

Hoosier Archives has originally a periodic listing of the Diplomacy structure of Hoosier, E. S. #3, Indiana 46052, telephone (317) 482-2824; Archives Library; President Diplomacy Congress, and V.P/Trees. International Diplomacy Association. It is a publication of Diplomacy games designed to articles on game play, diplomatic games, and news of the Grudge Game (1971BC) now winding up, rating system, and game news. Information on the archives is vital for all this and is available to the public as well. Although the archives is virtually complete in at least xerox form, missing undamaged originals are solicited, either for purchase or a loan to permit xeroxing. (See the last archive listing in Hoosier Archives #3) for zines needed.) Many original copies are now available from the archives; more are solicited so as to make them available to others. A subscription to Hoosier Archives is 15/\$2.00 or 7/\$1.00; back issues are available for 15¢ apiece (20% discount for all available). Ask for #73 to get a list of all articles through #74. This is Albatross Press publication #90.

### THE GRUDGE GAME (1971BC) Spring 1907

BIRMAN VICTORIOUS IN HISTORY'S FASTEST INTERSTATE POSTAL GAME  
OTHER PLAYERS LAY DOWN THEIR ARMS

AUSTRIA:	Conceded to France.
(Lakofka)	
FRANCE:	Graciously accepts concession from other players.
(Birman)	
GERMANY:	Conceded to France.
(Key)	
ITALY:	Conceded to France.
(Walker)	
RUSSIA:	Conceded to France.
(Gogthe)	

In response to the "Player's Note" in Hoosier Archives #29, all the players conceded to France by the Spring 1907 deadline on July 22nd. Bill Birman is therefore the winner and is to be congratulated for this victory in one of the strongest fields ever assembled. What is even more amazing about this than is that in spite of the strong competition, Bill won in the fastest time ever, a flat 7 months. The previous record was held by Ed Hallie playing Russia in 1968P in Charles Reinsel's now-defunct Big Brother. Ed achieved his win in 7 months and 10 days, which still beats the now 3rd fastest win by 2 months.

I must apologize for the lateness of this issue, which is the result of my slow response from DIPCON V. That truly memorable event will be chronicled as soon as I can get the wrap-up of the Grudge Game in order. Although that game is now history, it has generated a much controversy and comment (including statements by every player that participated!) that may take a month to publish all the commentary and finally lay the game to rest. To conclude, I was very proud to have the game receive the Johnny Award at the DIPCON as "The Outstanding Regular Diplomacy Game" of 1972.

Hopefully, the next game in Hoosier Archives, the Diplomacy Winner's Invitational, will be just as good, if not better. As stated in Hoosier Archives #77, only multiple winners that have ever had an OOD Rating of over 1000 qualify, so an all-star cast is guaranteed. It is hoped that the game will start next week as only one position is still vacant. So far signed up at the \$7.50 entry fee (which gives the winner a crack at a beautiful \$70.00 engraved trophy that was displayed at the DIPCON) are Beyorlein, Birman, Lakofka, McCallum, Ver Ploeg, and Prosnitz. This game will include all the features of the Grudge Game, such as a press release war, a running analysis by Rick Brooks, and miscellaneous commentary.

And now, to the ghost of the Grudge Game!

ANALYSIS (Fall/Winter 1906): Rick Brooks (R.R. 1, Box 167, Fremont, Indiana 46737).  
Comments are invited.

France has Kiel whenever he cares to take it, probably by moving FA Ruhr to FF North Sea to Norway, FF Edinburgh to Norwegian Sea, FF Sweden to Bohemia, and FA Denmark to France to take Norway in the fall. FA Finland to Norway and FA Livonia to St. Petersburg will block Norway in the spring and put two Russian units on it in the fall. But France will need FF Norwegian, FF North Sea and FA Sweden to take Norway with, not to mention FF Baltic or cut possible support from FA St. Petersburg. But Russia is unlikely to bother France after removing FF Norway and FF Norwegian.

If FF North Sea takes Norway in the spring, France will have two units on St. Petersburg in the fall. However, since they will be fleets off different coasts, France will have to be in position to convoy in FA Sweden without risk of losing Sweden. This would be a possibility if FA Finland falls back to St. Petersburg in the spring.

FA Prussia to Livonia, FA Silesia (S) GA Warsaw and GA Bohemia to Galicia will hold Warsaw and follow up any Russian units falling back on the flanks. FA Munich to Tyrolia will at least block it.

