

This is PASSCHENDAELE#10, a regular postal dippy magazine edited typed, proof-read, produced, stapled, collated, adressed and mailed by Joseph Gilles Jean-François-Xavier Cuerrior (and I hope I just impressed you by my name!), 2005 Thompson Residence, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ont. KIN 9A7. PASSCHENDAELE, although it is specialized in medicariati Diplomacy (a game invented by Al Calhamer owned by Avalon-Hill Co., marketed by House of Games Ltd. in Canada, and first GMed postally by John Boardman), will talk about anything I can understand. Don't tax my poor brain by sending too complicated material...

I am the GM for all games in Antwerp (PASSCHENDARLE'S sub-zine) and herein. This includes 1976A, 1976CX, 1977AB, 1977AZ, 1977AT, 1978Q, 1978R, 1978S, 1979AC, the All-GM game that started last issue, and the Foreknowledge Variants... The deadline for all games is: June 28, 1979.

A sub to PASSCHENDAELE can be had for 33¢/issue + l¢/page over 10 pages (when the zine has over 10 pages), - 2¢/page when the zine has less than 8 pages. PASSCHENDAELE is given to subscribers at Christmas and Easter. (Generally corresponding to December and April respectively, which also corresponds to the end of my University exams—that's why I give it then—I'm usually so relieved that I feel like pleasing someone, who in turn is not necessarily pleased by seeing this crap in his mail tox so often, but then I try!)

Subscribers are invited to submit articles (not tirades) as I pays \$1.00/page for Dip-related articles, \$0.75/page for humour-related articles, and \$0.50/page for other articles. Articles are submitted each month to my **Mid**Mid**Mid** censorship, which can result in delays, outright rejection, or return of the article to the author for improvement or modifications. Oh yes...the best press writer gets \$0.50 credit each month. But I reserve the right to say that all the press releases of the month eren't good enough for the prize...that's that happens, usually...in the past 9 months, only one prize has been awarded—that's due to the fact that I want good press (ie. which makes has leugh again and again...) in my sine.

Gamefees are \$1.50/game. Standbys who complete their position get \$1.50 credit to PASSCHENDALLE.

Headlines, a

lo There aren't very many headlines thishood

2. Why did I say that?

3. You all know by now that, after a small PASSCHENDAELE#8, a connectation the loose ends PASSCHENDAELE#9, this is a super-issue. You all know why: I'm celebrating the tenth issue of PASSCHENDAELE. Isn't it beautiful?

Eylay and Friedland are still stagnating. Bruce Schneier has signed up officially for Eylay, which may start next month, if everybody would make up their minds...cimon players, it's only \$1.50 and my GMing isn't that bad!

- The standbys' list and the standbys called this lasve will be listed at the end of the games, hopefully, if I don't forget...by the by, I don't intend to delay ANY game even if there was a fake last nonthe But this is typed only on May 16, so my opinion may change in two days, and I may decide to be lenient for some other games than 1976CX, 1978Q, and 1978B. (which ware delayed less months and char delayed this issue.)

On to the show ...

320

TATA! Thethausaurus Presses presents

RASSCHENDABLE'S Malling Lists

I print one of these mothers once every 5 months ...

Robert Acheson; c/o Echo Bay Mines; Port Radium; NWE, XOE OXO. Dennis and Bernadette Agosta, 14 Shadyside Ave., Dumont, NJ07628, UTA. Trevor Baillie, 5410 Gamland Pl., Montreal, FQ. H3X 1Et. Konrad Baumeistes, 11416 Parkview Lanc, Heles Corners, W153130, USA. Tim Benes, 417 S Stough St., Hinsdale, IL60521, USA. Mark L. Berch, 192 Naylor Pi., Alexandria, VA22901, USA. Dob Beardsloy, 17 Moryan Ed., Edison, NJO8817, USA. Steve Barrigan, 1208 Albany Dr., Ottave, Ont. K20 214. 3. Francis Bido, Square Salvador Allende I2, 14201, Ougrée, Belgique. Ç, Walter M. Blank, PO Box 84, Ontario, W154651, USA.
Thom Burnett, 341 Baynes, Buffalo, NY14213, USA.
Tranco Cauz, 209 Silverthora Avo., Toronto, Cot. M68 3KA.
Tames Clarke, 1609 Chappell, Windsor, Cot. M98 3KS.
Steve Colombo, 179 Marlborough Rd., Thunder Bay, Ont. 778 496. 100 320 14, 15, 16, Saymond Couture, 2185 Hogan 4, Montreal, 10. Hax 289. Mair M. Cusack, 1620-42rd St. SW, Colgary, Alta. T3C 125. Larry M. Fond, PO Box 11090, Oakland, CA94611, USA.
Shelley Foster, 6537-130 St., Edmonton, Alta, 757 2A3.
Robert I. Francis, 11 Forest St., Denvers, Meas. 01923, USA. 18. 190 2Q. Chris George, Rd#2, Elora, Ont. NOB 150. Curtis L. Gibson, 176 Lexington Ave., NYC, NYLOGIS, USA, Laurence Gillespie, 23 Allen Dr., Halifax, MS. R.M. 3G9. Frank Haika, 553 Woodpark Blvd., Calgary, Alta, 12W 3L7. 2.60 220 25. David Hansen, 6312-92 Ave., Edmonton, Alta. T63 055.

Doug Bayward, Box 291, Huntsville, Ont. FOA, 180.

Barry C. Hichey, Apt. B, 679A St. Clair Ave. W., Toronto, Ont. M60 189.

Steve P, Hueston, 1018 So. 242nd St., Kent, WW98031. USA.

Richard Jarvinen, 2404 Sunset Ave., West Linn, OR97068, USA. 27° 28° 29. 30. Jan M. Jensen, Box 875, Sydney, Nova Scotia, BlP 671. Erian Johnston, Box 629, Eunstville, Ont. 204 EKO.

Terry and Pat Jones, 1354 Wagner St., Pasadena, CA91107, USA,

- 32°
- 33.

34.

Philip Jurgens, 3590 Revelstoke Dr., Ottswa, Ont. KIV 7Cl. Ron Kelly, 6038 Richmond Highway, Apt. 314, Alexandria, VA22303, USA. John Kelley, PO Box 216, Klickitat, WA98628, USA. Ron Killeen, Leonard Hall, Rn 324, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont. 35. 36. Tom Kissner, c/o Mme Jeanne D'Arc Rhéaume, 1955 Boul. Henri Bourassa, Québec, PQ. GlJ 3W9.

37. Eric Kirchner, 9036A McConnell St., Laughlin AFB, TX73840, USA.

38. Oded Klinger, 63 Harrow Rd., Hampstead, PQ. H3X 3W3.

39. 40. Pierre LaBrêche Jr., 7000 Lamont#1, Montréal, FQ. H+E 219.

John Lipscomb, 1201 Osler St., Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N OTS.

41.

Bill LaFosse, 2 Swift Dr., #304, Toronto, Ont. M4A 2A2.
Michel Liesnard, avenue de Tervueren, 415, 1150 Bruxelles, Belgiqua.
Andy Lischett, 3025 N Daulin Ct., Chicago, IL60618, USA. 42.

43.

HT " Dan MacLellan, c/o Expert Tool, 11617-147th St., Edmonton, Altas ToE 1 146.

45. John Michalski, Rt 10 Box 526Q, Moore, OK73165, USA.

46.

47.

480

49.

Ralph L. Morton, 173 Irving Ave., Ottawa, Ont. KIY 126.

John Leeder, 2202 Broadview Rd., NW, Calsary, Alta. T2N 3H8.

Shaun Naus, 1011 Barrett Ave., Chula Vista, CA92011, USA.

Roy Norton, 35 Roslyn Ave., Ottawa, Ont. KIS 4w2.

