 18			2.9/ 21
New Bedford	1.8/ 20	1.5/ 16			1 / 11
Pittsfield	1 / 7	.5/ 4			.5/ 4

### ORGANIZATION:

weeks/votes	3/157	2/128	-0-	2/128	4/174
-------------	-------	-------	-----	-------	-------

### MOMENTUM BONUS:

143

### MEDIA:

votes	429	715		143	143
-------	-----	-----	--	-----	-----

Number/cost	3/203	5/302		1/ 48	1/ 48
bids	1/ 48	1/ 48		1/ 48	1/ 48
	1/ 75	1/ 61			
	1/ 80	1/ 63			
		2/ 65			

TOTAL VOTES:	1150	1774	428	686	1039	900
PERCENTAGE:	19.24	29.68	7.16	11.48	17.38	15.05
DELEGATES:	8	13	3	5	7	6
CFP GAINED:		250				

### TRAVEL:

DAY 1	Boston	Boston		Miami	New Bed
DAY 2	"	"		Tampa	Ptaf/Worc
DAY 3	Springfld	Worcestr		Orlando	Springfld
DAY 4	"	Wor/Sprg		W. Palm	"
DAY 5	New Bed	Springfld		Birmingham	Boston
DAY 6	Pttsfld	New Bed		Chicago	"
DAY 7	Ft. Laud	N.B./Pttfld		"	"

### ORGANIZATIONS:

Florida	3	1 (closed)	N	2	3
Illinois	3	2	N	2	1
Alabama	3	1	N	2	1
New York	2	1	N	1	1
Louisiana	N	N	N	1	N
Indiana	N	N	N	1	N

### DECLARED FOR:

Florida	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Illinois	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Alabama	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
New York	Y	Y	N	Y	Y

TOTAL DELEGATES:	55	59	33	52	51
------------------	----	----	----	----	----

# Republicans

Former Senator Ty Hare picked up his third win and the delegate lead in this weeks primary in Massachusetts. The Californian also gets credit for the hat trick, since he managed to rake in all the available campaign contributions. The win was a combination of issues and media resulting in a ten point victory over second place Congressman Dave Frick.

Frick did surprisingly well in this northeastern state, but the "Frick the World" organization was intensely disappointed that they missed out on campaign contributions by 76/100ths of a percentage point. Frick is now the only candidate on either side to have dropped below the 1000 CFP mark, and aides are getting worried about the financial situation.

Third place went to Dan Young of California who wasn't willing to spend the money it would have taken to win here; his four media bids were all too low to win any media time beyond the time share guaranteed by law. The candidate did travel extensively, and pulled a surprising number of votes out of the Boston suburbs. His statewide campaign manager was very proud of the effort and organization put into the campaign. The California Republican stated, "I look forward to winning this state in the General election, once we get this nominating business out of the way." Republican insiders say he may be too moderate on key issues to gain the nomination, especially during the recent conservative swing in the party.

The no-preference ballot was significant in the voting, garnering 15% of the vote. Wisconsin Senator James Wall beat only the non-campaigning Dick Martin, but spent very little time or money in the state, so the defeat was hardly a surprise. Instead he put his energy into a campaign swing through Florida, the site of next weeks primary, and his money went into organizations for Louisiana and Indiana.

The Martin campaign has come to a halt, and he has been ordered by the Election Commission to return all the unused campaign funds. Citing a lack of enthusiasm in the hoped for "Draft Martin" bid, the Maryland Governor became the second casualty on the Republican side. The Governor himself expressed no disappointment, saying that his place was, "right here in Maryland, with the people I love." Unfortunately for the Governor, he was vacationing in Arizona when he said it.

Paradoxically, the new front runner has all but dropped out of the Florida primary, and closed his organization offices there earlier this week. "All of the other three major candidates have had stronger organizations and more personal exposure. I just can't see spending a lot of money in a winner-take-all situation that I will probably lose anyway," the Senator reportedly said during his victory party. The Hare campaign has hinted at possibly contesting the Florida delegates at the convention. An interesting side note is that as a California legislator Hare voted for the California winner-take-all on three separate occasions.

CANDIDATES	DAVE	TY	DICK	JAMES	DAN
BALANCE	1208	1304	1440	1242	1222
This Week					
SPENT	<273>	<363>	-0-	<133>	<119>
GAINED	-0-	250	-0-	-0-	-0-
BALANCE	935	1191	-0-	1109	1102

### MISTAKES MISTAKES MISTAKES

Although there were only a few this last time, and hopefully still fewer this time, the ever present errors still need some correcting. First, please note that in dropping Meinel and adding Young, the alphabetical order of the players changed. That led to several problems. When I tried to move column four on my worksheet to column five on the final, I missed a few of the proper numbers. I transposed the issue stands for Anti-Busing, and I gave Young all of James' organizations. One of those errors was caught by the players; both have been fixed. Secondly, although the CFP corrections last time didn't all add up correctly, they did correctly state the final balance, which was the important part. There were no spelling errors.

