Vol. II, #1 (whole number #29)

26 February 1972

HOW TO PREVENT WORLD WAR II

Many Diplomacy fans have remained aloof from the simulated battle and other military games marketed by the Avalon Hill Company and its competitors. However, Avalon-Hill has at last come out with a game which contains the same kind of negotiation and back-stabbing in which Diplomacy fans delight. It is "Origins of World War II" (henceforth simply "Origins") in which the five major powers who concerned themselves with the Europe of the 1930's seek to influence one another and control the smaller countries.

Origins differs from Diplomacy in one major respect. Diplomacy sets up the situation of 1914, and begins a war. Origins sets up the situation of 1935, and the object is to win one's goals solely by political maneuvering without war. The game is of fixed length, continuing through 1940. Since there is no actual armed combat (save presumed coups d'état

and other meddling in the smaller countries) no players are eliminated.

James F. Dunnigan, the Strategy & Tactics veteran who designed Origins, has included a postal version which obviously draws on the experiences of postal Diplomacy fandom. Accordingly, FREEDONIA has been revived to try out a postal game of Origins, according to a suggestion by Michael Willner and Herb Barents. See elsewhere in this issue for information

about subscription and trades.

nothing to do with this."

Origins is based primarily on A. J. P. Taylor's <u>The Origins of the Second World War</u>. A bibliography, included in the game with the Designer's Notes, lists other useful works for this period. Your Gamesmaster, a history buff whose interests run into rather unusual by-ways, would like to protest the absence of two books from this list, but presumably just about every potential player has a few such objections. I find interesting the insights given into this period by E. H. Carr's <u>The Twenty Years' Crisis</u> and the flamboyantly written but solidly documented <u>The Great Conspiracy</u> by Sayers and Kahn. Both books are still useful today: the former for its comments on great-power vs. small-power diplomacy, and the latter for the origins of the "Cold War".

Dunnigan's Designer's Notes are quite interesting, and go deeply into the roots of the war. He raises such questions as: Why was German nationalism so powerful and persistent; How is war made more likely by "the infamous 'reserve system'"; How did Germany get diplomatically iselated in 1914; What effect did the Versailles Treaty have on vanquished and victors; and how had the appalling slaughter of World War I affected post-war attitudes. As far as war is concerned, Dunnigan tartly remarks: "Currently, Western Europe, with nearly twice the population of the United States, produces one-third less wealth. Only a fool would insist that the millions of men killed in their prime during the World Wars had

Origins attempts to reproduce the actual circumstances of 1935 by giving the five powers unequal capacities to affect events. Germany, Creat Britain, the Soviet Union, France, and the United States in that order possess "Political Factors" ("PFs"); the order remains constant throughout the play of the game. But their national goals also vary. It is worth four points to the USSR to gain control of Poland, while this is worth only 3 to Germany. An Understanding with the USSR is worth 3 points to Great Britain, but only 2 to Germany or France. (The US is not assumed to be seeking an understanding with the USSR.)

Players may place their PFs where they wish; except for those located in their own country, PFs may not be subsequently moved. (Home PEs serve two purposes, as a reserve and to keep unwanted powers from forming an Understanding with you. If someone can put an Understanding marker in your country, you can't try to shove him out of anyplace else unless you first get rid of it.) If your aim in a region is Control, you can achieve it with at least 5 PFs there at the end of a turn, provided no one else has any markers there. (Two powers can share control, but in the regular game this can be done only by Germany and the USSR in Poland, Rumania, or the Baltic States, and by Germany and France in the Rhineland

or Alsace-Lorraine. Both situations are in practice unlikely.)

The conflict in the game comes as players try to get and keep their rivals out of various areas. This is done by placing PFs in one country or another, and forcing out anybody who tries to tread on your turf. If your national objectives include Control of an area, it becomes yours permanently. (It would, after all, require a war to end Soviet control of the Baltic States or German control of Poland, and the goal of the game is to achieve your national ambitions without war.) If your goal is an Understanding, you have it as soon as you get 5 PFs there. Several players can have Understandings with the same country at once. As with Control, point values vary. It is worth two points to Britain or France to have an Understanding with Czechoslovakia, while such an Understanding is worth three points to the USSR.

The role of the United States is that of a "spoiler", an attempt to break up "entangling alliances". For example, if nobody has an Understanding with one of the other major powers by "1940", the US gets 2 points for each such major power. If nobody Controls Czechoslovakia, the US gets 4 points. (Presumably the US scores points if Czechoslovakia is merely engaged in Understandings.) However, the US player does not have the PFs necessary to do much about these situations, and the US is accordingly reckoned the weakest country in the game. This, while it accurately reflects the US concern with foreign poli-

cy in 1935, makes it difficult to stop the plans of the other players.