But the moves that will win the game (Russia has already conceded Norway by now, remember) are in the south. FW Western Mediterranean to North Africa, FF Lyon to Western Mediterranean, FF Marseilles to Lyon, and FF Brest to Mid-Atlantic cannot be blocked. Then FF North Africa (S) FW Western Mediterranean to Tunis, FF Mid-Atlantic to Western Mediterranean, and FF Lyon to Tyrrhenian will take Tunis even if Italy moves into Tunis and the Tyrrhenian. FA Tuscany (S) FA Venice to Rome probably won't go, but Venice can't be lost unless Italy and Austria work together--which is a little doubtful.

So it makes sense to play things out. For those who worry about order of finish, Italy can't finish lower than Russia unless he gets careless. It is interesting to note that Germany's apparent playing for survival and Italy playing for second have gotten France an early win. If both had stood up to him, he might have lost. Contrary to Walker's analysis, I feel that Russia would have been sensible enough to help Germany try to stall French expansion. As far as I'm concerned, Gene Prosnitz is the only player in this game that hasn't lowered himself in my esteem.

#### THE ULTIMATE REGRUDGING: PART IV

VIENNA (10 April 1907): Since everyone is playing confession in this game, I may as well add my two cents.

My opening game plan was to form an eastern coalition to destroy Turkey and, at the same time, prevent Russia from growing too strong above me. To that end, I fed Prosnitz the information on the early Russian northern campaign, stopping him from attacking Birsan right off. Such a move by England would have made Smythe the potential super power instead of Birsan. With Smythe right next to me, I did not care for that alternative.

I quickly signed a three-year peace treaty with Walker and went off to do battle with Tretick. In the west, no clear pattern was forming so all seemed to be going well. Tretick was beginning to falter but Walker's futile Lepanto opening was gaining no ground at all. Rod insisted on outguessing instead of just bludgeoning the Turk! Meanwhile, Smythe got the brilliant idea of a four-way alliance versus me. Why me, I cried as I was negotiated out of position for the stab. They came from everywhere! Germany, Italy, Russia and Turkey, but only Smythe had any heart for the stab! Walker was very confused and was easily talked into continued fighting with Tretick (old feuds die hard, right, Rod?). At that point, I offered Tretick Sevastopol, Romania and Moscow and he hit--right into Smythe's rear end--cackle! John was livid and a renegotiation of the entente versus Tretick was child's play. But I didn't forget the stab Smythe dealt me!

In the west England had collapsed from heat prostration, commonly called vendetta. Key began to play an even more wishy-washy game than he had in the first few years and the stab (or shall we say take-over) came as no real surprise. Key negotiated ZERO words to me, regardless of my letters and thus he cast the die for the rise of the French super power while the east was still in a turmoil.

At this late date, just as we begin to re-align to fight the western giant, Walker starts

WELL, IT WAS SEVEN MONTHS ago we discuss did him in the same situation, what for? for all of us readers?"

So here we are. Amurias was the victim of one stab after another. The last just could have been a stab because of pure foolishness by both Walker and Smythe. It is up to us to see if Sirus will end up like it.

As for these last few seasons--it is my turn to get back at the two scabs. Walker closed the southern position wide open and the battle down there was almost futile. I have a task in dealing with them. I could do little to influence the game's direction as I was constantly bringing blood-sistined tigers every few seasons--so now I'll happily help them win. Walker and Smythe don't have a star waiting after their stupid play.

So here's to you, Red--I'm going to get you yet!!

#### REGRUDGINGS

TRIESTE (14 May 1907): The Black Throng mounted vessels in the harbor, now deserted by human form. Far away in Bulgaria the southern wing of the Throng also began a voyage to the sea. "The Italian shall not stop up both--I shall have my revenge," cracked Crown Prince Leo as he stood in his black uniform and gold crown, regarding both his rabble and allies.

All over the Mediterranean pensons quaked in fear of this deadliest of conflicts. Evil va, eva! Only the "Black Party" took pleasure in the upcoming fight as they prepared a meal of grits, hog back cider and black-eyed peas. "We never eat popcorn or shows--we just drink oh so-sweet wine!" said the Cannon Sisters.

BUDAPEST (15 May 1907): Well, Walker stabbed me, then he stabbed Beyerlein. Can you get at anyone else, Red?

EDINBURGH (12 April 1907): For all the talk of give-away, defaulted game and what not the facts remain that anyone playing France with any kind of skill would have taken every opportunity to secure the victory that I have. There isn't a player in this game that would not, and most likely has not accepted surrenders such as Presnitz's or Key's agreeing to become part of the French forces in exchange for survival.

NORTH AFRICA (20 April 1907): The forces of Mafioso Kingpin, Erasles II had passed this way on their path to the lock-up congress of Tunis. Whether this is a feint to cover the second assault on Rome within six years is yet to be determined, but people close to the Kingpin have been reported to have said that Pope Joan has visited the Mafioso several nights in order to prevent the family jewels with enough grace so as to have the Vatican spared a second raping.

