

28 April, 1976

#### introducing; the Connected Calmaner Point COURT RAZING LIST

I introduced the concept of the "corrected" game in MACUES GALLERY. This is a multiplier which is based on the quotient obtained by dividing the actual # of game years played by the full number of years in the game.

Corrected game Quotient—Actual years played—total years

I have decided to apply this quotient to the Calhamer Point Count Rating List kept by, I believe, Matt Diller. Below you will find all of the players who have a CFCRL readout of 1 and ALSO have a CCPCRL readout of 1. There are pany persons who have a CFCRL and/or CCPCRL of less than 1. I will not record them at this time. The next step in this process will be the CPCRL corrected for national difficulity. I will attempt that listing at some point in the future.

The first number in a double listing is the CPCHL regiont. The second figure is the CCPCHL regiont. If there is no difference between the two only one number will appear.

```
CPCRL FIRST BOARD
                                               CPCRL SECOND BOARD
                                             7-8 Duchanan Walter 7.5
  Birson Edi 14.32
  Phillips Andy 13.33
                                              9 Prosmits Eugene 7.45*
                                              10 Pulsipher Lewis 7.29
  Beyerlein Doug 13.15
  Kelly Ronald 11,19
                                             11 Lakofka Lenard 6.94
  Saythe John 8.83*
                                             12 Filter Thomas 6.7
  Rocamorn Michael 7.91
                                             13 Reshara John 6,25
                                             14 Seus Rel 6,16
7-8 Verpleeg Branton 7.50
"Believed currently importive
                                             boards 3-5 on page 5
                                              CCPOML SECOND BOARD
CCPCRL FIRST BOARD
                                            7 : VerFloeg Erenton 7.5 *
   Birsan Edi 12.35
 Beyerleiù Doug 10.66
                                              9 Buchaman Halter 7.05
  Smythe John 3.46*
                                             10 Lakofka Lenard 6.69
  Kelly Repald 8.42
                                              11
                                                  Pulsipher Lewis 5.92*
                                              12 Bytwerk Randy 5.5° 13 Tratick Buddy 5.33°
  Fhillips Andy 7.99
6 Rocamora Michael 7.53
   Brosnitz Eugene 7.4
                                              14 Koning John 5.23 *
```

Alphabetical listing of players whose CPCML AND CCPCRL readouts are 1 or more.

Birsan E. 14,32/12.25 Abott ? 1 Blackshaw? 1 Ackerman B 1 Ameoff P. 2/1,43 Blank W. 1.84 Blandon L. 1 Armstrong J. 1 Blowitt R. 1 Bodker S. 1 Bolin F. 1 Attobarry 2. 1 Austin J. i Ball T. 3.25 Balson J. 1 Borecki K. 1
Barrons D. 1.45 Botting K. 1
Bartnikoveki M. 1 Bowers P. 1 Boyer J. 4.33 Brackman D. 1 Bernett ? 1 Berendt T. 3.53/1.09 Brackman D. 1 Brooks R. 2.45 Bornan D. 1.78 Binooks S. 6.07/5.19 Boshara J. 6.2/4:01 Boyerlein D. 13.15/10.66 Suchanan W. 7.5/7/05 Boyerlein N. 2.25/1.75 Bullack, M. 3.33 Richil J. 1 Bytanonik R. 5.5 Bingle D. L Cairns ? 1 Culatria P. 1.33/1.14 Birks P. 1

Calhamer A. 1
Childs L. 3.336.16
Chin B. 1.2
Clark F. 1
Coombe J. 2.2
Coppor T. 1
Corden T

Durston T. 1 Dygert J. 3 Early L. 1.33/.99 Eckert ? 1 Eller T. 6.7/5.08 Byans H. 2 Evens R. 1 Fergueus G. 1.58 Feron M. 1.5/1.45 First J. 1.2/1/01 Fisher R. 1 Floring J. 3.25 Forte D. 1.17 Fox R. 1 Foxton P 1 Furse N. 1 Gallagher D. 1.2/1.16 Codfrey B. 2 Coldstein H. 4.17 Grayn M. 3 Grayson G. 1 Greeco J. 1 Griffin T. 1 Rati S. 2.16/1.89 Hallo B4 1.5 Harvey C. 1 🗥 Hartley P. 1 Mector D. 1 Hertz D. 1 Herts G. 2 Hillikor C. 1 Holbern A. 2.5 Holombe T. 2.25 Hollingsworth D. 1.75 Horton D. 2,58/2,23 Rrbek J. 1 Huddleson S. 1 Hull A? 1 Inger G. 1 Jackson G. i Jazski T. 1 Jeffory G. 1 Johnson B. 1,25/1,17 Johnson D. 4/3.2 Johnston D. 1.53/1.28 Jones G. 1.2 Jours P. i Katzive R. 2 Kelly R. 11.19/8.42 Rey J. 2.37 Kindig B. 2.52/2.52 Kinney H. i Klein J. 3.89/3.5 Knoles T. 1.2/1.09 Koning J. 5.25/5.23 Broy E. 1

TANGEN CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF STANDARD CONTRACTOR OF STANDA LeDelle B. 3.33/2.91 Lagerson D. 2.5/2.03 Lakolka L. 6.94/6.09 Lang A. L Langs S. 1 Lartton M. 1.33/1.08 Letimor J. i Lenson J. 1 Leahoy T. 2.53/ Labiling D. 3.2/3.12 Leeder J. 1.48/1.4 Leitch P. i Lettunce J. 1 L'Hermitte ? 1 % Lindsay D. 1 Lindsay B. 1 Lindstron 1.25 Lipson S. 3.08/2.96 Ledge G. 2.25 Leonis N. i Lourance C. 1 Livery D. 1 Inc-Hemouin ? 1 McCallum J. 2.53 NcCoiston C. 3.25/3.14 Nellonald K. i NoSue D. I McKeon J. 1.17 McIensie J. i Maislor H. 2.2 Marsland S. 1 Materne? i May D. 1 Mayloin G. 1 Homas B. 1.5 Meaghert L. 1 Millor D. 2 Hiller S. 2/1.96 Morris D. 1 Morris R. 1 Morkon B. 1 Munroe de 1 Mash ? 1 Haus H. S.18/3,29 Holoca D. 3 Nolson B. 1 Microrway S. 1 Morton L. 1 Muttal G. 1.33/1.06 Rydarok II. 1 Chiver 3, 2/1.11 Olivon R. 1 Grens A. i CHARDSCH H. I. Falmor E. 1 Payro D. 1.45/1.26