Germany is incomparably the most powerful player on the board, though suitable cooperation among the other powers can block her. This does not mean that Germany was the dominant military power in the Europe of 1935. This is not, after all, a war game. PF means "Political Factor" and includes military potential, propaganda effectiveness, economic strength, morale, and the ability of a nation's leaders to concentrate on clearly defined goals. Table I, below, gives an interesting picture of the PF allocations as the game

year	% of PFs	of that y	zear for:	&% of tota	al PFs for		It ap-
year) OI 11 D	01 01100	-010		pears, then,		
	Germany	ussr	other 3	Germany	USSR	other 3	that the wes-
1935	37	19	44	37	19	44	tern powers have
1936	36	18	46	37	18	44	their best hope
1937	36	18	46	36	18	46	in the "Collec-
1938	35	18	47	36	18	46	tive Security"
1939	35	17½	$47\frac{1}{2}$	36	18	46	policy proposed
1940	35	17	48	35	18	47	by the Soviet
			• •			-	foreign minis-
			Table I				ter Maxim Lit-
			•				ATTION TOO

Litvinov's name and policy are not even mentioned in the Designer's Notes, which seem to believe that the USSR's best bet is to deal with Germany, divide up costern Europe, and buy time. This, as history actually worked out, proved to be the Soviet Union's recourse

after Great Britain and France sold out Collective Security.

But how is this reflected in the National Objective Chart? The Soviet player gets 6 points for an Understanding with Germany, and only 2 each for Understandings with Great Britain and France. This makes it appear as if the USSR, rather than Great Britain and France, had sold out Collective Security. The facts were otherwise; France and Great Britain dealt with Hitler at Munich in 1938, they made it seem that their chief interest in eastern Europe was keeping Soviet influence out of the Baltic States, and the crowning folly of Admiral Drax's 1939 visit to Moscow gave Stalin ample evidence that the western powers could not be counted on.

(Science-fiction fans may be interested in learning that Admiral Drax, whose obvious lack of instructions made Stalin decide to go it alone, was a brother of Lord Dunsany, the

fantasy writer.)

That pet nightmare of western political observers, a Russo-German alliance, is virtually dictated by the National Objectives Chart of this game. Origins makes it appear that the Hitler-Stalin Pact of 1939 was a military alliance, rather than a makeshift by both sides to give them time to sharpen the knives.

Other scenarios are provided in four "What If?" games. Three of these games assume that the western powers took more decisive preparatory measures against Germany: "Aggres-

sive French Policy", "Aggressive French British Policy", and "Aggressive British/U. S. Al-

liance". "Collective Security" sught also to have been included.

A fourth scenario is one which came dangerously close to realization, the "Anti-Bolshevik Crusade". Sayers and Kahn present evidence for believing that just such a general war of Europe against the Soviet Union was in preparation in 1929, and was prevented only by the world-wide economic collapse of that year. This "Crusade" was the reason why Collective Security collapsed, why Drax went to Moscow with a mouthful of vague generalities, and why, with a huge German army poised on her borders and the war already in progress, France sent arms and airplanes for Hitler's Finnish allies to use against the Soviet Union. Playing out this scenario would be a valuable instruction for people who believe that this Anglo-French policy was right.

Another "What If?" game can be played with four people. It can be called "What if, in 1939, the Soviet Union had been controlled by the Bloc of Rightists and Trotskyites?" It is just like the regular game, except that the player who controls Germany also controls

Russia. It should also be very educational.

The rules also include games for 2, 3, or 4 people, and postal rules. The chronology, by Albert Nofi, points out some of the salient developments leading up to World War II. It observes that the Kellogg-Briard anti-war pact of 1928 "makes NO provision for sanctions for violation", that Hitler ruled by a 1933 Enabling Act that sanctioned rule by decree (showing how a dictatorship can develop within the forms of a democracy, a situation not unfamiliar elsewhere), that Hitler represented himself chiefly as an anti-Communist, that Italy used poison gas in Ethiopia, that Great Britain and France abandoned sanctions on Italy less than 7 weeks after Ethiopia surrendered, that Poland participated with Germany and Hungary in the dismemberment of Czechoslovakia, that Russia was the only nation in Europe willing to help defend Czechoslovakia in this crisis, and that Spain was a full member of the Axis ("Anti-Gomintern Pact"). There is one error; the United States did not recognize the France government in 1939, but in 1950.

The rules of the "Historical" game provide that "If either Germany or Russia wins the game with 15 or more points you may assume that World War II has broken cut". The score, in actual history, is taken to be Germany 19, Russia 17, France 14, Britain 14, USA 0.