Cecil Nurse, 115 Hinsdale Ave. W., Toronto, Ont. M6N 3K2. 50 a

51. 52. 53. 54. Glyn Palmer, 1. Derby Road, Hinckley, Leicestershire, Britain. dobert Paquin, 3335 Mauriac, St. Léonard, PQ. HIP 2N4. Hugh H. Polley, 3301-93 St. Bsmt, Edmonton, Alta. T6C 3T2.

Julian Presber, c/o Client's Letter Service, American Express International Inc., 4000 Dusseldorf, Heinrich Heine Allse 14, Western Germany.

Moland Prévost, 16 rue Descombes, 76017 Paris, France. 55.

560 Craig A. Reges, 1501 Higgins Hall, Western Illinois University, Macomb, ILol455, USA.

57。 58。 Allan Rowland, College Station, PO Box 2173, Easton, PA13042, USA.

Ben Schilling, Apt. 315, 24730 Roosevelt Ct., Strange Farmington Hills, MI48018, USA.

Bruce Schneier, 455 East 17 Street, Brooklyn, NY11226, USA. Bob Sergeant, 3242 Lupine Dr., Indianapolis, IN46224, USA. 59。 **60** 。

61.

Michael Smolin, 868 Russet Dr., Sunnyvale, CA94087, USA. Randolph Smyth, Apt. 314, 275-3rd St. SE, Medicine Hat, Alta. Tla OG40 62. David Steele, 65 Grosvenor St. So., Box#855, Southampton, Ont. NOh 2LO. Tony Watson, 201 Minnesota St., Las Vegas, Nevada 39107, USA. Cal white, 1 Turnberry Ave., Toronto, Ont. M6N 1P6. 63.

67 .

65。

Humman...3 lost (sob)...and 27 gained!!! (I think this is a precedent in Canada, except perhaps for RUNESTONE...) (Indeed...27 new subbers in only 5 months!) If this is, as Randolph just said, an indication of the quality of a zine, then PASSCHENDAELE is just plain excellent.

However, there are as many as thirteen persons with a doubtful status (of which seven already ove me money): ie. I haven't heard of them for a while and their credit is at it's upmost limit...so PASSCHEN-DAELE#15's sub list could actually be smaller than that of PASSCHENDAELE I doubt it, though...is it POSSIBLE???

Actually, and quite frankly, my objective in terms of number of subscribers for PASSCHENDAELE#15 is 80. Thanks to all for their support until now.

Division of subscribers: USA--26 subscribers=31% (up from 29% in P#5)

Europe -- 4 subscribers=7% (up from 0%) Ontario--17 subscribers=21% (down 18%) CANADA: Alberta -- 8 subscribers=10.5% (down 1% from R#5) Quebec-- 5 subscribers=8% (down 4% from P#5) Maritimes-2 subscribers= 3.0% Saskatchewan-- subscriber-1.5%

Manitoba--l subscriber=1.5% B.C. and N.W.T.--l subscriber=1.5%

Ah well...something doesn't work out mathematically up there. First of all, the total adds up to 95%, and secondly there seems to be a decrease (in percentage) everywhere, which more than outsets the increase (in percentage) of the Yanks...oh well... I guess I'm not too good at mathematics...

The thing to retain is that this list supercedes any previous hist and that from now on you should only consult this list. Also, another thing: PASSCHENDARLE's subscribers' list is booming. Help me to make it continue in that nice trendess

I have 15 trades (up from 4 in 205), and 8 mses (up from 2 in 200). Therefore, about 30.5% of my meiling list; consists of publishers, which is healthy for my finances.

Up until now, I've met 6% of my subscribership. I do plan to double this figure in the coming months. (Yes, I know: you beend that screwbers before...but this time I think I can guarantee it...)

Tethausaurus Presses present (tatal):

The Financial Report (Sep. 28-New 29)

As an introduction, I might say that I never expected the Tethausaurus Presses to be a break-even project. As a matter of fact, I've undertaken so many small benevolent CPO projects that it was difficult to kops (even in my wildest dreams!) that they would be other than deficiency. An well. I don't mind subsidizing something as long as people appreciate it...

deneral Expenditures

Ditto Duglicator: about \$200. (methink)

These costs were initial costs; I don't expect to have to suffer the loss of enother \$200 in the predictable future.

Paper: 30.20 Ok. I'll admit this isn't much. But, from September Ditto: \$0.20 to May, I only printed an introductory letter..... In the coming year, two issues (about 30 pages long) should be published... and then costs are expected to increase by roughly 6000%... I knew inflation existed, but ining is ridiculous! Income will remain stationary, at \$0.00. (Unless I decide to vote myself an increase of a 1,000,000%, but then I doubt it will do mg any good...) I don't mind this, really...

For these interested, THE NATIONAL is a zine of information for CDO GMs, editors, publishers, and officers, and any person (still active in the hobby) that used to be one or more of the above...

V'LA LE BON VENT 1s the French equivalent for THE CEPHEIDS. Again, no income.

The expenditues: paper=\$2.80 ditto=\$1440

stamps=\$3.40

total--\$7.60

The stamps figure comes by extrapolation—I expect to eventually send the 20 copies I've produced...and it will cost me that in postage, assuming the price of a stamp remains at 17¢.

Actually, in the coming months, V'LA LE BON VENT may have to be partially revised, which may cost me another two or three dollars.

LA CITADELLE

Now, this is my French-Canadian zine. Anybody interested in improving his French should sub to it. (Subbing to CAMELEON A BINOCULAIRES or BATOCHE to improve your French is quite a good idea as well...)

Expenditures:

Incomes:

paper--\$15.21

sub revenue-\$48.58

ditto--\$11.70

stamps-\$ 6.00 (ESTIMATED) total-332.91 (ESTIMATED)

total -- 348.58

houserules -- \$4,40 granddtotal -- \$37,31

balance re-LA CITADELLE: duplicator costs.)

That's if you do NOT count the \$11.27

What a scandal, as compared to PASSCHENDAELE! \$11.27 profit in nine months! But, again, things will eventually turn quite favourable for the subscriber as I plan to fold LA CITADELLE in the very near future and to combine my efforts with Pierre LaBrache Jr., another French-Canadian publisher, to produce a stronger and much cheaper (hence, very deficitary) product...

PASSCHENDAELE

You all know what a PASSCHENDARLE is ... & piece of junk ...

Expenditures:

Christmas Fund: \$12.00 Easter Fund: \$21.00

Advertising

Campaign: \$10.00 (ESTIMATED)

Houserules: \$ 9.00 (for over 100 copies)

January Survey: § 3.70 (most of it due to extra postage costs)

Antwerp: \$14.13

Boardman numbers

and other contributions: \$12.00 (50% of it to the BNC)

Incomes:

Games:

\$77.00

PASSCHENDAELE PER SE:

Expenditures:

Incomes:

dittos -- \$16.10

sub revenues: \$73.30

paper---\$43.03

stamps -- \$25.24

As you can see easily, even considering PASSCHENDAELE alone won't produce a positive balance! The Christmas and the Easter Funds are the amount of money it cost me to produce these two zines and give them away.

Also, note that I'm extremally optimistic of the contract with the LA CITADELLE expenditures. Ya dec, everythem you will be list. In the everythem you will be list. such losses have been around 2.5%

Grand Total Macenditures:

THE NATIONAL and Census: \$3.20 Ditto Duplicator: V'LA LE BON VENT: **5** 7.60 \$ 37.31 \$81.83 LA CITADELLE: PASSCHENDARLE: Postage refunds: Totali

- \$200.03 (that's at least as for as I can remader)

Incomes: \$77.00 Games : PASCOHANDAME subs: \$73.30 LA CITADELLE subs: \$45.58 Yourl: \$198.88

There are other things I ought to explain Lest page, there's one organithmen of the "The Advantising Granaign" those and the moneys I had to invest to product samples, which I same to potential subscribers, in order to generate growth. T think like bole of the place of and the PASS CHANDAELLE, sine mostle egg, bad 19 subscribers. New it les 55% I must add that the tors of mice places I people helped a lot as well!