I have to print this quick comment by Pete. He noticed (and gleefully pointed out) that I have been misspelling 'Massachusetts' the entire game. I quote: "You did not spell it right! Another example of right-wing demagoguery, disguising a lack of content with glib, trite, superficiality!" So's your mother Pete.

### QUESTIONS QUESTIONS QUESTIONS

We have some comments concerning both the upcoming elections and the General election here, so try to read carefully and keep them straight.

1) Can we also see the losing bids for media shares? Yes you can, but it would necessarily take up additional room. Are you sure you want ten lines of media bids? If you know the winning bids, how can the losing bids be of any use? I will put this to a vote: All in favor say "AYE".... All opposed same sign....the "AYES" have it. And you all thought I haven't been learning anything up here at the statehouse!

Seriously, PLEASE VOTE BY THE NEXT DEADLINE ON WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT ME TO INCLUDE UNSUCCESSFUL BIDS IN THE GAME REPORT.

2) In the open primaries, are the issues spotlighted by both parties going to be counted in both parties elections? What this is asking is: When Ty spotlights Rail Deregulation does that issue also count in the Democratic primary in Illinois and Alabama? It is indeed a good question. The voter points are split among both parties, but should both parties have as many as nine spotlight issues? I am inclined to say yes, that every spotlight issue is included, regardless of which party named it, but I will also put this to a vote. Since this is a question concerning the seating of delegates it will be left to a conglomerate of both the National Committees.

PLEASE VOTE BY NEXT DEADLINE: Should all issues spotlighted be included in the vote totals for both parties in the open primary states?

3) Why did James Wall only get 6 momentum points when he won two elections the previous week? Since there were 18 Dem decision points shouldn't there be 18 GOP points as well? The momentum bonus is considered free publicity from the win. There is no reason for parity between the parties on this point, since it will not affect the outcome of the general election. The same thing occurred this week since the Dems had a virtual tie in New Hampshire between Pete and Jake, but only Ty got the bonus on the Republican side. I can't see a reason to change that, but I am open to discussion. Care to debate it?

4) Are you proposing elections in all states and regions at or

5) Are you crazy? Yes. Although it will take me some time to figure it out, I prefer having a national election with the winner chosen according to the number of electoral college votes he gets. I will publish the number of E.C. votes per state or region well before the election.

6) Are you going to work the travel and organization the same way? Very similar, as the last issue pointed out. We will split the campaign into several parts so that the candidates can watch the opposition and react. If it looks like the GOP isn't going to make much of a try for Massachusetts then the Dems may want to divert funds elsewhere. But I don't want to separate the election into groups of states with different deadlines because it completely changes the strategy.

Here are the comments I received concerning the General Election:  
Pete: The Veep should be 1/4 or 1/5 of the President. The value of travel is decreasing too much; if I visit California a month before the primary the voters will forget me, but a month before the General election is 'right on top' of the election!

Greg: How about selling media shares during each interim of the election? The candidates would get a better feel for what the intentions of the opposition are, and could react better.

That's it for the discussion of the General election proposal. By the next deadline I want to have some concrete proposals for discussion and votes on. The best process that I can suggest is for the original proposal to be considered a "bill" if you will, and any suggestions should be submitted as amendments. Pete, give me a specific rate of decline for the travel, because I agree with your premise, but I don't want to think of a new scale on my own.

My God! I just agreed with Pete Gaughan! Let's not make a habit of this!

Anyway, if you all would prefer, I can simply decide on my own and you will be stuck with whatever I come up with. I would like to get your input, but I am not afraid to act without it! A natural born leader, am I.

That is all I can think of for the notes section. Thanks to all of you who commented on my commentary two issues ago. I agree that it makes this a little more readable, but it also then becomes a little more difficult. I will continue these attempts, but I would like to ask that you all start sending me a few comments, press if you like, so that I have something to go on. Almost all of you make small comments concerning your strategy now, but I hesitate to use anything like that for the obvious reasons. In fact, hesitate isn't nearly strong enough. I won't use any of that, even if it only pertains to the current election. So do me a favor and send me a little press, marked as such. Thanks.

One more small note: The Windfall II issue was added this week, and Windfall I has therefore been dropped off.

TIME FACTOR NOW STANDS AT: 19  
NEXT DATE TO CHECK THE DJIA: 24 January 1985  
DEADLINE FOR WEEK THREE: 7 February 1985

DUE NEXT TURN:  
Declare candidacy in Wisconsin; Media bids and Spotlight issues in Florida; maintain whatever organizations that you have already set up; seven days travel. Also due are the votes on the issues stated above. An NVR = an "I don't care."

No good-byes; I don't have room.