Refinements of the rules for advanced players include, in addition to the "What If" versions, a blind game where all players follow different strategies, and secret diplomacy in which PFs are placed upside down.

ORIGINS BY MAIL

FREEDONIA will carry reports of postal Origins games much as GRAUSTARK, the oldest bulletin of postal Diplomacy, has done for that game over the past 9 years. Only one game is open at present, the one organized by Willner and Barents. If this works out as a postal game, FREEDONIA will be opened to other entrants. At that time, if no postal rate increase has taken place, the game fee will be \$3.00.

In the meantime, subscriptions to FREEDONIA are 8 issues for \$1.00. This issue is be-

ing sent to the following people:

1. Players in the above-mentioned game, which will soon have a game number assigned to it by Edi Birsan.

Publishers with whom GRAUSTARK is traded.

3. People who receive complimentary copies of GRAUSTARK.

4. Board game fans who have indicated an interest in Origins or in the period of history covered by it.

5. Anyone who sees the announcement in GRAUSTARK #259 or elsewhere, and subscribes. Just as GRAUSTARK is the name of a fictional country that obtained some notoriety in the years prior to World War I, FREEDONIA is the name of a fictional country created in 1932. Marx Brothers fans will recognize it as the Mitteleuropan republic misruled by "Rufus T. Firefly" in Duck Soup. This name, slightly misspelled, was once used for a postal Diplomacy 'zine long since merged with GRAUSTARK. This issue begins a new volume of FREEDONIA. This publication is not edited under the supervision of Bangs Leslie Tapscott.

GRAUSTARK, in case you were wondering, is also 8 issues for \$1. Unfortunately, no game or stand-by openings are presently available in it. Both 'zines are published by John Boardman, 234 East 19th Street, Brooklyn, N. Y. 11226

I WONDER WHO'S KISSINGER NOW?

If anyone is around to compose or play a game "Origins of World War III", President Nixon's current trip to China will probably be interpreted as trying to place an "Understanding" counter in that country. This journey, for which Henry Kissinger's mission to Peking was a preparation, gave rise to this doggerel satire. Of it, Nicholas von Hoffman writes:

"High-quality political doggered has usually been associated with kings, courts, and royal ministers - that is with public figures who owe their high station not to the consenting public but to the favor of a hierarch. In a functioning democracy there are better ways to bring down an unfit servant of the throne than to write mechant poems about him.

"It is a sign of our impotence and our President's continuing disregard of constitutional tradition that we must write funny, naughty rhymes about the men he elevates to great power without the advice and consent of the Senate. But since he does, Washington is reading and passing around the work of a certain anonymous "Publius' whose chef d'oeuvre is entitled 'The Thoughts of Chairman Henry'." (Philadelphia Inquirer, 2 September 1971)

I wonder who's Kissinger now
Is Metternich sitting with Mae?
I wonder what treaties with Chou En-lai
Tell a lie
We can classify.

I wonder who's saying "Ding How!"
I wonder who's bombing the Lao.
I wonder what warhawks who stay in red
Send reys instead
Both red and dead.

I wonder who's Kissinger now
Exchanging a clandestine vow.
A strange sense of old deja vu makes me
care
In this Strangelove affair

With Golda, Dayan, or Lin Piae?
I wonder if Henry and retinue
(The chosen few).
Know we bleed too.

Should we all not despair?

For those who scheme

For Henry is always at home
When saying to Red Guards "Shalom!"
Collectives are just what we need, and
seem
Just like Kibbutzim

Against what we want to create (The conglemerate corporate state)
If we had your center to re-educate And incarcerate.
All the degenerate.

Like McCloskey and all Lindsay's bunch,
And Ellsberg and Stanten and Punch;
For Fulbright and Mills we'd have new ways
and means,
New mescalines,
Electric machines

To teach them new habits of thought,
To do all the things that they ought,
We'd make, like you did, all the press,
old and young,
Get in step, held their tengue,
(May I call you Tse-Tung?)

What we did to America's sons
We can do to that handful of nuns;
By working through internal revenue
Or J. Edgar's crow
We'll tighten the screw.

All radiclibs will be tabee (mich Sabd? perhaps Reagan, too);
In our Kafka-like state we may have to sedate I mean "medicate" The whole Fourth Estate.

In the mass-mind unwanted dobris
Can be blanked with a lebetomy,
We have curs for bad thinking of every kind:
Some crude, some refined:
Anadynes are benign:

Narcotics much better than snow, Laser beams go through your chapeau, Microvolt impulses brainwayes re-shape And bad thoughts ascape On videotape. It's clear the divine right of kings
Permits us to clip all the wings
Of those who would question the quos
and quids.
Well get all the bids

We'll get all the kids. We'll build pyramids.