Some of you might not understand the item "postage refred". What is much by this is that, in TER NACTORAL, I asked people to send me copies of all the good zines they had. Some did. I refunded then their product costs

If I were to fold everything, and assuming I'll be honest anough by return everything I was eventually, I'd owe \$127.98. So, really, my balance is: LIABILITIES--\$450.40 ASSINS-----1198, 38

BALANCES - \$270. G

Note that all the above figures do NOT include the costs of my postal correspondence, which are almost equal to about the highest in the nobby (only John Leader, Randolph Smyth, and debert webson probably have higher personal postage costs, but I den't really know it, i just naximed it.) and the samefecs I had to pay to enter grees. If that would be included. I'd be in the whole by probably over 350 dollars! But I don't mindana

Mapocialry labely, the subscribers/players in FASSCHENDARLE have had more than decent treatment. Actually, a subscribes who rec'd all my is use said me a total of \$2.86 in sub money whis averages to 28.(%/issue, which is emong the lowest of the world and strongly domests with RUWSCOND. The only other major zine, with a comparable periodicity and lenght (when you aid up the bundles in one month; and prices . (except for the gamefee, but then John doesn't really WANT new games.) in Charpe

Actually, m: deficit re-my CDO participation has NO chance of decrease sing, even if one hundred new guys subscribed! The begger my sub list. the bigger my deficit re-PASSSHEEDAELE. (I don't pare if I lose money-but I would like to have even more subscribers, despits the fact that my zine now has the third largest sub list in Carada. The reson for twin is that my sub list is still only "medicun" - it's not really big. and I like huge monsters. ... ?

(I know this section is becoming ridiculously long, but it boosts my ego...c'mon guys...after all, it's my tenth issue!)

Since March, my costs of sending an issue to a pure subscriber have been: postage--17¢ postage--7½¢

envelope- 0¢ dittos--- ½¢

envelope-0¢ dittos--1¢ paper--13¢ total--21¢

paper---13¢ total--- 30½¢/issue

In the right-hand corner, I typed the costs of sending an issue to a subscriber in the future, now that I'm going to go to PRINTED MATTER rate and send them bundles of 2 PASSCHENDAELES at the same time...thus re-establishing a fairer balance...

Since March, my costs of sending an issue to a "normal" player

have been: postage--17¢
envelope- 6¢
dittos--- 13¢
total----36\$¢

postage--27¢
envelope- 5¢
dittos--- ½¢
paner----16¢
total----59½¢

In the right-hand corner are my costs of sending an issue to a player are playing in Antwerp in addition to subscribing to PASSCHENDARLE. Note that these figures are "average" figures. Therefore, you can compare these figures with my "average" incomes-28.6¢ per issue that I send. Consider that I have 65 subscribers...hence, my deficit will be even superior than it is now, given that I've created Antwerp only lately and that inflation is terrible (10%) up here...

Note that I give Antwerp to those who play in it, while that others who want to receive it have to pay 10¢ additional postage + 1¢/page...

In conclusion, financially, Tethausaurus Presses is a monstruous goof, and would be bankrupt by now if I hadn't subsidized it substantially. (As you can see, the revenues cover about 30% of the expenses, while I pay a 70% subsidy...)

My highest monthly income is May, 1979, where the subscribers will probably pay about \$15.12 sub fees...if I make it to 27 pages.

OOF / I DOHOPETHAT WAS NOT BORING. THIS FINANCIAL REPORT ISLONGER THAN MOST OTHER FINANCIAL REPORTS PRINTED IN OTHER LANGES, BUT, CONSIDER: THIS IS MYTENTHIS SUE / CAN 'TIMAKE EVERYTHING COMPLETE, HENCES UP & REBOOST INGMY EGO? CAN 'TI, HUM???

Again: Tata! Tethausaurus Presses present, in all exclusivity:

The January Survey.

This survey was about PASSCHENDAELE, and was sent by me in January. There were 17 respondents by the deadline, set in February, plus two letters. This isn't bad at all since, at that time, PASSCHENDAELE had a mailing list of 41.... participation isn't bad at all...

Also, I may add that this survey had an important effect on the

zine...from then one I knew exactly what to improve etc.

There were thirty-five questions, and the answers varied on a scale of 0 to 10. Only one person was consistently dissatisfied throughout the questionary (his rates are listed below, under "W", for "worst rates") while three or four persons were consistently highly impressed with the

The property and the property of the

zine. Then, the rest of the subscribership usually spread from ? to be with an occasional few rates under ? and over 9. But I'll show up for now and the thinkediately the results.

publish

("B" -- most favourable response throughout the questionary; A -- mean; M -- median.)

(ratings--0: return my sub please, le abominchle, 2: intolerable, 3: mediocre, 4: poor, 5: harely tolerable, 6: harely acceptable, 7: very acceptable, 8: very good, 9: excellent, 10: pariede.

Ques tions CM		M	A	M	B
1.0	How does the GM react to criticiam?	8	77 2	73	10
2.	How do you like the GM's language?	7	7.3 7.5 3.5	is.	70
స్టేం	Is the GM open to ideas?	ŢÅ	2.5	1767 1787	10
angles To	How good are the GM s comments	The state of	عيمان البرا	elle.	nor tale
	on submitted articles?	8	6.0	2	9
्र ्र 8 ,	How good are the GM's ideas?	ದ ಾನ್ ನಾರ್ಗಾಡ	6.9	Z	9
<u>Č.</u>	Is his personnality good for the zine?	Ś	7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 8.2	~ ~	1ó
<i>€</i> 3	How good are his adjudications?	Q	7, 9	22	10
8,	How well does he list info?	કુ	7.7	2	ĩo
9.	How good is his style?	8	7. %	$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$	10
3,00	Is he personnable?	8	8,2	3	10
Cont	tents			-	
21.	Are there usually enough contents in				
	one page?	8	7.9	4	10
325	Are the cover page and the headlines			,	alatin and
	worth it?	8	7.4	4	10
ەرى	Are the letters to the editor worth it?	8	7.3		10
740	Is there too much repetition? Is some				
	material redundant?	57	6.34.39.00 6.36.00 7.06.00 7.06.00 7.06.00	2	Q
£. 7 0	Wargames and variants.	ig	60	3	8
ಸ್ಮಿಥಿತ	Politics and religion.		5∞3	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	7
3.7°c	Chess column.	7	6.Š	8	Ż
	Triviase	7	7.3	F ₂	آ
19.	adjudications - Gling press policy.	70.77798	3.6	23	9877999
29_{c}	Editor's Articles.	8	2.5	388	Ś
్కిడ్డి సంయు	Do you like the way everything is			-104	<i>*</i>
	organized in sections?	್ಕೆ ನಿರ್ಣಾಕ್ಷ್ ಅಂದಿ	7.9	4	10
32	Organization.	ď	7.5 6.8	Ų.	1.0
220	Is there too much repetition?	3	6.3	2	3.0
340	Is the cover page worth it?	ৃ	Ó. 3.	1.	10
25.	Language	8	7.2	3	10
26.	Orthograph and Grammar.	8	8.0	2	10
27° 28°	Clearness	G	7.08 7.08 7.02	5	10
26°	deproductionditto.	<i>)</i> 9	7.2	6	L
29°	Reproduction—colour,	7	9°°. (* 3	3	9
30 °	Super-issues.	94	6.3	б	J.Ó
31.°	Language.	7 🆫	7.6	3	10
32.	Houserules	97.4 68 5.4	8.7,	3	10
33.	Preparation	8	€ . 1.	2	10
31 35	Other Practices	5	7.3	2	10
350	Survey	8_{*}		7	10
	OVERALI.	7.8	7.3	3 c3	9.3

Now, I'm not going to shut up. Here are more comments. You may think what you wish, but, to me, the median is the most important/efficient way of measuring the opinion of the majority. Really? The median represents the opinion of the average subscriber (the one I originally wanted to "measure"—no, not that, perverts? What I mean is: the one's opinions I wanted to measure, nothing else;) whilst the mean tells us the average of all the opinions. This implies that the mean will be greatly affected if only one subscriber out of 17 decides to give low marks either because he hates the author, wants to have fun, or just is trying to rock-bottom the results...That's why I think the North America Zine Poll should use medians...that way, if five persons mention a zine, and four of them rate it 8 while one person rates it 0, it's score won't be ruined by that black sheep...the score will then reflect the opinion of the average subscriber, which, I think, is what we want here...John?