Pock H. 1 Peary L. 2.91/2.69 Polz B. 1 Perdergrass ? 2.5/2.45 Phillips A. 13.33/7.99 Piagott J. 2.75 Pitsch D. 3.75/3.57 Potter D. i Pourselle J. 5/3.77 Power 3. 4.58/4.38 Provot R. i Prosnits 8. 7.45/7.4 Pale1pher L. 7.2/5.92 Rack S. 1.2/1.13 Roindart H. i Pointel C. 3.33 Bico B. 1 Robinson B. 1 Robert T. 2 Hocamoun # 7.91/7.53 Addricks D. i Romanilia P. 3.7/2.63 Rosenbaum T. 1 Remenfold I. 1.25/1.15 . Hubia R. 1 Erdor D. 1 8t Cyr. L. 1.9/1.54 Stalehas 2. 2 Sargent R. i Scensny L. i Schelz G. 2.2/1.65 Schleicher C. 1 Schlickbernd B. 1.7/1.25 Scott N. 1:08 Sharp R. 2.33 Shutelock J. 1 Sierla T. i Sleight? 1 Sluan X. 2 Smith B. 1 Smith D. 1 Smith S. 1.33/ Swythe J. 8.83/8.46 Spark G. 1 Stevens J. 3.06/2.53 Stimpson ? i Strayer R. 1 Swanson P. 3 Swles R. 3.86/1.63 Sykes R. 1 Thomas P. 1 Thompson K. 2.2 Thormand ? 1 Tilson T. 4.78/4.51 Tretick B. 5.33 Tulp H. i.

75a £

Turnbull J. 2/2 Calhamer Points are examined in the following namer; Turner C. 5.06/4.34 i point for a win, a fraction of a point for everyone in Tyrical P. 1 a draw. This listing is a reflection of both actual wins Vagts A. 2.74/1.45 i.e. a country with 48 or none content and voted wind. V\_magraff ? 1.73/1.59 Voted draws are usually recorded as voted however there are Verheiden B. 6.04/4.96 exceptions. Then countries not in civil disorder have centers VerPloog B. 7.5 VonMetake C. 3.95/2.93 consi to or greater than the number held by anyone in the draw the draw is divided among more yersons. When Civil Waldie A. 7.08/2.98 Misorder centers number over 5 the vote may be effected if Walker R. 2.83/1.90 all of the centers are concentrated in one country. Walkerdine R. 2 For the purpose of country statistics voted wins in which the Ward B. 2.7/1.95 "witner" has 12 or fewer contern have been recorded as draws. dartenberg R. 1.45 Wessell M. 1 The point of playing out a draw, especially 2 way drawn, is Watson C. 1 to see who sher one or the other player will actually slab for Webb B. 1 the min or not. By voting a conclusion with 4 or more centers Wells C. 5 still hold by others that test is nover made. Westloke J. 1.25 Weenig J. 1.58/1.49 Thris light includes 462 wins and 161 draws for 623 katol games. The list of rated mass follows: Whatloy To 1 63 B White G. 1.9 Sk a-b, c Winter F. 1 65 and, pru, n Hiskow D. 1 Wood P. 1.65/1.55 66 a-d.h-i.l-o.x.s.s.s-ac.ac.ag.az-al.ak-az.ac.ag.az-az-az-az-bb-bd. Trobel S. 1 or a-b, e, h-j, n-y, t-a, y-uc, ac-ah, aj-al, ac-au, an, an-bc Zelasay H. 3 ós a-par-tav-eir, em-so, ev, cs-bo, bk, bs-bu, by-bi, ck-cs, cp, cs Zioban ? 3 69 h-b.k-m.c-p.n-s.eb-aff.sy-va.bc-bi.bk-bn.bo.bv.bn-cb.cd-cl.cp.cn. 70a-b, d-f, j-h, a-u, a-z, au, ac-ae, al-ali, ac-aq, at-au, aq-be, bk-be, bp-bq, ba 71c-d. f-g. k-1,0-a, w, s, ab, ac, al, ac-ac, ac, au-ba, ba, ba-bl, bp, bi-bv, bz, bz-cb, cd-co, do-jo, do-co, co-ab, vi-di, di-di, id-do, dx-co, co-co, ci-ci 72a-e, g, 1-p, t-v, x-n, ac-af, ak-al, ak-al, an-ap, ax-as, av-an, us-be, by-bh, bk-bo, bc-bp, bc-bv, bx-by,ca-ch, ej-cl,cn-ct,cv-cy,da-dh,dj-dk, da, do-ce, el, ek,el-en, ec-eq, et, fa, da-fj. fl.fa.fo.fq.fa-fa.fx-gb.gj 73 b-6, j-k, p, p, p, u-v, u, ob-se, ag, aj-al, an, ar, au, ay-ba, bo-bf, bi, bl-bq, be, bu, by, cd, cf cg, ci, cl, cn, cz, ct, cz, cy, cz, cz, cz, ch-di, di, dl-do, dq-di, dv, dy, dz, ca-ef, ch-cl, cn-eo, or, es, ev, ou, cy, fb, fj, fl, fo, iq, fe, ft, fy, fb bi-bl, ba, bp, be, bu, bu, bz-ba, hf, bb, hj, hl, ha, ho, hv, hx, ik, in, ip, iq, is, iu, iv, ix, 76.0.h.k.l.a.t.v.y.z.al.el.ap.aq.es.ar.ay.ha-bb.id.bl-bb.eb.eb.ex.op.et-ev.di.dt.es.fj.ed. go.ga.ih

Country	data: Wiss	2Noya	Jacys	<i>uuoy</i> a	5 ways	б каув	total calhamer points
		24.7 A 42	ي الموادي المو الموادي الموادي			_ ~	78.73
Austria	59	£ .	24	33	15	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
angladi	៍ភ	8	25	39	20	2	91.30
France	62	8	7.7	35	18	2	05,55
Correcty	64	4	20	21	20	Ž	65.55
Italy	27	10	3Q	22	19	5	66.97
Bassis	97	主进	76	19	12	2	115.32
Turkey	68	£.5	29	395	<u> 16</u>	$\mathcal{L}$	97.28

It should be noted that you are mover dropped from the Colliner Point Count Rating List. Inactive players are still carried on the rester. Sort of the Diplosacy Hell of Feme listing. Autone may request an exact resdout on thereleson and up to 6 other players if he mishes it. I will FOR parvide Capply Corder Charles on any game.