PARTS (1 May 1907): At the majestic gambling home of the Tiger Salient, M. Lord, odds were published on the chances for the Caped Cruthader to escape the contract placed on him/her by Madam Blanche. Odds have been officially posted at 97.5 to 1 in favor of the Contract being fulfilled.

NEW DIEGO (VIA PARTS) (15 May 1907): Found in a back alley brothel, the one-time Caped Cruthader was witness to an extraordinary change in his life after being raped by 12 female rhinos in heat (from both ends they ravaged the ex-cruthader). Reports that Caped Cruthader is now an appointed head of the Parisian zoo for well-endowed animals now seem to have some validity.

PARIS (NASH/P RELEASE) (17 May 1907): By the grace of God and the right holy spirit of Christianity, the Imperial House of the Reign has called for the abdication of Pope Joan III and the support by all peoples of the new Pope Hadrian the Seventh from Avignon. In a plea to the return to a more Pious Period, the Earl of New France (Germany), M. Jeffri, called for "Unity in principle, deed and faith in order to destroy the sinful leader of the Vatican."

EDINBURGH (HDE RELEASE) (25 May 1907): The Lords of Denmark and Scotland have gathered for the momentous decisions that must follow the final stage of the Norman conquest of Western Europe. So far it appears that a new Viking republic is about to be formed in conjunction with the Franco-Normans to control Northern Europe while a theocracy of unknown character takes command of the Mediterranean regions. In the central European plain, Germany is to be broken up into three sections: Ruhr and Kiel to form the Western Urban kingdom with Munich, Silesia and Bohemia as the Southern Tier and Berlin, Prussia and Warsaw the Eastern Agricultural Democracy.

HITT AL-CUSHER (VIA COW PASTURES): Tune in next week for the exciting conclusion of this outstanding serial! Be prepared to gasp as you learn the author of this anonymous work! Meet the winner of the Name-the-Author Contest! All this and more press in the next issue!!

OUT OF THE ARCHIVES NO. 23

Due to our good fortune in obtaining originals, reprints are rare these days - appearing only about every 10 issues. The article below is what one could call a "fresh reprint." It was submitted to Don Turnbull and me simultaneously with first rights going to him. Since Don has now published it in Albion #38 of 1 June, here goes. Many thanks, Red.

ONE-SHOT DIPLOMACY

by Red Walker

In fan circles, a "one-shot" means a fanzine which is produced in one unique issue, as opposed to a continuing series, such as Albion, Erebwan, Graustark, or Hosler Archives. To be completely precise, a one-shot reports the doings of a group of fans at a meeting, visit, or convention, and is produced through the cooperative efforts of some or all of them.

This terminology has been taken over in postal Diplomacy, too, although its meaning is slightly modified. Generally speaking, a one-shot is (a) a single 'zine produced for a special purpose, (b) a single 'zine intended to be the first of a series but for which there were no follow-ups, or (c) a single 'zine designed to report a face-to-face game. It is this last type, which is of the most importance and greatest interest, with which I am concerned.

The first such one-shot was The Grand Fenwick Gazette, produced in 1969 by four North Carolina fans and reporting a 4-man game between them. Not only were the moves of the game reported, but also thoughts of the players which they wrote at the time, and an analysis of the game over-all. The 'zine is thus a valuable document, relating a game and what went on to it.

This kind of document is very important, especially now that Diplomacy is spreading so widely and rapidly. Many new players are anxious to see an entire game played out, particularly with commentary. They can get this by buying a complete run of a 'zine for a grand game, but that is usually expensive and some issues in the run may be out of print. More cheaply and easily, they can buy one-shots.

Unfortunately, one-shots are not readily available everywhere. Most publishers do not produce them. I have, however, made the production of one-shots one of my primary concerns, and over the years have put out several: Grand Fenwick Gazette Number Two, Grand Fenwick Gazette Number Three, Quarmall, Osgiliath, and Sandwich Island are all one-shots reproducing various games (Quarmall's game is a sort of variant; the 1953 prototype of Diplomacy and includes the map and rules). Another 'zine, as yet unnamed, is in the works; it reports a recently played 6-man game (no Italy). Each 'zine reproduces all the moves of a full game, together with a supply-center chart and player summary. Some sort of analysis is included: either remarks made by the players during the game, or GM analysis, or both. The idea is to create a product which the reader can both learn from and enjoy. If he wishes, he can set up a game board and follow the entire game through.