For years now I've had a dream daily To be bigger than old Ben Disraeli. Realpolitik as an arb's my pursuit, Building empires to boot, More than all Brown Root.

I studied at Harvard, you see,
To be a young eminence gris:
A Rasputin, a Hopkins, a Hess, or a
House,
As a cat to a mouse,
A Rasputin, a louse.

The best way to ingratiate
Yourself to a vain chief-of-state:
"Be a Nhu or Lupescu, a Rostow or
Cherwell,
And wait 'neath the stairwell
To report all things fare well.

A young man can go very far

If his wagon should hitch to a star

The boss should be mesmerized each day
to see

That you are the key

As you always agree.

I have served my apprenticeship well Now it's time that I cast off the spell. I cannot forever remain number two, For I've got lots to do: Destiny's rendezvous.

"You know," Henry said with a smile,
"I've long been a big Sinophile.
"You take care of me and I'll take care
of you
"(But behind the bamboo
"And just entre hous.)"

"Ah so," Chairman Mao replied.
"I no longer want to preside.
"Here, you take my chair
"You're the most doctrinaire
"S. O. B. schmuck that's on either side!"

SOON IT WILL BE SPRING 1935

As with Diplomacy, each "game year" of Origins falls into three separate steps. First is the placement of the PFs. Then come the diplomatic attacks that the players make in an attempt to drive out each others! PFs from disputed regions. Finally, they place Control or Understanding counters as they wish and are allowed by the rules.

In postal Origins as managed in FREEDONIA, these will be handled as described in the rules that come with the game. The first move will be "Spring 1935". This issue of FREEDONIA will tell the players where the PFs have been placed. It will be done in tabular form, showing what players have how many PFs in each of the 13 areas of the map.

After a 2-week period of negotiation, players will send their attack orders. These must, as the rules state, have with each attack order the name of a different stock listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The last digit in the "sales in hundreds" entry for that stock will be used to adjudicate the Diplomatic Conflict Table.

I plan to set deadlines on Saturday noon of weeks in which GRAUSTARK is not published. The stack quotation used will be that for the last trading day prior to that Saturday — usually the preceding day. If a player wishes to phone in his "Fall" moves rather than write, the moves must be phoned in before the end of that trading day.

For example, suppose a player orders for "Fall 1935": "7 German PFs in Austria attack 3 French PFs there; IBM". I then look up IBM's sales in hundreds figure. Let us say that it is 126. Then "6" is used. This gives "X" on the Diplomatic Conflict Table, so 3 French and 3 German PFs are removed from Austria.

The rules suggest that players send in conditional establishments of Control or Understanding counters with their "Fall" moves. I will instead make these separate "Winter" moves, comparable to the builds and removals that take place in postal Diplomacy after the "Fall" moves. A possible set of "Spring", "Fall", and "Winter 1935" moves might be as follows:

"Spring 1935"

US

"Fall 1935"

ΑL	US	F l	В	Rus	G	AUSTRIA	Germany attacks France - IBM - 126 - X Each removes 3 PF\$1.
Aus		3			7		
BS B	2				•	RUMANIA	: Russia attacks Germany - Ford - 83 - D Germany removes 5 PFs.
Č							
F					:	"Winter	1935#
G							Britain U.
I							Russia C.
p			8			÷	
Rh						^{II} S	oring" moves will be in the form of Table
Rum	• . •			6	5	power p	Laces a Control counter in an area, that be marked "C" for him for the remainder of

"Spring" moves will be in the form of Table II. If a power places a Control counter in an area, that area will simply be marked "C" for him for the remainder of the game. An Understanding counter will be indicated by "U", and its 5 . PFs: will be included in the number of PFs. If Britain puts no more PFs into Poland in "Spring 1936", then in the

above example the British figure for Poland will read "U,8". The indicated abbreviations for the areas will be used.

Be sure that there actually is a New York Stock Exchange listing for the stock you use. Don't, for example, simply write "Kaiser"; there are several Kaiser stocks traded.

The first FREEDONIA game will begin as soon as Vallner and Barents recruit a full beard and send in the entry fees. Countries will be assigned by lot, and the regular "Historical" game will be played. Later, variants might be tried.

If this first postal Origins game in FREEDONIA begins by 4 March, the deadline

for "Spring 1935" moves will be NOON, SATURDAY 18 MARCH 1972.

Articles on the game and on the historical period which it covers will be wel-

This is

O At

O Creat

E Interval

This

A Appears

Tho

I Inflame

O Optic

K Nerves

FREEDONTA #29

Table II

John Boardman 234 East 19th Street Brooklyn, N. Y. 11226 U. S. A.

FIRST GLASS MATL

If you enjoyed postal Diplomacy in GRAUSTARK, try the postal version of Origins of World War II in FREEDONIA.