COLUMN THINK BORKS CPCRL Third Boa rd 15. Brooks Steve 6.07 15. Brooks Steve 5.19 16. Eller Ton 5.08 16. Verheiden Eric 6.04 17. Hells G. 5.0\* 17. Bytwerk Randy 5.5\* 18. Verheiden Eric 4.96 18. Tretick Buddy 5.33\* 19. Tilson Tim 4.51 19. Koning John 5.25\*\* 20. Fower Joss 4.38 20. Turner Charles 5.06\* 21. Turner Charles 4.344 21-22 Wells Charles 5.0\* 22. Boyer John 4.33# 21-22 Pourmelle Jerry 5.0 23. Goldsten Michael 4.17" 23. Tilson Tim 4.78 24. Power Jeff 4.58 24. Beshara John 4.01 \* 25. Boyer John 4.33 25. Pournelle Jerry 3.77\* 26. Pitsch Donald 3.67 26. Goldsten Michael 4.17\* 27. Klein Joel 3.50 27. Johnson D. 4.00 28.-29. Reinsel Charles 3.33\* 28. VonMetzke Conrad 3.95 29. Klein Joel 3.89 28-29. Bullock Mick 3.33 30. Pitsch Donald 3.75 30. Nous Hal 3.29 31-32. Floring John 3.25 31. Rosamilla Peter 3.7 31-32. Ball tony 3.25 32. Bernedt Tom 3.53 33. Johnson David 3.20 34. Childs Lee 3.16 33-35. Reinsel Charles 3.33\*

# Letters --- from Lew Pulsipher

33-35- Childs Lee 3.33

33-35 Bullock Mich 3.33

My only comment on publishers and mail fraud is that the first contact/complaint should be relatively sympathetic. If that doesn't get a response, then send the registered letter and write to the Postal Service. I don't know how much good the fraud complaint would do -- I doubt that it would scare a delinquent publisher into action, and I have no idea how efficient the PS is in acting on complaints.

35. McCustion Clay 3.14

Davis' point 4 in the his report on AH's position is wrong. AH has no copyrights to most variants of their games; they don't even interfere with commercial distribution (within the gaming hobby) of variants like Waterloo II and so on, which are similar in principle to Dipyariants. (( Let me observe that many Dipyariants open with "the rules of Diplomacy will apply except as noted herein...." or some such line. Clearly this refers and uses AH copyrighted material. The fact the AH has not moved against commercial use of some of his trademarks/copyrights is no sign that they might not at some point in the future. I think it be a function of how commercial the final product was. Does Waterloo II compete with . . . . Waterloo in physical quality and market? I doubt it!))

Have you considered going to the Detroit convention in mid-June? They put on a better show than Anderson ever has, and in a such more related atmosphere. The Dip tournament won't be big, but fantasy gaming is #1 in that area. ((Exact date -location?))

Don Greenwood says AH will accept variants for publication in the GENERAL. I'm working on a fantasy variant that will be aimed at fantasy game fans who are not necessarily interested in DIPLOMACY variants (or even in DIPLOMACY). Are you interested in seeing a preliminary version and making comments? I ought to have it ready by the third or fourth week of May. It is an expansion of DYING EARTH, with more spells, a new board with set positions, and tables that phayers roll on to see if they encounter something (treasure or otherwise) when they enter a previously unoccupied space. ((The copy of DYING EARTH Low has sent seems quite entertaining.))

TECHNOMIANAMENTANIAH REGARA HARAMAH HARAMAH RAMAH KANAMAH KANAMAH KANAMAH KANAMAH MANAMAH MANA

#### SUGGESTION FOR A POSTAL DIFLOMACY TOURNAMENT by Low Tulsipher

Perhaps it is an example of the rigidity of mind that sometimes curses the hobby that schemes proposed for organizing face-to-face (FTF) and postal tournaments are almost identical, despite the essential difference between the two modes of play. The difference is that one can only be expected to play one FTF gome at a time, while one can play several postal games at a time without difficulty. Why ruma postal tournament with several rounds, either accumulating the total score or giving the prize to the winner of the final game, when the players can be asked to play three games at the same time, always with different opponents if possible, total score determining the winner? An attempt to hold a tournament with two rounds, the PDT, has just foundered for lack of interest and support from playe ms. Not only will play of three games. instead of one or two in two rounds reduce the possibility that someone will get lucky, it also reduces the time needed to determine a champion to about two years, instead of four. The number of games played in such a tournament would be manageable if spread among a group of gamesmasters-for example, a total of 18 games for 42 players, or 27 games for 63 players (3 games/player). The PDT suffered from a low level of support from hobby organizations and no support from the manufacturer (GRI at the time), as well as from the two-round format. It's apparent that a postal DIM.OHACY tournament will only be successful if some large organisation such as IDA sponsors a tournament in conjunction with Avalon Rill.

another letter.....

Dear Lenard,

1 April, 1976

I received the 69th issue of your LIASONS DANGEREUSES. In it you have hastily scribbled a request for an article to put in your ((unprintable)) anniversary issue.

Have you any idea of the commitments on my time? I have to prepare for tests (Can you imagine if I had to pass them?). As I am a college student, this obviously has a greater priority than writing an article for your ((unprintable)) seventh((unprintable)) anniversary issue. If I don't find the time to study, why should I find the time to write an article for you?

I've been begging people for months for articles for my anniversary issue. Have you written one for me? No.

