There are those who have rating systems, those who have had it with rating systems, and those who have to have had had have to had have had have like Well, you figure it out....

Noy Diplomacy Rating Commission, a voluntary association of Curators of postal Diplomacy rating systems. It is automatically sent to members of the Commission. Interested parties may subscribe (on a delayed basis) @15c an issue. A copy will also be deposited with the Postal Diplomacy Archives in Lebanon IN. This issue is Pandemonium Publication #566, edited and published by Rod Walker, 4069 Jackdaw Ster San Diego CA 92103.

ACTA PRIMA

I have had a reply from John Beshara to the letter published in LAPUTA #4. It is a lengthy item, the gist of which is that he has never received an invitation for TDA to send a representative to the PDRC, he was stood up in Chicago, my last letter is full of misinformation, and he has spent oodles of time and money exchanging information so what am I talking about? My reply, equally lengthy, was to the effect that he's had all the invitation which is reasonable, what happened in Chicago is irrelevant to the issue, if we're exchanging where's the info I asked for, and if in the future the DA wants to apply to have a rep. on the Commission, do let me know. I am not going to print these beasts, but if any member of the Commission wishes a xerox, I will send them to him.

ACTA SECUNDA

Several new rating systems seem to be waiting in the wings. I know of two, anyway. One is a proposal of Mike Honig's, in THE POCKET ARMEN-IAN, which seems to be merely in the talking stage. The other is Bob Lipton's, and he appears in the process of doing the calculations.

Now, as I understand it, the Curator of a rating system is ipso facto a member of this Commission, assuming (a) he wants to join and (b) he has an actual active system whose results have been published. I don to propose we change this, but I am wondering if we couldn't modify it just a little bit.

That is, if somebody seems on the verge of being a member anyway, could we invite him to participate in our discussions at least (sans vote if one is taken). It would add depth to our deliberations, it seems to me.

I would like to propose that we invite Bob Lipton to join us on that basis (discussion but no vote). Since this is certainly a controversial issue, I would like to call for a vote, and I see no alternative to assuming that a unanimous "yes" vote would be required (with failure to vote not counting as a vote either way).

In order to allow plenty of time for consultation among and between the Commission's members, a deadline of Monday, 2 December 1974, is set. If I receive 5 affirmative votes (my vote, the 6th, is already affirmative) prior to that date, I will go ahead and send all relevant materials to Bob. His address (in case you didn't know): Box 360, Lafayette Collete, Easton PA 18042.

ACTA TERTIA

LAPUTA 6 will be a check-list on games we rate and don't rate. No. 7 will contain Len's proposed Constitution. I will have these out as rapidly as practicable.

3()