

LOOK ... UP IN THE SKY ... IT'S A BUZZARD!  
IT'S DOGPATCH AIRLINES!  
IT'S...OH, GOD, I'M GOING TO BE SICK...  
IT'S.....

KADATH!

#10

19 July 1971

Yes, good fans, this is KADATH, a journal (or something) of postal Diplomacy, carrying 1970BL (the Black and Blue game [as you can plainly see]). This game is being played by some of Diplomacy's guiding lights, leading citizens, and most wanted criminals. It is also being analyzed by eagle-eyed Rick Brooks (and sometimes by other people) and the whole thing is designed to help and advise people who play Diplomacy. What it is we are helping and advising them to do is another matter. Anyway, this particular pile of garbage pail lining is Pandemonium Publication #345, edited, published, and committed by Red Walker, 5058 Hawley Blvd., San Diego CA 92116; telephone (714) 282-1921; member, NFFFCB DippyDiv, LEW DipSoc, and the DipAssoc.

#### GAME 1970BL

##### The Players:

Sid Cochran, Jr., P.O. Box 607, Tyler TX 75701.  
Len Lakofka, 1886 N. Richmond, Chicago IL 60647.  
Hal Maus, 1011 Barrett Ave., Chula Vista CA 92011.  
Derek Nelson, 18 Granard Blvd., Scarborough Ontario, Canada.  
Larry Peery, 816 24th St., San Diego CA 92102.  
Conrad vonMetzke, 1530 1/2 Dale St., San Diego 92102.  
Charles Welsh, 6917 Cherry Ln., Annandale VA 22003.

#### PAUL HARTLEY RETURNS! ENGLAND ATTACKS FRANCE, GERMANY, AND RUSSIA!!!

##### Spring 1904:

AUSTRIA (vonMetzke): NMR. A Ukr /h/ /d/.  
ENGLAND (Cochran): F Mid-Spa(sc), F Eng-Bel, F Nwy-Swe, A Bel-Hol S  
by F Nch.  
FRANCE (Peery): A Pic-Bre, A Bur H /d//Mcr/, A Pic-Tyr, A Bre-Gas.  
GERMANY (Nelson): A Ruh-Bur S by A Mun, A Tyr-Pic /d//Vie/, A Kie-Ber,  
F Hol-Bon.  
ITALY (Lakofka): F Nap-Tyr, A Ven S FRENCH A Pic-Tyr, A Apu S A Ven.  
RUSSIA (Welsh): F StP(nc)-Nwy, A War-Gal, A Vie-Tri S by A Bud,  
F Bot-Swe, A Sev-Ukr S by A Mos.  
TURKEY (Maus): F Smy-Eas, F Aeg-Ion, F Tun-Wes, A Rum H S by F Bla,  
A Bul-Cro, F Arm H, A Ser S RUSSIAN A Bud-Tri (nso).

#### SPRING 1903 ... Rick Brooks

What with Germany missing a move, France missing a retreat, and England attacking everyone he can reach, this game seems to have narrowed down to Turkey and Russia with Turkey having the edge. Now if England could make a deal with Russia, he'd have a chance. England has been foolish unless he has

an agreement with Russia, as his move into Holland will mainly help Russia. A continued alliance with Germany would have meant that F Denmark could support F Norway to Sweden and that A Berlin could continue to move east. Not to mention helping push France under faster.

The principal criticism of the English doublecross of Germany is that it didn't gain him anything, while it seems to have cost him much. He can hold Holland and Spain, thus gaining 2 builds. If he had moved A Bel-Pic and F Eng-Mid, he could have taken Portugal this Fall and had 2 builds; he might even have gotten 3. Assume that England had moved F Eng-Mid and A Bel-Pic. If the dislodged FRENCH A Lur retreated to Paris, England could move F Mid-Fox, F Spa(sc) S GERMAN A Lur-Mar, F Nwy-Swe, F Nth-Nwy, A Pic-Bur. Germany could take Marseilles, support F Nwy-Swe, and block Burgundy by moving A Mun-Lur (unless A Par S A Cas-Bur or vice versa).