It is my hope, by writing this article, to encourage more one-shots. I will be happy to purchase, or trade for, any one-shot produced by anyone, so please contact me (at 479 Felton St., San Diego, Calif. 92116) if you do one.

Well, how is it done? It seems simple at first, but it isn't. Over the years, I have developed a technique which works very well. The remainder of this article will be devoted to describing that technique.

First of all, you must be very well organized. The typical kind of loose-ended Diplomacy game we all play will not do. It is almost essential to have a separate Gamesmaster. Not entirely, though: the last game, which I'll publish soon, had no GM. I played England and managed not only to keep track of things, but to win as well. But I wouldn't recommend this sort of dual role: it's too nerve-wracking.

The role of GM is pivotal. It is a good idea for him to be the one who is going to publish the game eventually. He must insure that all orders are written on standard-sized pieces of paper (I have found 3 x 5 file cards or blank computer punch cards to be the best bet). With his orders (which indicate country, game-year, and season), the player is encouraged to write comments about what he thinks is going on, what he is trying to do, why he made certain key moves, and so on. The GM collects the orders for each season separately and bands or clips them together and files them away. The comments written by the players are for his eyes alone and are never read or shown to others. Later on, the publisher can publish the comments for each season with the adjudicated orders. He should edit them carefully, however,

Some players will be seized with the urge to write nonsense, and any material which contains nothing to understanding the game should be deleted.

In addition, the GM maintains two supply center charts. One is the traditional numbered chart. The other is a separate chart by name for each country. You begin by listing some centers. Centers gained are then added to the chart, with the date, and losses are also indicated. This will help, believe me, in keeping builds and captures straight. Here is a sample of what I mean by this sort of chart:

FRANCE:	ESP	MAR	PAR	SPA	FOR	PER	BRE	MUN	BEL
	02			01	01	02	03	04	06

This chart shows that France gained Spain, Portugal, and Belgium in 1901, and built in. In 1902, however, he lost Brest and Belgium. In 1903, he regained Brest. In 1904 he regained Belgium and in addition captured Munich. Note that date gained is below-left and the date lost is below-right (and the center is crossed off).

In this kind of game, the players must of course know beforehand that the game will be published. Their cooperation must be obtained: they must clearly date each set of orders, and they must give an order (even if only "H") to each unit (to avoid later confusion). Re-crests, builds, and removals must be clearly and separately indicated, even where otherwise unnecessary in a face-to-face game (e.g., only one unit needs to appear, there is only one player building this season, or whatever). The more complete the records are, the easier the publisher will find it. If the GM has time, he should use a bright color (e.g., red, orange) to underline orders which fail.

When I have commentary from the players, I usually follow this sequence: first I print the player comments for the season, then I print the orders, then I print a short GM comment on what happened. This will carry the reader along in logical sequence and allow him to derive maximum benefit from the game.

If at all possible, strict time limits should be observed. This will make sure that the game has a chance of being completed before the players get tired or have to leave. If the game drags, you may wind up having to publish an incomplete game, which is much less satisfying to the reader.

When you are all done, judicious editing and maximum use of abbreviations and symbols should allow you to get a complete game in 8 to 10 pages (4 to 5 sheets printed both sides); this includes moves, supply center lists each fall, commentary, supply center chart, and a short introduction on how the game came to be played, player list, and closing summary. The 4-5 sheets is important because that will keep you under 1 ounce.

Ordinarily, as a courtesy, a copy is sent to each of the players. The rest can be sold. Considering the time and effort you have invested, \$5.00 seems to me a fair price. My usual run of such 'zines is 50. Don't expect a run on the 'zine, though; even with fairly extensive advertising among novices, I sell only 2 or 3 a month, so that a stack of 50 will last you quite some time.

Copies of the one-shots I have produced are \$5.00 each. Again, I hope that anyone who produces a one-shot will let me know because I will certainly want to trade for or purchase a copy. Also, I will be happy to answer further questions about this somewhat off-beat aspect of our hobby.

#### THE CALHAMER POINT COUNT RATING LIST BY COUNTRY

##### Germany

1.500	Hal Naas	1.000	Bud Pendergrass	.450	Dave Johnston
1.200	Mehran Thomson	Jerry Pournelle		.333	Robert Nudelman
	Rod Walker	Lew Pulsipher		.250	Chuck Carey
1.167	Andy Phillips	George Schelz			Ed Halle
		Buddy Tretick			Terry Much
1.000	Ken Berecki	Monte Zelazny		.200	Peter Rosenblia
	Mike Goldstein				James White
	John Koning				
	Dave Lindsay				
		.500	Frank Clark		
			James Latimer		

\* \* \* \* \*