I think a good job has been done with PASSCHENDAELE since then. Favourable comments have increased. I am fairly well pleased with the results of the survey as well-a median of 7.8 (ie.: very very acceptable) a mean of 7.3 (very acceptable) and fairly good scores by most subscribers.

In some sections, there has been low scores given by a few guys... notably, the chess, politics and religion etc. sections. By comparing the scores of each question with each other, I've been able to clearly discern the main weaknesses (in the eyes of the average subber) and the advantages of PASSCHENDAELE. I dare hope I've been able to exploit the situation...

In any case, thanks a lot, everyone. Your help in making this survey, and PASSCHENDAELE, successful is more than appreciated.

Oh yes...a final note--anyone wanting to check out these results can--if he is willing to pay the bill (\$2.00), I will send him photocopies of every individual set of ratings by mail...

At the end of the survey, there were three questions concerning the hobby in general. People as a rule have quite an high opinion of standbys up here in Canada...the marks assigned were all tens, except for one nine, one six, and one zero.

As for the usefulness of CDO, two persons voted 10, one 9, one 8, two 7, two 5, and two 0. I let it up to you and the other CDO officers

to evaluate this limited response.

The standing of the IDANA is a lot poorer! Three Canadians were very hostile to this org., (one even said: "...it can go to hell!") while three Americans fervently supported it. All the others didn't answer, or were indifferent, or didn't know what the IDA/NA is!!! One of you IDA/NA officers ought to send me an article on the IDA/NA, plugging it, informing us on how it works, on how much it costs to join, on how to join, and on what the IDA/NA does for it's members...otherwise you can forget about aver having a strong Canadian participation in your organization...

And from one pole we move onto the other pole (oops! replace "pole" by "poll", unless, of course, you know how to swim really well...) Of course, I'm now talking about John Leeder's Third Annual North America Line Poll. I already explained it to ya...just reminding ya...John's adress is in the sub list...Vote!

IKNOWIAMGOINGTOGETTHREEFORPASSCHENDAELEANDIPROBABLYDESERVEITBUT......

The same of the same

In the first part of the article, a said that I didn't know who dunnit yet, and expressed my immediate reactions. At the beginning of this issue, I started off by expressing my utmost concerns about everyone being the faction one being the started of the about the face and the authentic PASSCHENDARLA. Well...with a damb introduction like that one, I'll try to make the rest of the article more coherent.

First off, I'd like to thank Dan MacLellan for sending me his corr of the fake. Hey, Lan, can I keep it for ever?? (Please? Placasse???)

I now have a certain number of clues. of course, Randolph Smyth, the person to accuse when a fake is produced (the more experienced players can easily think of the fake RUNESTONE story last year), hear to done it for sure. He lives too far away to mail the zine in Ottawa. Ente that he could have sent the pack of fakes to an Ottawa friend, who could then have mailed it in Ottawa, though. But, nace. Randolph's reproduction usually is the pits...and the fake PASSCHANDARLE was well reproduced... so he definitely is out.

Now that I've had my laugh at pour hand Loh's expense. I'll supplied bluntly who by suspects are... principal suspect. Cal White, other suspects. George Parkanyi et al., Don Wileman... I almost don't suspect at all George and Don, and I'm only frowning suspiciously at Cal. After all, the fake's writing resembles that of EGF. The humour is pure Cal whitism... Cal doesn't live that far away from Ottawa...but, until

further notice, Cal As innocent. Suspected, yes, but innocent.

There were clues for the player...in fact, the FAKA was practically shouting: "Hey? I'm a fake, I'm a fake?" The fiscal policy enounced in it was too beautiful to be real... Brian Johnston's alleged COA was enother indication—from the other sines, everybody knew that Brian was moving towards Huntsville, NOT British Columbia?? Another clue was the plugs section...come. come... I would MEVAR give a 1.5 out of 5 to FOL SI FIE syst I'm adserved it. (Now, I do mean the exact opposite of what is barred, for these that take overything litterelly... why I'm teking it all out on poor Eandelph. I don't know...he deserves a much better treatment, especially since he decided to marry some time in the future...(a good thing, that)... anather...it's so unfair!)
Another things the Diplomacy article was just too absurd to be from me! Or, at least, I like to think so—but I'd appreciate it if you'd let me stand uncorrected this time...)

On the other hand, I had quite a laugh at "Stanton" -- it gives me scruldonce, I siyeys bhought by bush procleted arbicles were poor example.

they mustn't be that but if nothing could tell the diff. ...

I must admit that I was amused a Rittle bit by the fake-dipecially by it's plugs section, which was so absurd; (FOL SI FIR--1.5%) ha had but I'm still very frustrated and pissed-off at the author for the reasons cited in PASSCHONDAULESS. My number system should prevent anything similar from happening in the future...

I "MSTILLLAUGHINGANDLAUGHINGATTHEFLUGONFOLSTFIR" . . HARAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Tirades.

Some days alo, I received a letter from Mandolph, saying that he didn't really like my new tirades section. (He based it on the tirade on Robert Acheson printed in the fake PASSCHENDARLEY!) He said that the section served no purpose that he could see, except of creating feeds and dividing the hobby. He added that the author might be biased, misinformed, or just trying to have fun; he finished-off by saying that the section gave an air of authenticity to something that might be false.

Oh yes, he also added that, should the GM comments, the Common of the offended player would feel he will never get treatment in that zine (that is, if the GM's comments are negative) and won't bother answering...

This triggered this article, which expresses my position concerning tirades. Thanks go to Randolph for thus permitting/making it easier for

me to/take/ny/tesponsibilities to express my opinions.
Your last criticism clearly isn't valid, Randolph. (It is based on the fake PASSCHENDAELE#8) I would never have attacked Robert, and I didn't attack Cal at all in the first authentic tirade ever to be printed in PASSCHENDARLE. (Actually, if I may say so while remaining impartial? I met Cal once, and the impression he left me with wasn't at all bad? Actually, if I know for sure that the tirade is false, I will either censor it or shoot it down personnally...in fact, a tirade author is fighting an uphill battle if he hopes to make me side with him in his allegations...I'm a lot more likely to side with the offended persons (Read: I will not necessarily side with the offended person, ie. if I don't really know what the tirede is talking about, I'll expound on "rumour has it", and I'll say I don't necessarily agree, and that the facts aren't necessarily true...)

I think that my never putting down the victim, and adding that the tirade may just be a pack of BS (ie. saying I don't necessarily share the author's opinions) takes all the "air of authenticity" away. Doesn't it? There's one thing I learned in nine months of publishing: the average subscriber knows that this zine and the gospel are two entirely

different things!

I am willing to add the following policy: any tirade which attacks a guy who, to my knowledge, has NEVER been in the middle of a controversy will be AUTOMATICALLY rejected. Also, one particular person may not suffer from more than one tirade. Finally, a given person may only make one tirade, and only if he has at least 2 years of experience in this hobby ...

Besides, I prefer to believe that the readers are intelligent and

that they know better. (Just look at the way they treat me as garbage. ...)
Yes, I have to agree. The tirade author HAS to be biased, misinformed, trying to have fun, JR telling the truth. I should have told the readers that right at the beginning ... but telling them I didn't necessarily agree, and that the info may be incorrect, was enough, I felt...this may have been one of my errors...