If France retreated to Marseilles, A Pic S F Mid-Bre (since Picardy would be available to support a German move into Burgundy) and F Spa(sc) S GERMAN A Lur-Mar could take one of the two centers. F Mid-Cas to cut possible support as a bad move. A Cas-Spa would block Germany out of Marseilles, and the gain of a center would depend on outguessing France over Paris and Brest. Moving F Mid-Cas would cut support for A Mar-Spa; however, a successful support of Marseilles to Spain would dislodge F Spa(sc) (to retreat to Portugal), A Lur would take Marseilles, and F Mid would take Brest.

England could have lost Belgium by moving A Bel-Hol and following up with F Eng-Bel. If France had moved A Pic S A Bur-Bel, F Eng would have been stood off and A Bur would have taken Belgium. In the Fall, England could move F Eng-Bel S by F Nth S A Hol, but assuming that Germany is a bit put out at seeing an English army in Holland, A Bur could help A Pic support A Bel. If England had moved F Eng-Mid, F Nth S A Bel would have held.

If England was set on a doublecross, F Eng-Mid, F Nth-Hol S by A Bel would have given him 3 builds and still risked Belgium no more. But this is also assuming that Germany didn't move F Hol-Nth. Those who are ready to doublecross don't tend to be too trusting.

England would have done better if he had waited until Fall, when Germany could have supported him into Sweden. Then he could be sure of 3 builds with Spain, Portugal, probably Sweden, and probably Holland. Supporting A Bur-Bur was sure to go. Then if Germany did learn what was going on and moved to Belgium, A Bel-Hol, F Nth-Bel would have gained Holland and held Belgium by blocking out A Bur.

England would have done best by helping Germany work over France for the next 2 years, consistently lousing up the attack on Sweden, then helping Russia take Germany. Russia and England would be more than a match for Turkey, and Russia is undoubtedly no fan of Turkey.

Germany's moves are hard to fault. In Diplomacy, you have to trust someone--especially the first few years--if you are to gain on the pack. A Sub-Bur gave him good position to help England dismember France and A Tyr-Fox would give him an even better position if A Pic dropped back to cover Marseilles. F Hol-Bur would allow him to help block Russia out of Sweden. A fast clean-up of France would allow him and England to stand a fair chance of taking on Russia and Turkey, counting on one to stab the other if his forward action were stalled.

France has done fairly well. A Pic-Bre was not a good move, as England was likely to go for the same thing, either Spain or F Eng-Bre S by Mid, charging that France could not support himself in. A Pic-Tyr allows him to hit Russia. If he could hold his fleet, he'd be in fair shape.

The second wave of interest is the Italian A Ven S FRENCH A Pio-Tyr. Italy has been suspicious of the motives of the German A Tyr as his A Apu S A Ven lost Fall 1806. So he may have supported France just to dislodge Germany and depend on France to go after Germany. It is doubtful that France would have agreed to support a Ven-Tri in the Fall with England and Germany then just starting the ring on him.

Was there anyone, Russia doesn't trust Turkey. Moving A Bud-Tri would not have held Vienna after the German retreat. And in the Fall, A Gal-And S by A Tri S A Tri would have taken it without outside interference. Italy should try to keep on Russia's good side, as Russia is the only one that can save his hide. So Russia has mistrusted where he didn't need to, and in moving out of Sevastopol, trusted where he can be hurt. His move A Sev-Ukr S by A Mos isn't too bad. If Austria's A Ukr had moved to Galicia, he would have blocked out A War and been wiped out. Any other move would have ended up with him in or retreating to Warsaw. A Ukr and A Mos could then have dislodged him in the Fall.

If Russia had ordered F Bot E, F StP(nc)-Kwy, he would have taken Norway. This would have been in exchange for Sweden, and if England had followed up with F Nth-Kwy, England could have taken Sweden and held Norway. If Russia had taken Norway, he could not retake Sweden and hold Norway even with the help of Germany unless they outguessed England. F Ben-Nth, F Bot-Swe S by F Nth would not work if F Nth moved to Norway. It would work if England moved after Denmark with both fleets.

It's best to plan not only to reach the saddle point where you maximize your gains and minimize your risks (as in game theory), but also to make the moves that will reduce your enemies' options. The more you restrict him to making your moves, the better you will do. This is admittedly easier said than done. I lean heavier on the enemy's optimum moves than I do mine in planning.