Even if I didn't have to prepare for tests, I would still have the MIXUMAXU
GAZETTE to drain away my time. Do you think it's easy turning out one of the finest
sines in the hobby? If you know, can you tell me, because neither classification:
"difficult to turn out" nor "best sine in the hobby" fits me. If I'm not going to
take time to work on my sine, I don't see why I should write
an article for your ((unprintable)) Seventh ((unprintable)) anniversary ((unprintable))
issue. Besides, have you written an article for my anniversary issue? No!

Even if I didn't have to put an least an hour cach issue into producing the MIXUMAXU GAZETTE, I belong to four amateur Press Associations: APA-Q, TAPS, APALOUSA, THE WILD HUNT and APA-Slobbovia. Among my many Amateur Press Associations are.... If I'm not bothering to do minimum activity on these things, I see no earthly reason to do an ((unprintable)) article for your ((unprintable)) seventh ((unprintable)) anniversary ((unprintable)) issue. Not when you don't have the common decency to turn out an article for my anniversary issue.

And even if I don't do minac on my amateur press associations, there's still the SLOBINPOLIT ZHURNAL to take up my time. I have, right now, letters from Bruce Schlickbernd, James Ritchie, J.A. Lawhon and hay happy Raymond E. Heuer, resting on my deek. If I ever want to be Czar of Slobbovia, I must answer them immediately. Since I don't care enough to answer these ((unprintable)) letters, why the ((unprintable)) article for your ((obscame)) seventh ((unprintable)) anniversary ((unprintable)) issue?! ....especially when you haven't turned out an article for mine?!

#### THE GOOD ALLY--revisited by Lenard Lakofka

In PAROXYSH #27 Harry Drews made a reply to my Spring 1976 DIFLOMACY WORLD article titled THE GOOD ALLY. To be as fair as possible, and as kind, I will observe that Mr. Dame did not arrange his material well before presenting it. He makes an allegation about the games I am playing in saying, in casence, that I only enter elite demo games. That is, of course, false. In the last 12 years I have entered 1975r, 1975IP, 1975CR, 1975CY & 1974FO. He says that persons who entered the hobby before 1971 ("old boys" -- his phrase -- makes me feel like my arthritis should be acting up.) are all stabbers (he seems to exclude no one who entered the hobby before 1971) and all do so irratically. This is so patently false as to be absurd. He says that we "old boys" tend to ally but I've been both ally and enemy of just about every "old boy" in the hobby. He openly contradicts himself in at least three places. It is, therefore, a poor rebuttal that lacks clear thought.

The Good Ally Philosophy boils down to two fundimental views of the game; 1. Diplomacy is only a parlor game like MOMOPOLY or RISK and 2. Stabs somehow destroy friendships and reputations, therefore, don't stab.

If one feels that Diplomacy is best played live (probably over a few glasses of beer) then sTabs, and the necessary thinking needed to bring about a win, are unnecessary and a waste of time. Hely worry about complex tactical play? Why put your heart and soul into Diplomacy? It is only a Farlow Game. This type of player carries his philosophy to the postal hobby. He generally prefers voted conclusions to games and often negotiates via long friendly letters that, incidentally, mention the rame, etc. This person may onjoy the game and may occasionly work at it but those times are the exceptions. He plays for FUH and the thought of sly; crafty, well thoughout play is simply alies in a FUN PAHLOR game. Thus, whom a "win only" player explains his philosophy, which includes complex thought and sTabs, he is horrified. It is as if you have impured notherhood!

The other type of Good Ally, and this type is not necessarily exclusive from the Parlor Game Good Ally, is the Friendly Good Ally. The Friendly Good Ally does not think Diplomacy is a kid's (read Parlor) game. He works quite hard at establishing alliances and will often your over the board for hours in search for good testical play. Yet he does have one stigma. He can't sTab his ally without trumpets, remorse, and a feeling that he may not be liked. His feeling are sincerely brused by a stab or by a press attack so he says away from sTabs and press attacks himself. Good Allies seem to have trouble seperating the game, or a press attack, or a stong letter from <u>real</u> life. He overlooks the built-is stab capability of the game. This Priendly good ally is just as upset as the Parlor Good Ally when he is eTabbed or when a 'win only' player suggests that HE should sTab anyone,

"Win only" players do not adhere to the shilosophy that one should slab with impunity! Win only players DO play to 17-17 draws BUT those would be 17-17 draws in which, in almost all case, the game would be played to its conclusion (not a voted one) and a sTab for the 18th center would be impossible. Win Only players want to drain every lota of blood, sweat, tears and YES, FUN out of the game. They view the game as an intellectual pursuit that morits full and complete participation. Slabbing does person is offended most not make an enery for life in their view. If a st Win Only players will a pologice (once) and then simply not be bothered with further crying by the Parlor or Friendly Good Ally if that latter person can not separate the game from reality. A Win Only player does not purposefully attempt to offend anyone. His phiolosophy calls for actions that 'good allies' find socially repulsive. Yet nothing personal is intended or implied in a win only player's philosophy.

THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF There really is no way that those philosophies mill even reconcile themselves. Some people do not wan t to drain the gange for everything at can offer and scale do. Therefore there will always be at least tho outlooks on the gome, and these outlooks have NOTHING to do with when a player enterd the hobby. Bitner he does not take pleasure from playing the game for all its worth on he does. I have no ax to grind over (or about) Good Allies. All of us may view some neult (read intellectual) game as simply not requiring full attention. Someone olde would be horrified at us if we played BATTLE OF THE BULGE, D-DAY, ACQUIRE, CHESS, PRUDAL OF FANZERBLITZ with less than total concentration. To WIN COLY players DIPLOMACY is their pot game. They like to play it using every rule and every ploy.