I don't think that PASSCHENDAELE is strong enough to divide the hobby...people are there to play...how many persons read this? Besides I won't permit a tirade against a player, so his chances will not be damaged in some of his games...it's not my fault if the author of the

fake saw fit to do damage to Robert's reputation ...

I may as well add a few other things ... actually, I don't REALLY like my tirades either...it's not really a question of poor or bad taste (after all, I dissociate myself from the author's views; I say the info might be false; I don't encourage tirades; I print tirades only with their author's consent. Besides, it all started as a result of a strong demand for tirades -- the idea wasn't mine ...), but that tirades section may end up putting ME in a controversy. It might generate legal problems. Finally, I don't really like printing feuds, especially when they re about friends like Cal. But the demand was there, and I'm not one to just censor something...

However, I hereby ask all subscribers who do NOT like tirades to say so NOW. If as many as five persons say so, I'll be happy to terminate the section right away, despite the fact that I think that many people like it. Subscribers, SPRAK!

13.23.27

It looks like Randslph Smeth wrote a policition column in FOL SI FIE#111, at the demand of his american subbers, shich pissed me off no end. I've been begging everyone to just les me talk about politics for years (err. . . make that months, but it does feel a like it's been years, doesn't it?). That's unfair. Like it or not, here's something of my make.

It's much too late to talk about predictions. Therefore, I'll

tell you what I think of the campaign and of it's results.

Bluntly, the only campaign I really liked was that of the NDP. Namely, the NDP was the only party that did a "clean" esmpaign. Fabien Roy and Joe Clarke passed their time throwing and at Truceau while

Trudeau seemed to love insulting everyone.

But there is mores the NDP, for the first time in its history, presented a programmin a much more realistic may than any other party. Also, they abandoned (at least for this election) much of their socialistic ideas to become a lot closer to free enterprise. You they remained much associated to Big Labour, but yet, they like t proxise any maticalies lization, and they even talked about requests the amount of social security programs. (For instance, Ed Broadbest promised to reduce the unemployment insurance program to free pay for job creation -- this can in no way be considered as a "socialist" heme?) Really, the only thing I disagreed with in the economic part of the MDP platform was to make of PetroCan a state monopoly. I agree that we have to strenghten PetroCan in order to control our oil policy is re effectively (especially in the dealing with Exxon et al.), but not that much.

Also, I tend to agree with the NDF when it says that there's no real Quebec problem, and that everything can be arranged by making change: in the present Constitution and improving the Quabec economy. I also tend to admire Ed in that he was the only leader to remain logical and moderate on the Quebec question. That in why I woted MOF, even though I was sure the Liberals would sweep Obtawa-Varier. (And, effectively,

they did.)

On the other hand, I didn't like the Socred, Liberal, and Progressive Conservative platforms for many reasons. I didn't like Fabien Boy and his all too-nationalistic views on Quobeco (And besides, I never liked the Socreds for their extreme-right platform, which comes pretty near moderate fascism at some points. for instance, they'd instore state corporatism.) Trudeau really list my wote when he proposed to impose constitutional changes on the provinces via a national referendum. Besides, the Liberal Party is as occased with Big Gov't, and the gov't is already too big. Finally, if was about time to give a serious warning to the corrupted Trudeau gooft.

As for the Progressive Conservative platform (for this election), you name the promise, and I didn't like it. don't really think Clarke made ANY good promise, at least as far as I'm concerned. Handing over PetroCan to the private sector is 100% studied PetroCan is bringing in profits to the gov't (thus keeping taxes love), it is the only company that makes efficient research in the north (the other companies consider they would make too many losses), thus helping private enterprise, and finally, it is our only known weapon against greedy foreign companies like Exxon, which lately took petroleum that was due to us to sall it on other, more profitable markets. Also, as anyone would expect, my francophone background prevents me from liking Charke's attitude towards Quebec. (His de Mentinia in a color to a serio de serio d

I agree that Joe Clarke may not hold on to these questionable promises as PM of Canada. But still, I think that if we're going to have electoral campaigns, we might as will judge from the leader's promises to decide whether or not he'll be a good PM. And as far as I'm concerned, if (a bit if, that one) he does whit he promised, Canada

might just go down the tubes, ...

In any case, I have evaluated the alectrical campaign; I'm still giving Clarke his chance. If his administration is good in the coming months, why, sure, I'll vote for him. But he will he shapes up. Actually, I think that he will after a few months of experience, and has election is not necessarily bad--after all, and needed a change...

This brings me to the electoral results. frankly, I didn't expect this. I thought the NDP would make a much better standing. (getting 26 seats was simply a very poor performance, under the existing conditions, where people wanted to warn Trudeau but didn't heally want Clarks, according to most experts...) I didn't expect the Conservatives to make that much of a breakthrough in Ontario, although I rather stand alone as far as this point is concerned. (I based my opinion on the polls according to which the Liberals had progressed a lot since the public debate.) In conclusion, I expected the Liberals to sweep Quebec (and so they did), the Conservatives to sweet the West (and so they did), the Maritimes to remain stable (and so they did), and Ontario to give about 15 seats to the NDP while the Liberals and the Conservatives would share the rest about equally. That's where the problem comes—although I did expect the Conservatives to come up sheld in Ontario, I didn't expect them to do this well...) I thought the result would be such that the Conservatives would be the largest party in the House of Commons, but that Trudeau would be strong enough to remain in power. (Therefore, instead of something like 136 Conservatives, 114 Liberals, 6 Socreds, and 26 NDPers, I expected something somewhat different, like: 127 Conservatives, 116 biberals, 6 Secreds, and 1) NDPers...)

Oh well...we'll have to wait and see what Clarke does. I think that Clarke will be able to maintain himself for at least 16 months (read: I'm not saying he won't call for elections even if he's not overthrown), as I don't think any party will large overthrow him before he makes the fatal goof, because of his large majority. A big question is, though: will the Liberal Party want of Trudeau any longer? (Just can't resist a bit of rethoric...)

WE'LLSKEWE'LLSEEWE'LLSEEWE'LLSKEWE'LLSKEWE'LL BEEWE'LLSEEWE'LLSEEWE'LLSEE

A statistician is a man who can put his head in the oven, and his feet in the fridge, and still say: "On the average, I'm comfortable..."

The Planning.
Well. . (I don't really know now to say thin . . done breaths) Pass. CHENDARGE required and still requires a LOT of misming.

At the roots, I had be plan argury blue, including the nemes, the finances, the houserules, the advertising carpaign, the time and apacy elotments,

The names were the easient thing to plan of there all. Given my history leanings, why not give everything at historical name? Part PASSCHANDARLE popped into my mind, and I liked the glorious sound of it. The name of the zine had been found. S milerly, all the names of the games and of the sub-zine are well be ed on house battles of the past. (BASSCHANDARLE comes from one of the ost important cattles between the Canadians and the Germans in believe in 1918; Antique comes from the battle between the I will the and the Cormens in 1919 Agincourt comes from the battle between the Brench and the English back in the Hundreds Years Was, and the grant title of the or Orfer Beda-Foun comes from the base of all lating opposite, the take to the aller in 1941; Dunkerque is well-known by queryons for the facous English retreat; Eylam comes from the pattie opposite Napeleon to Russia in 1807, and so does Friedland. Hax, you know here I'm taking all these

Skrange names !)