Turkey seems to be doing right by Russia, but isn't getting too trusting. He held with F Ana and supported Rumania with F Bla. The move of F Tur wasn't too good. He should ignore France and England and concentrate on Italy. F Aeg-Yon and F Tur-Tyr would have taken one of the two (as it turned out, Ionian) and then F Tur-Tyr S by F Ion would take the other in the fall. Then Spring 1805 could see F Ion-Adr, F Bas-Ion S by F Tyr and Fall could see A Gre-Adr S by F Ion and S by F Tyr, F Adr-Apu. If A Apu had fallen back to Rome, the convey would be to Apulia. At any rate, Turkey cannot get another child before 1806 unless he gets help. Or attacks Russia.

At the present, Turkey has too many units tied up facing the Russians. Both could shorten their actual boundaries by trading Trieste for Rumania, as well as giving Turkey a point to put pressure on Venice. Then the Black Sea Fleet could move back to Constantinople and support A Bal while the Russians could sit on any in Sevastopol to confront F Ana.

If England and Germany had held together, they might have beaten Turkey. Both Turkey and Russia need help in expanding. There could be an interesting alliance coming up soon. Where will England go?

THE 30th ANNIVERSARY of the Editor

Confidentially, I say not so "top" on my commentators' analyses. However, I do have to say something on "top" largely very positive analysis. Rich Stone is particularly good with Turkey's western involvements because, as he says, "Turkey is not a 'strategic ally'". There is at least one explanation for this. Turkey requires control of the

Straits of Gibraltar. This seems putting units in Spain and the Mid-Atlantic. It may be that the Adm strategy is motivated by a desire to do this before some Russian move, particularly Leningrad, assumes the classic "blockade" stance in this area (e.g., F Mid, F Mt [or F Ari or F Eng], ordering F Mid W S by F Far S, F Mt). Even assuming continued Italian hostility, Turkey may still feel secure in ignoring the single Italian fleet and heading west. As Rick has pointed out, getting anything out of Italy is a laborious process in any event--and if Turkey's diplomacy is shrewd, he may offer Italy some sort of survival in return for his cooperation in this Turkish drang nach osten. Well, whatever is going on, we are no doubt in for surprises; Turkey negotiated an agreement with Russia when no one expected it, so what might he net do in the next?

[Confession: I have cheated to this extent: the Fall 1904 moves are before me, fixed and adjudicated, as I write this. They are going out to the players and commentators. They will no doubt have something to say. Stay tuned for some interesting developments.]

LARRY LARRY has asked that the following be printed:

"First, I apologize for missing my retreat and then my build in the last year of moves. I hope this will be an illustration to all watching this game of how crucial such moves are and how a position can be rapidly ruined by missing such moves. I offer no excuses and again express my apologies. My only desire at this point is to end the game rapidly and start another.

"Second, with the exception of Maers, Cochran, Nelson, Lakofka, Welsh, and Sago, I think the remainder of the players in this game owe Rod an apology for their casual attitude and lack of respect toward both him and the other players. I, for one, render such an apology and will endeavor not to repeat my past mistakes."

The GM would like to add that Larry was out of town when the Autumn/Winter deadline fell due and could not be reached by telephone in consequence. Larry failed to retreat, had a unit annihilated, and then could build--but he missed the build at the same time and for the same reason that he missed the retreat. He thus missed not two operations, but only one.

Don't be too anxious to "get it over with", Larry...this game is beginning to take on truly unusual characteristics!

Finally, although I accept Larry's apology, I want to note that I don't think he owes us one. Larry, as a GM, knows the difficulties caused by missed moves and I know that he is always very concerned and conscientious about his games. But sometimes the real world intrudes in all this and you will forget something. The people who should apologize to the GM and their fellow players, the ones who play indifferently, miss nearly every other move, and finally have to be dropped, who are the real problem. Luckily, we have no such players in this game.

SUBSCRIPTIONS. Most subscriptions to KAZAN run out with this issue. Those who wish to continue receiving it need to renew: Tony Pandin, Jim Scully, Earl Hodin, Chris Schleicher, Gary Jones, Stan Wrobel. (Andy Phillips, your sub expires with #11.) (The subscriptions, those of Earl Thomson and Don Sablecki, do not expire until #20.)

Chapter V of the Legend of Sleepy Swallow will be in #11 (I hope).