It has also been pointed out that many Win Only players only enter certain 'blite' games. This is partially true due to the fact that many Win Only players prefer demonstration and invitational games because these games usually do not include Parlor or Freindly Good Ally players! They want to play with other Hin Only players. Some do not enter many general games because their Win Only philosophy is viewed as being so beinous by Good Allies as to make them an immediate target. We Win Only players DO PLAY FOR FUE. We enjoy total competition, often including scandalous press wars. That is what we enjoy. Others may not share this view for all of the reasons I have given. Yet there should be no empliy between Good Allies and Win Only players. (In fact there are MARY shades of grey between the biack and white of pure Win Only and pure Good Ally as to cause much everlapping.) If Good Allies and Win Only players understood each others philosophies then actual insults etc. would not enter the game. Live and let live and NEVER take a GAME personally! **\*** 

## AGGRESSIVE DIPLOMACY AS A DETERRENT TO AGGRESSION by Red Walker

Playing Diplomacy always demands, as a minimum, an outgoing attitude toward the other players. I have long advised staying in contact with everyone, even your encaies, and being ready to accept an alternative line of action. This of course goes double for the opening seasons of the game, where alliances are still tentative and uncertain. In some cases, however, diplomatic contacts have to be more aggressive than in others.

What do I mean by aggressive? By that I mean the willingness of you, as diplomat, to offer plans of allinace, explore alternatives in detail, and make yourself available to contact with your neighbors. Some positions need this far more than others. England and Turkey, particularly the latter, can play a "wait-and-see" game, negotiating little more than non-aggression pacts and perhaps alliances clocked in glittering generalities. Because Turkey is hard to attack and slow to succumb, her neighbors frequently look elsewhere for victies, .. frequently seeking Turkish help in so doing. So Turkey can afford to wait for offers, in some respects.

This is not true of more centrally located countries, particularly Germany and it is that position I want to devote some attention to. Germany can be immediatly threatened by five of the other Great Powers ... Munich could be attacked by four of them (Italy; A ven-TYO, Austrie; a vie-TYO/BOH, France; a par/mar-BURG, Russia; a war-SIL -attacking Berlin too), Furthermore, Germany is a tempting target, contreling five centers directly and a slath (Belgium) indirectly. Keeping the vultures off would alone consume a lot of diplomatic energy.

Hence the need for aggressive diplomacy by the German player. He should be negotiating actively for allinaces with England, France, and Russia; he should write at least once to obtain Italian and Austrian neutrality if not amity; he should be setting up some sort of understanding with Turkey which might open into an alliance if Germany is attacked by Russia or Austria. Above all, Germany should be prepared to offer a lot in order to get a fovorable break in the alliance pattern,

Why so? The reader may feel that I am here counselling defeation or acceptance of a second-class role. Not at all. Germany has a superb potential: He is virtually guaranteed two builds in 1901, if not three, if he can keep the wolves off. The only way to develop German potential is to be let alone. Once Germany is up to, say, 6 units, he can afford to more aggressive in his actions and negotiate for terms more favorable to his causa. The key to getting in that position is aggressive, positive, and perhaps generous diplomacy in 1901, Wit hout that, Germany can look forward to a bleak future, unless he has more than his share of luck, or is surrounded by a pack of foels.

((It is ashame Ron Kelly didn't have this article available before Spring 1901

in 1976BG!))



# SOME OTHER THOUGHTS ON "THE GOOD ALLY" by Donald Pitsch

Poreword:

years ((I must be crazy!)) (of which I have seen 4) of worthy publication. My only complaint is that he doesn't include press as a regular part of his zine. ((I guess I should comment on that since Don is not the first to mention it. Back in 1971 I decided to make ID mainly a novice oriented magazine. I have few experienced games and few experienced players as subscribers. That is partially by design. Meet of my circulation is trades and games and almost all games are for novices. I like to include news, ratings and the like. If I included press in a sine with 6-10 games I would not have room for other things. Currently ID has 10 games in it. If each game produced a page of press there would be nothing but press and games. Therefore I have never encouraged press. Short one liners will often be included but long and/or epic press will not appear herein. I'm sorry for no press but I do have a reason.))

Some four years ago I thrust myself into postal Diplomacy. Since that time fortune has smiled upon me and years of intense study of the tactical, psychological and strategic factors involved with he game have given me various insights into my own style.

The phenomenon of the 'good ally' appears to consist of this; 1. He rarely lies (plagued with guilt when lying) 2) Intensly loyal once an alliance is made (alliances formed in this fashion tend to lead to drawn games) 3) is personally offended when 'stabbed' or taken advantage of and 4) chivalrous (announcing his plan to attack).

Now, anyone denouncing such a person only draws blame and disgust to himself. How can one criticize such individuals? If I were an employer or keeper of the Gates of Heavin I shouldnot hesitate to have such glowing examples of humanity in my employ!

However, I do not consider Diplomacy play with such types very challenging, other than observing their dismay as they are violated one by one. This gives rise to their 'righteous indignation'. Indeed it is somewhat pleasurable and amusing.

I personally prefer play in demonstration or elite games because I pride myself on logical and direct play. I know if I sTab someone in one of these games I can depend upon them in another rather than continually covering my ass against potential retribution from a previous game.