The finances were equally "easy" to plot (when yo've got will ye can do anything! I found just out when a detail of about \$6000 came in February as bursaries...); with the rates I had as the start (an average of 30¢ per issue, and a \$2.00 years fee) I die it casely expect a left. (duplicator costs netwithstanting) as I had that \$200 would be enough as an initial investment Everytains was just fine votil I set my bursaries of them. I developed a very langurous mental sickness called zinomania (which is an dained in further details some issues agol, and I hope none of you ever develop that all decreased my everage rates drastically by diving every Chaleto addasses issue and decreased my gamefees to \$1.50, and I embarated on vertice 500 Projects I felt should either survive or on revived. (Rive on early to say yet whether my participation within GDO has been useful set.) When Randolph left Ottawa, I hought a duplicator, send by helps one buy one. (Thanks for your special help, Rendolph. Jesya all him where all those expenses led me to...into a deap, huge financial hole ... but I don't really care. There was no real problem in unifinant housemples either... I did

what most lev Gis do been a lot of he were way diff shat you like. and make up your own. I like to make the or deput perhassing stends somewhere mear handel on Emythes, and with some Gol Pales. John Leeder and Mark L. Berch in it. (What a crossis) If my house rules are eny

indication, then I'm totally correct

The advertising compaign was no real problem, again. It took 2 aspects-first of all, I seked all published I knew to plug me, and my zine did increase from sume lê autobaze to 65 in less than 9 months

The more difficult planning came with the planning. From September to April, I had 32 hours of ployer (only) per week; combined with the subsequent minimum homework. It was probby hard to join the two ends. It was impossible to steed PASSCO WEARLE before the deadling date. But it wasn't really a problem, as I liways was able to complete everything before the next Monday ... and now it's very sest for me to start an issue two weeks early since I orly have 12 hours of courses

per week, and an even lesser proportion of homeworkers And another problem was to try and maintain a balance between every theme in the zine. I think that only looking back at the first four issues shows it clearly enough. In these issues, I didn't really know what the subbers' expectations were ... so I published lotsa things that have by now been either deleted or shrunk considerably. A good example, I feel, is the introduction page. In ##1, it was over a page long; in P#10, it was just a little over half a page, and it's been months that you haven't seen such a long introduction. Another example is the humour and "words of wisdom" I used to put between articles... now they're a lot less frequent, and I prefer using a page or two to write original humour. (Although at the beginning I was underestimating my capabilities...) Religion too, and politics, haven't taken any space since P#2. These things have been deleted/shrunk for many reasons, the main one being that I wanted to concentrate on diplomacy articles.

Another thing which resulted from more space planning is the use of a typewriter with smaller letters, and of the filling-up of every square Will lister. Woulder Time depertunce, ye know. T'd say that a page in P#10 contains approximately 35% more stuff than the same page in P.L. Ya see, I've tried to improve the deal given to the subber by all possible means and I have FAXING BACAMA PASSINE IN LAXING by all possiole means and I have falled parames farpentally is continuously in the continuously is continuously in the continuously is continuously in the continuously in the continuously and that it continuously is a continuously in the continuously in the continuously in that and continuously in that and continuously in the continuous While we're at it, I might as well print:

Ponit feed this, it s pseless inips (Eghed? I'm still at it? Wonder when I'm going to stop barring things when they re degrading ... ? Well, the best way to start this column is by saying how much I

spent on everything from PASSCHENDAELE 1-9, rillight?

Bluntly, I spent of pages on introductions, 13 pages on headlines, 18 pages on the games, 10 pages on how-to-play articles, 61 page on hobby debates, 5 pages on variants, 5 pages on war ames, 4 pages on religion, 71 pages on chess, 2 pages on bios, 91 pages on letters to the editor, 1 page on tirades, and 23 pages on miscellaneous things such as humour. That adds up to a total of 126 pages (I didn't include percentages, because I knew it would ultimately add up to a total of 85.6% or something like that, so....

Note that the wargames, religion, chess, bios, letters to the editor, politics, and other miscellaneous things took a preponderant (a new word, that, and I'm not even sure if it's English! (Too lazy to check...)) place in the early PASSCHENDAELE's, as they quickly lost ground and in importance of ANALIXY after PASSCHENDAELE#4. The headlines and the

other things retained or gained in importance from them on.

What else is there to say for the record? Ah yes, PASSCHENDAELE

averaged 14 pages per issue.

And finally, here we come to the most important point: the performance of PASSCHENDAELE. I have mixed feelings, most of which are good. On the one hand, I am VERY happy -- all plugs I received were just plain favourable (note to the pubbers--if you have ANYTHING bad to say about me or PASSCHENDAELE, keep it to yourself!). I think that, from the

plugs fread elegat contral, will hand now has the deputation of being one of the best zines accuma. (Now DILLOMACE WORLD, THE TOROWSE TELEGRAM et al.) Sixty-five subscribers duped within 9 months is a very comfortable thought as well. ... unless Passonable is something like the René Simard Show on OBC--- in the laughs only... finally, my January Poll is encouraging, too.

the laughs only...finally, my January Poll is encouraging, too.
But there's the North America Line Poll-of course, I hope to do well in it, but, frankly, I'll admit that I fear the worst. If you care about me, you'll All mention PASSCH-NDARLE and give it at le at

a 2, eh?

There's another thought-PASSCHENDALLE is considered by most of you as one of the best, alright, but those who think it is the be t are few and far apart...and my original (probably unrealistic, bu certainly natural) goal was to make PASSCHENDALLE a zine comparable to FOL SI FIE or DIPLOMACY DIGEST...(sob) oh well, I've always a id that you can't win them all, but I've found the hard way that it ure

hurts when you finally lose the big one!

happy, the world is happy...for now. I wish to thank everyone for their support, especially those who plugged me, gave advice, or significant for anyone) Randolph Smyth (ta, Randolph, now you're insulted—for the rest of your life, I'll be considered as your protégé, which is a real insult, eh eh eh...), John Leeder, and Mark Berch. (Yeah...even is criticism helped a lot, and in private, he gave me advice; anyway. I know that if he criticises me, it's not because he does not like mehe just hates me...eh eh eh... I seem to be picking on everyone in this issue, and am having a great time. Oh well, Mark, c'est la vie... as a consolation, just look at the way I handled Randolph.)

C. The experience.

weelll...the first thing to do here is, yip, you guessed it, boost my already over-ballooned ego over and over again. (Sorry, guys, ou'l decided to dedicate this an liversary issue to Mr., and am doing.

pretty good job at it...)

The first thing to say is that I now have the equivalent of 8 months of publishing..., yip sirrah? Since I've published regularly (indecently, perhaps, but regularly) two full-fledged regular pos al Diplomacy zines (LA CITADELLE and PASSCHENDAELE) for nine months the time of a woman's pregnancy, what;), then I have an experience re publishing worth 13 months.

It may sound funny, but I prefer publishing to playing. (My playing experience now amounts to about 33 months, which isn't a lot, I'll admitMost experienced players have played about three times as many gares as
I have...which might lead you to question how come I always write
how-to-play articles, and most important, if they are good...well you

should, since I do?)

It's really hard to say how much I've learned in these nine chromological months. A lot of things would have to be covered. (And besides

they wouldn't all boost my ego so much ...)

The main thing. I would say, has been the discovery of an entirely new hobby—believe it or not, publishing is an entirely different hobby then diplomacy. Printing a diplomacy zins, although mucho related to Diplomacy, is an altogether different experience. The second most important thing has been the acquisition of more knowledge on the Diplomby. I now know how the CDO works, and roughly how the IDANA dies. More important yet, I've learned a lot from the players' style just through their player-to-GM correspondence, which might help me a lot in mass future games. (Not that I needed help!) And there are lots a other things, which won't be printed here due to space limitations... (and, yes, i'm begin—

1

ning to wonder if ANNORS is reading this. . .

All in all, 6Ming has been a great expedience as well. (Or else, why do you think I still have game openings???) (And at such a low price?) (Yes; I know that you think it's because I'm incompetent, but the incredible truth is that I like it even if I'm incompetent ...) Publishing and GMing have been VedY exciting ... (I'm a very excitable

And yes, publishing has even improved my ENGLISH; (I do not refer here to my use of slangs, but rather to my syntax, if this has ANY importance!) There's only one thing I haven't learned, though: how to be humble; otherwise, I would have shut up a long time ago!