I use a variety of ploys and tactics just as do my opponents. I know when to be a Good Ally myself, yet I am not incapable of being a backstabber either. I try to pick the style or russ to fit the occasion and objective I wish to obtain.

```
LIAISONS DANGEREUSES #70
                                                                                                         page 10
                                                                                                                                                                                                  3 May, 1976
  THE RESERVE AND AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
  1974 HW the Winter of 1906 again. Everyone did hat submit new Saring moves and one
  forbade no from printing the noves without form the Winter So have it to for
  all-to-deer
  Austria, Verheiden Even/2/a bud, a vie
  England, Vagts
   FEOIN , ALON /11/a lvn, a stp, a mos, a hol, a kiel, f bal, f bel, a ruhr,
                                                                                                                                                            SEE PAGE
 France, Horton
  -1/5/f tyrr, f tun, a tyo, s post, f lyon, a gas
  Germany, Tilson
  -1/4/ a mun, a par, f ber, a sil, a war
  Italy, Birsan
  even/3/a nap, f rom, a ven
                Turkey, Pitsch
  even/9/f aeg, a gre, f adr, a apu, a sov, a ukr, a tri, f ion, a boh
 The Deadline for the arms of 1907 will be by 4FM on Tuesday May 25, 1976
  1975CF the Winter of 1905
                                                                                                                                                                                                                             FALL.05
                                                                                                                                                                                                   WOTE:
                                                                                                                  a run retreats to BUL
 Austria, Kador
 - 1 3 g pd1, a bud, a vie, A 301.
Ragland, Brenner
                                                                                                                                                                                                    AUST VIE
                                                                                                                                                                                                    GFR
  even/3/f nwy, f swe, f ber
 France Rowland
                        , A PAR /11/f nth, a bol, f tyrr, f map, f tun, a spa, f lyen, a edin, a pied
  FMAR
 Germany, Veitz

ENEN /K/a gal, a ukr, a mun, a kiel, a den /5/
 Italy, Hance
 Even/2/a rom, a ven
 Bussia, neyers
 even/2/amos. a stp
 Turkey, Michal
                         FSMY ,
  ACON
                                                           \frac{R}{R} a sev, a ser, f adr, f tri, f apu, f rua
The deadline for the Spring of 1906 will be by 4PM on Tuesday May 25, 1976
 MODELEC CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
 1975GR the Winter of 1903 GM Rich Swies
 Austria, Clappor
/5/a ser, f gre, a pied, a bud
England, DeLewonnette
 even/4/f bar, a lvn, f nwg, f lon
France, Stephens a burg retreats to
                                                                                                                                                                     The deadline for the
                           /6/f bel, f ech, a pic, f mao, a spa
                                                                                                                                                                     spring of 1904 is by
Germany, Meyers
                                                                                                                                                                     4PM on Tuesday May 25 ,
 even/5/ f den, a ruhr, a burg, f helgo, a hel
                                                                                                                                                                             1976 to Rich Swies.
Italy, Matous
                        /4/ a ven, f wes, f lyon
Russia, Tillitosa
even/6/f bla, f arm, a rum, a ros, a stp, f nth
Turkey, Gilmer even/4/ f aeg, f bul ec, a con, a ank
                  HS the Winter of 1902
France, Wan even/5/apic, a burg, f nao, f ech, a gas
                                                                                                                                                                                      The DEADLINE for
Germany, Ameling AMEL /6/f swe, 2 bal, a hol, a bar, caun Italy, Sannwald FMAP /5/f end, 2 ion, a say, a van
                                                                                                                                                                                         the Spring of 1903
                                                                                                                                                                                           11 be by 4P. 1976
```

Aussia, Thompson -1/4/f sev, a ukr, a pru, esil, 7 pown wird 6MR

F BEFORE A

Turkey, Arderson -1/3/ a bul, f bla, f who s our

Incidentally, I must take exception to the term Win (mly Player. This mackneyed expression is too limited to encompase the total beliefs of this type of
player. A better expression would be 'best I can do' player. This type will
attempt to achieve the maximum with his country. For example, if he can't win,
he'll draw. If he can't draw, he'll play for second etc. In all cases the objective will be to do the best. The crutial question seems to be if I can win
be myself or play to a two-way draw, which I shall choose?

Most of your BIGD players will adopt any style, Good Ally or whatever to accomplish their objectives. Versatility is the name of the game. Adapting the situation to ones diplomacy and flexability and an inquenchable desire to have a

good time permeates these players.

With these thoughts in mind, I can offer any six other players a truly entertain ing game if nothing else. Win or lose, ally or enemy, sTabber or sTabee, I will play diplomacy against people whom I deem it is fun to play against. When the game ceases to be fun for ME, I will stop playing!



### DUNNED FOR A DRAGON ARTICLE by Gary Gygar

Being at lesst partially responsible for foisting Len Lakofa upon an unsuspecting wargame hobby some years back, how could I resist his pleas for an article for his super 70th, 7th anniversary issue? Quite easily, actually, but the threats which followed my initial flat refusal were sufficient to force me into whipping out this bit of drek which I am certain Len won't bother to read until press time--then it will be too late.

DUNGEOUS & DRAGOES has cortainly taken off since its first publication in January 1974. Both the price and the subject matter caused many gamens to reject it—at first. However, there are always a few foolish fellows who will buy almost any game offered. It is, thanks to them, and the die-hard swords & sorcery buffs, that the game form achieved its current popularity. Obviously, one can devise and offer a fun game, but if nebody will print it the only persons ever likely to benefit will be the nuclear group associated with the designer. Similarly, if the game is not marketed by a la rge publisher it will be hard to convince players to try it if it is a departure from the t raditional and accepted form. About all D&D had going for it was the fact that the publisher consisted of a group of wargemers quite familiar with the game and convinced that the mania would infect just about any gamer who was exposed to it.

((Gary might remember that the popularity of D&D was not as surprising as even he might first admit. When CHAIRMAIL came out in 1971 it carried a fantasy supplement. Soon thereafter Gary began getting more and more response to the supplement than to the manuscript.))

Tactical Sutdies Rule s was about as small as a firm can be and still be considered a real company in 1974, so publicity for DUNGEONS & DRAGONS was pretty much left to word-of-mouth. Because wargame hobbyists are imaginative and creative folks, they were generally quite taken with the new type of game offered by D&D, and because they are also pretty vocal, they let others know about it (thank goodness they liked it!). In the two and one-half years since its release, sales of the game have steadily climbed and much of TSR's success must be directly attributed to the acceptance of D&D (and its game system). We put ourselves on the back for having sufficient foresight to recognize an innovative game and make it available--most other companies would not have touched fantasy with a ten foot foll stick at the time. However, we are always mindful

that the success is due to the acceptance of the Wargamers, and whatever we do will always be compared to what we have done in the past. It isn't possible to always make new releases better than past offerings. For that matter it is difficult to even maintain them as equals to some former titles. Hevertheless, we do try. This brings me to the subject of D&D supplements.

Generally speaking, both GREYHAWK and BLACKHOCH have been well-received by D&D fame.
Response to the ammonacement of ELDRITCH WIZAEDRY coming in May (virtually on the homes
of the second supplement) was as eager as when we ammonaced the first new booklet was
ready. There are always going to be some players who wish we would quit adding to the
game, but popular demand is such that we must meet it by providing additional material.
We will not go to extremes with the addition of over-increasing super memsters and
25th level spells, but we will build within the framework of the current system. It is
possible that as many as six supplements will be published if the demand remains. More
importantly, we are at work on complimentary systems which D&D will link up with in
science fiction settings; the first of these will be ready for release late this year.

where is the game going? It seems that DAD will gain popularity for some time yet. If this is true, particularly if the demand increases dramatically, we will probably have to redesign the whole game. This means that we would incorporate the essential (asfar as we are concerned anyway) supplemental material in the body of the revised DAD system. Hopefully the imporved product would not cost any more despite the increased amount of material it contained, as volume would reduce the price per copy. Existing supplements would then be combined into an opitional booklet or two, and thereafter additions would be the forte of magazines and Dungcommunitary...exclusively: Systems belonging to the DAD family would be available to "plug-in", but that too would be strictly optional.

Finally, we do have a few ideas on how to really imporve D&D to make it the most exciting and entertaining game possible—whether for a lone entinainst or for a whole group or series of groups. That, gentle reader, is a ways off yet (if it works at all) and depends on a number of outside factors. It if does turn out, however, I for one intend to quit working shortly thereafter in order to play a whole lot more fantasy! ((Everyone who believes that hold your breath for three days!))

((LThanks for that (unsolicited) testimenial to your own game! Gary does not mention the DRAGON, his new fastasy publication. I'm surprised he forgot. In it, issue number one, will be my fastasy rules. HA HAI ))

A short note on this issue. I have one other article in hard (from Ron Stephens) that I have no room for. I also have one or two promised that have yet to arrive but may before the next issue. I asked Avalon Hill to send me some flyers for ORIGINS II. They sent only 56 copies and did not get the balance here in time. Therefore some issues will have the flyer and some will not. I will try to give the players first consideration on the flyer. If more come I will include them with subs and trades for the next issue.

I have a number of magazines I should be reviewing in this issue but again space does not allow it. I have included a copy of the ANALYST #1 about the HA demo game for your inspection. Substance available @ 10/\$2 but I do not encourage them too much. In fact I will cut the circulation to 35, maximum. The players in 1976BG and 1976BH will receive the ANALYST. BC players get it free, BH players must maintain a subbscause the game is gamesmastered therein. The ANALYST #2 containing BH & BG will appear Monday May 10, 1976. Players will ONLY receive the ANALYST issues concerning their game—subscribers will receive all issues.

1974FN the Fall of 1908

Austria, Lagerson/5/owns; vie, bud, trl, cor, run 5-5 even

A BUD(S) +A SER(S)a gal-RUN, A TRI MS A VIE

Germany, McLendon/13/ours; bel, swo, nwy, war, stp, cdi, nos, hol, par, sun, kie, ber, den, LVP 14-13+1 A WAR(S) A UKR, F BEL(S)f nwy-NTH, A SIL(S) A BCH, A UKR(S)a lvn-MOS.

A BOH H, a ber-MUN, f mwg-MAO, f cly-MAO, f cly-LVP, A PAR-XRE

Italy, Derchack/11/owns; mar, tun, ven, rom, nap, port, spa, gre, bre, kg/ 10=11-1

P ADR(C)a ven-ALB, a tyo-VEH, F ABC(f)A CRE-bul, f ton-EMD, f cch-WAL, flen-YORK,

f mac-IRI, a gas-BRE, A MAR-gas

Turbuy, Weeks/5/owns; ank, con, smy, bul, sov 5=5 even

f acg/r/any//F BULEC(\$)+ A SEV(\$)F BLA-rum,F CON(\$) F BUL, F SMY-acg. The Deadline for the Winter of 1908 is by 4PM on Tuesday 25 May, 1976

1974HW the Spring of 1907 \* denotes build

Austria, Verheiden/2/ A VIE(S)TURB tri-TYO, a bud-GAL

England, Vagta/11/ ALVE+ASTP(S)F/FA NOS, ANOS(S) GER A WAR, F BAL(C) a holl-PRU,

A RUHR(S)a hol-KIEL, f ech-MAO, f bel-ECH, \*A LON H, \*f edin-MIN

France, Horton/5/-epied//F TYRR(\$) ITA A RAP, F TUR-F LYON(\$) F TYRR, a tyo(\$)

a ruhr-mun/neo/d..../, a gas-FAR

Germany, Tilson/4/-a per//AMUS(S)F BERH, A WAR(S)A SIL-gal

Italy, Birsan/3/ A MAP VISHES IT WERE A FLEET....AGAIN...HOLDS, A VER(S)TUR & tri-TYO , F ROM-tyre

Turkey, Pitsch/9/F ABG(S)F ION, F ION(S) XTA F ROM-tyrr, A BOMMA UKR(S)AUS a bud-GAL, F ADR(C)a apu-TRI, a gre-SER, A SEV(S)A UKR, a tri-TYO

The deadline for the Fall of 1907 is by APHon Tuesday 25 May, 1976

1975 S the Fall of 1906

Austria, Allen/9-2/owns: bud.gro.ser.vio.bul.rus.con.mn.smy.tri.kiel 11=9+2

A SMY(S)A COM-ank, A MUM(S)A BORDGII, A RUM(S)a vio-GAL, A KIEL-don, A COM-ank, f gro-AMG, A RUHR-kiel

Englad/Treso/5/coa; 56 Knowles, Regina Sask, SkS-4P1 owns; lon, lvp, hol, den, ff, EDI 5=5 even unless f bel retrests to hol then 4=5-1

F SKAGHF BAL(S)F belgo-DEN, A EDIN H, f yerk-LON

France, Mathias/1/owns; pr. BEL 1=1 even

Italy, Fester/7/owne; yen, ros, nap, tun, mar, sps., port, bre, par 9-7+2

A BURGOF ECH(S)FREE pic-BEL, a spa-BRE, A PAR(S) a spa-BRE, F WES H, F TYRR H
Rusela, Works/10/owss; may, sev, nos, war, stp, swo, ber, ank, \$41,\$41 8-10-2 unless a bel
retreats to bel, then 9-10-1