(But of course, y'all know that!)

Hummm...how about breaking the monitony by printing some games, and then printing the best article printed ever in PASSCHENDAELE (excluding that in PASSCHENDAELErg)??Hummm??

1975R (Fall 1902) THE WAR CONTINUES APPROCIOUSLY. AS AUSTRIA LOSES! Summer 1902: Austrie retreats & Gre-Ion. F Ion-Gre; A Ser S F Ion-Gre; A Tri S A Ser; Austria (Robert Paquin):

A Bud-Rum; A Gal-Sil. A Yor-Nwy; F Nth C A Yor-Nwy; F Nwg S A Yor-Nwy. England (Robert Acheson): France (Trevor Baillie): F Eng-Mid; A Bur-Mar; F Spa(sc) S A Bur-Mar; A Par-Bur; A Por H.

Germany (Shelley Foster): A Den-Nwy; (impossible) A Hol S F Bel; F Bel H;

A Mun-Bur.

Italy (daymond Couture): A Ven-Tus; A Pie-Mar; F Wes-Spa(sc); F Tyn-Lyo.

Russia (Hugh Polley): A War S A Ukr-Gal; A Rum S A Ukr-Gal; A Ukr-Gal;

F Bla S A Rum; F Swe S F StP(nc)-Nwy; F Stp(nc)-Nwy.

Turkey (James Clarke): A Bul S A Gre-Ser; F Aeg-Gre; A Gre-Ser; A Smy-Ank.

Gee...a good way to measure the alliance structure is by counting the number of standoffs and holds; 22 units were unable to more this season, and 1% moves were underlined ...

The deadline for winter 1902 is June 21, 1979. DON'T FORGET TO

INCLUDE SPRING ORDERS AS WELL.

SC Chart, 1902:

Home, Ser, ### (4) Remove one. Home. (3) Even. Austria:

Englandd

France:

Home, Por. Spa. (5) Even.
Home, Bel, Hol, Dan. (6) Build two.
Home, Tun. (4) Even. Germany:

Italya

(6) (5) Russias Home, Rum, Swe. Even.

Turkey: Home, Bul, Gre. (5) Build one. Neutrals: Home, hell, peace and bridge. (0) OUT!

GM Comments: The alliance structure in this game is a bit confused. the North, it looked like GE vs. RF (basing oneself on 1901 press, which one should NEVER do), but G hasn't done anything against either R or E. So we can say that the battle of the North still is strictly between E and R but I expect G to intervene soon.

In the West, G now is the uncontested leader, with 6 centers as compared to a 5-centers F busy with Italy and a 3-centers England very busy with dussia. Germany now seems to have the choice between allying with I and destroying France, allying with Russia to destroy England, allying with England to wipe Russia out of the North or any two combinations?

In the Mediterranean, the situation is confused. Austria could

wall lose everything in the Bilkans And Holy, will be complyed by love to stab maked Italy from Trieste and the Toni m dea. Also, the may in Silesia could be bad news for Germany at America is decided to survive, even if this means stabbing her few friends. On the other hand, Italy will have a hard time having a breakthrough against France. Thus, it is in a very delicate position andeed. In the east, there are only two possibilities: Tunkey and America will probably finish off Austria in the Balkan's in 1903; however, there

State of the California of the State of

ceased to be a power in the Balkans. In conclusion, I do not think Austria will die obut that's her

is a slight possibility that one stabs the other before Austria has

decision. I should think, not mine...

Emeland seill has ambiguous ceders... what cal I say? ? ** 1928Q Fall 1902.

Austria (Oded Klinger): A Bud S A Vie; A Vie S A Tri; A Tri S A Le: F Gre-Ion.

F Louis F Nth Eng: F Nth Eng:

F Nww J A Latte Non (impossible 3): A Mark Non.

F Eng-Lon; A Wal S F Eng-Lon; F Bre-Pie Michard Jarvinen

France (Robert Acheson):

A Spa-Por F Den-Swe; F Bal S F Den-Swa; A Bel H; Germany (Randolph Smyth): A Bur-Par: A MuneTya.

Italy (Brian Johnston) 8

A Ven S A Tyo; A Tyo S AUSTRIAN A Tri;
F Ion-Adr; F Tun-Lon;
F Swe-Muy; A StP S F Swe-Nwy; A Sev-Arm; F Fum-Ela;
A Ukr-Rum; A Gal S A Ukr-Rum. Russia (Jan Jensen):

Turkey (Hugh Polley): A Bul S A Ser; F Con-Ank; F Aeg S AUSTRIAN F (re-Tors A Ser S AUSTRÍAN A Tri.

The English F Nwy may retreat-Nrg, Ska, Bar, OTB. Richard, jour fleet in Norway can't make convoys; besides, an army may never be landed into the Norwegien Sea! Oh yes, the English F Lon ret-Yor Of B. The deadline for Winter 1902/Spring 1903 is June 22, 1979.

COA: RICHARD JARVINEN, 805 A J CHITHAM DR SC Chart, 19028 CORVALLIS, ORE 973 0 Austria: Home, Cra. (4) Brent.

Heli, Lpl, Man, Man. (2) Remove 2, L, or Even depending on the Fall retreat(s). England:

Bre, Mar, Spa, Far, Lon, Por. (5) Build I. Home, Hol, Den, Bal, Swa, Par. (8) Build 3. ((Why do you Frances Germany: always think you have to win, Handelph?) !

Home, Tune (4) Even. talva Home, Bul, Ser. (6) Ever Home, Bul, Ser. (7) Build 1. (6) Even Hussias Turkey:

My comments should be pretty concise. Despite Germany's hell of England can't hope to survive if she continue; submitting ambiguous moves. France isn't really in such a good position either, with in 8 center-Germany breathing in his neck. Besides, Germany now has an excellent position in the West (just as in 1978R), since it has no le strategic penetrations into France while England should never be a problem for him anymore. The only possible flaw that I can see in his position is that he is now at war with three powers (at least judging from the moves): France, Russia, and Italy while it has no strong ally that can do him good in terms of helping him to make oncuests But then, these enemies are so divided that it probably won't matier very much, especially since they're all busy with another enemy themselves. (iet Russia with Austria, Turkey, and England; Italy with Austria and Turkey; France with England. . . .

In the east, the situation is just as clear as in the West. Austria and Turkey are allied against Italy and Russia. I don't think any of the two coalitions has a lead, except in the Mediterranean where Italy is in deep trouble...but the fate of Austria and Turkey also depends on how well Russia will deal with Turkey and on which side will Germany (a very important variable, that one) go...

So much for the obvious. . . (however, unexpected things might occur-

alliance structures might change ...)

STALEMATE IN ITALY ABOUT TO BE BROKEN! ?! 1978S Spring 1902.

Question: Is counterfeit press allowed? Answer: Yip!

Austria (Curtis L. Gibson): F Tri S FRENCH A TuseVan: A SileGal;

A Vie-Bud; A Ser S TURK A Bul-Rum.

England (John Lipscomb): A Lpl-Yor; A Lon-Bra; A Bel S GERMAN A Mum-Bur;

France (Robert Paquin): A Tus-Yan; F For-Mid: A Par-Bra; A Mar-Pie.

Germany (Frank Haika): A Mun-Bur; A Kie-Mun; A Ber-Pru; F HoleNth; A Den-Swe.

Italy (Robert Acheson): A Two-Tri; F Rom-Tus; A Ven S F Rom-Tus; F Tun-Ion.

Russia (Raymond Couture): A Rum-Gal; A War S A Rum-Gal; F Sev-Arm; A Mos-Sev; F Swe-Nwy; F StP(nc) S F Swe-Nwy.

F Smy-Eas; F Con-Aeg; F Ank-Bla; A Gre-Alb; Turkey (John Kelley): A Bul swears vengeance on those who attack its allies (A Bul-Rum)

FRENCH A Tus is ANNIHILATED... The deadline for Fall 1902 is June 22, 1979.