A SIL(8) A BER, A BER(S) A SIL, A PRU(S)A BER, F ARM(S)A AMK H. F NWY(S)a den-SWE, f bal(4) aust a ruhr h/mao/d...,/, F NTH-den,a sev-MOS The deadline for the Winter of 1906 is by VPM on Tuesday May 25, 1976

France, Dittar, Jad, 1235 Birch Rd., Honewood, Ill. 60430 owns; bre, mar, par, por, spa, REL 6-5+1 A PIC+FECH(8)a burg-BEL, A MAR-pied, F MAO H
Germany, Lischett, Alixe, 924 Shipman Apt D. DeKalb, Ill 60115 owns; ber, kiel, mun, den, Mar HOL 5=5 even F HOL(8)f bel Mais/d..../, a keil-BER, a mun-MURS, A UEH-swe
Italy, Silko 4810 Mulford, Skokie, Ill 60076, owns; nap, rom, ven, tri, tun 5=5 even kennety/A TRI(8) tur a bul-ser/d..../, A VEN(S)A TRI HOLD(poorly written order but acceptable)
A PIED-mar, f tyrr-LYON, fnaf-WES
Russia, Foster, Colin, 17W302 Deerpath Rd. Bensenville, Ill. 60106owns; mos, sev, stp, war, swe, rum, BUL 7=6+1F SWE(8)A STP-mwy, a sil-GAL, a LVN H, F ELA(8) a rum-BUL
Turkey, Michael Jon, 6814 W. Devon Ave., Chicago, Il. 60631 owns; ank, con, smy, Mais, GRE 4-4
3+1 F IDN(8)f seg-GRE, a COM-bul, a bul-ser/D+A/
The dealine for the Winter of 1902 is by 4FM on Tuesday May 25, 1976

1975 OG the Spring of 1905 Austria, Adams/4-1/KEUN, 165 HOWLAND AVE. TORONTO M 58-387 MOVE CHAMSE A VIE(S)TURA GAL-bob, A TRI H, A EUD-gal, A GRE S Begland, Sergeant/8/ 2902 ST PAN. ST INDIAMA POUS MO 46203 F BAR(S)f nwy-STPEC, F BAL(C)a kiel-PAN, a lon-NOHK, f odin-NOH, f nwy-STPNC, a swe-FIR. f don-SWE Prance Rittle/7/ 2-311 BA DORR PKWY APT2, MADISON WISC 53713 A PIED(\$) ITA A VEN. f wes-TUN.F TYRESion, A rubr-KIEL, A BURG-Dun. a spa-GAS, f ion-ALB Germany, Stephens/1/ A BER(S)FRE a rubr-KIEL 1044 5/67# # 3F, W/LLOW 6ROWE PA 19096 Italy, Mitiria/4/resigne ... R. B AILLU, 5622 EMERALO AUC. APT 337, COTE ST LUC. BLUEBEG, CANADA A VER(S)1 nap-Afu, A TYO(S)A FUR-boh Russia, Spiegel/4/ COA 515 Nork Rd., 3F, Willow Grove Pa. 19090 #4W 258 a stp(s)a fin-WY/d..../A SEV H, A SIL(S)GER A BER Turkey, Rix/5/-1 PO BX 147 RHINGLANDER WISC 54501 F ARG(S)f end-IOH, A GAL-boh, A BUL-gro, F ADR(S)ford-TOH

1975 IM the Fall of 1902 ii centers change hands!

The Deadline for the Fall of 1905 is by 4FM on Tuckday May 25, 1976

The deadline for the Winter of 1902 is by 4PM on Tuesday 25 May. 1976

Anstria, War/5/owns; sar ###, ###; wie, bud, RUH, BEL, WAR 6=5+1

A SER(S)f acg-BULSC, A RUM-ser, a vio-GAL, a gal-WAR

England, Crockett/5/owns; los, los, losy, bol, DEM, STP, RIEL 8=5+3

F NTH(C)a york-DEM, F KIELS() a york-DEM, f bar-STP, ANTI-BUS

France, Treworgy/6/owns; spa, bol, port, par, war, bro, MUN 7=5+1

A BURG(S)a ruhr-MUN, F LYON(S)f spase-WES, f was-RAF, war-PIED,

Germany, Groves/4/owns; suc, Misfight, ber 2=3-1

F SNE(\$)A dem, a mun(\$) apru-BER/d.../, a dem(\$) a pru-BER/Hillegal support/D+A/

Italy Mathias/4/owns; tun, nap, row, ven, TRI, GRE 6=4+2

A VEE(S)a tyo\*TRI, f tyrr-ION, f ion-GRE

Bussia, Biwards/4/owns; par, par, par, par, and say, MOS, CON 5=3+2

f bulec(\$) A CON/D+A/, A CON(S) f bul, a sev-MOS, AARM-sev

1975 W the Fall of 1902—finally. There are many necessary notes to this game! First on order submission. Each set of orders should contain the date, game #; Season and year and a signature. I received one set with nothing but "These are my fall 1902 orders" Well I am gamesmastering 9 games and that kind of information is unneceptable. Next I received two undated sets of orders from another player. I selected one at random, I have no way of knowing which came first. I do not nave envelopes nor do I date material as it arrives. I was given a suggestion for a substitute for one of the missing players. I can not let one player appoint another player to a position other than for his own country. It should also be noted that this game may well be considered "local" by the PDRC in which case the game will not be rated. We have 5 Illinois players. Lastly we have two players who did not make the first move—one resigned, and one could not be found. I have had NEUTHAL moves made to give these countries a chance. All of this said here, at long last, is the Fall of 1902

Austria, ###/### resigns/4/Peul Karan, 122 Cura' Roy St., Val d'Or Quebec J9P 385 owns; bud.vie. ######### 3-4-1

A VIE(S) +A SER(\$)A BUD-tri, f gre-bulsc/d.../
England, Keyk can not be found/4/Ed Sypher, Kelly C 2028, SUMY @ Stonybrook N.Y.11794
owns;edi,lyp,lon,my 4=4 even

f edi-NTH, F NUG(S)A NUY H. F SKAG-6W3