And now, we'll stop printing the games for a while and go back to printing other stuff. (Ya see, this issue really is an ego trip for me-but ya cou'd see that by yarself and the royal "we" I just used in this sentence, and by the paragraph I'm just totally wasting on such a trivial thing. On the other hand, I do ought not to use these boring tactics anymore, do I?)

The Best Of PASSCHENDAELE

Indeed. You may not think PASSCHENDARLE is worth very much, but there have been good articles in it...or so I'm told. This is the reprint of the article which, I feel, was "the best of PASSCHENDAELE". I'm reprinting it for emotional reasons, and for other reasons as well, such as there has been lots a new subscribers since PASSCHENDAELE#2...

Errors Can Pay...

The basic concept behind this article is that woluntary, wall-planned,

errors can pay very often.

Lemme get something clear right away, though: the errors, to be worthwhile, must most of the time not include a loss of center(s)-such errors usually encourage your neighbors, especially the strong ones, to stab you. (Their reasoning will be: well, if he can't hold on to his centers because of his stupid mistakes, then I'd rather have his centers than see that rival get them...) It is also (most of the time) <u>prejecting</u> that such size is not salled missibly the idea weaken your country too much to balance out the profits you get out of the errors). But, as seen later, missing a build may sometimes be profitable.

Most of the time, an error will "pay" if you're in a difficult diplomatic position—you promised a power you'd do something, but you don't want to do it. The "error" will allow you to make it say as though you wanted to do as promised, but made a careless error (for which you'll be excused if the "offended" player really wants to

be your ally) which blew everything up.

Take the following concrete example. Let's say you're Englan and that you have the following position: F Mid, F Eng, F Iri, F the F Swe, and A StP; let's assume France owns Por and has As Pic, Gas and Bur; finally, let's assume the Italian player has F Spa(sc), F Was, F Tyn, F Ion, A Mar, and A Pie. You promised Italy you won'd not stand him out of Por, but at the same time, you promised France that you'd prevent the Italian player from getting it. You're in uch a position as you don't feel you can alienate one of your neighbor. Then, the solution is to order F NAf-For, and leave F Mid unorders F NAf-For will fail (since it's impossible). Italy won't be prevent from grabbing Por and probably won't hold a grudge against you if ou explain why you ordered F NAf-Por; on the other hand, France will an gry but will pardon you quite soon, thinking that you meant F in delor but that you made a careless error. So, the error is profitable it while case.

Another example in which the error would be profitable is when you're given the following situation: you are France, and have the following positions was, A Mar, A Bur, A Spa; you have 5 centers so you have a build. England wants help against Germany, and asks that you build an army in either Par or Bre, to attack Germany more for sefully. You don't really want to engage in a war against Germany, and you even want to get England ws. Germany, and then to build a flee and stab her. One good way to do this is to order: "Build a Fie" Such a build is illegal, and will make England think that you mean either A Par or A Bre. She might then make the fatal mistake of a tacking Germany. you can make arrangements with Germany to standor? your armies of Picardy and Burgundy, so that England will continue to selleve you are anti-German. Then, the winter after, when England h sall its units on the German front, you can use your unused build to build a F Bre and stab England, combining F Bre with F Wes/Mid/Por/Mid/w atever against Lpl and Lon. In this case yet, the error turned out to par off a fairly good dividend...

As I said before, this was originally printed in PASSCHENDARY 2, and his reprinted here for emotional reasons and for the benefit of the later subbers...Old subbers: do not worry. I'm not going to this very offen—at the most, once a year...and I'll NEVER reprint this article...

Omigod, but I forgot to print the press announcements. Tell a what I'll do--print all the press announcements for ALL games in PASSCHENDAFLE together, if I don't forget...just remind me...if you can yell loud enough...

I guess we'll end the page here...efter all, what else would you want me to put at the bottom of this pages...also, I figure that the longer I shut up and just put a filler like this one, the better you will feel...yip, I think about my subbers' feelings...I know you're only HUMAN...

ZINE REVIEWS ZINE REVIEWS ZINE REVIEWS ZINE REVIEWS

As you all suspect, this zine review is subjective, and only reflects my IMPRESSION of the following zines ... I'm not going to go through all my files to evaluate them, since that, after all, you guys are only interested in my opinions, I assume.

Also, the following zine review does NOT include all hobby zines. It only naturally includes the zines I get. Also, zines I have received for only a short period of time are not included: for instance, THE WARMONGER and Greg Costikyan's zine are not included for this reason.

En passant, remember, some time ago I said that ETHYL THE FROG and DOLCHSTOSS had folded? Well, it seems that was a wee little bit premature. I've read somewhere in FILIBUSTER (I think) that ETHYL THE FROG has been revived, while DOLSCHTOSS is still alive, after a

In any case, I'm not going to put in certain stats (except in a few cases) such as the rates and the zinelength. I'm only going to describe their major characteristics -- If you're thinking of subbing to another zine yet, and that you like the characteristics of a given zine, then we or zinelength shouldn't stop you from subscribing.

I hope no publisher takes my comments personnally, or whatever.

Well, here it goes:

DIPLOMACY WORLD (Jerry Jones, 1854 Wagner St., Pasadena, CA91107, US) I never used to think a lot about this zine before I saw it, mainly because I never could get an answer to my numerous letters from the previous editors. But, now that Jerry has taken over, answered my letters, and sent me D#21, I must say I'm quite impressed. This genzine (it carries demo games, mind you) contains tons of good articles on various themes such as ftf, how-to-play, etc. And DW doesn't only have quality-it has quantity too. (40 pages!) DW responds to my preferances for articles, and believe me, it's worth the \$1.25/issue. For now, I say this is the best genzine of the WORLD.

DIPLOMACY DIGEST (Mark L. Berch, 492 Naylor Pl., Alexandria, VA22304, US) I used to think this was the best one, before I saw DW. Now I say it is nearly as good as DW. DD is another genzine, with nothing but high-quality articles. Mark also reprints articles taken from low-circulation zines or defunkt zines -- so if you'd like to know what was done/printed in the earlier years, DD is a must. I recommend this zine to all of you. (The rates are pretty cheap too-\$0.35 for 10 pages)

FOL SI FIE (Randolph Smyth, Apt. 314, 275-3rd St. SE, Medicine Hat, Alta., TlA OG4) Hummm...the only other EXCELLENT zine I can think of. (Again, it's only because I'm strongly prejudiced in favour of articles ... But I do wish Randolph would stop thinking I was the author of the fake PASSCHENDAELE#8, which annovs me no end. (Guess I'll never stop kideding ya, Randolph) Actually, I took FSF as a model, grosso modo, for writing PASSCHENDAELE; therefore, if you like PASSCHENDAELE, I think you're bound to like FSF. There are no game openings to speak of, though, which I feel is also good because it almost has too many games ...

ARRAKIS (Doug Hayward, Box 291, Huntsville, Ont. POA 1KO) This is another zine, and another GM, which I enjoy A LOT and like.

ARRAKIS (continued): This zine is very satermanning lark has letter humour) (especially when you read the exchanges between Doug and Bob Acheson!) and the GMing is, according to me, the best in Canada. Only my prejudice for articles prevents me from ranking ARRAKIS as good as FSF.

RUNESTONE (John Leeder, 2202 Broadview Rd. NW, Calgary, Alta. T28 3H3) I feel that John is a very responsible person, under many aspects when he doesn't have much time, he concentrates on doing a good Ging job, and dumps the articles. But there are articles every three or four months, about variants, GMing and similar good stuff. Although RUNESTONE has lost a lot of quality since the last two years, I still rank it among the top ten zines in North America. Let's not be too harsh on a guy who's fallen in love. (At least he's not like Ran olph Smyth, He fell in love signtaneously, him, while Randolph planes (mathematically, what?) to fall in love within the next four year sorry, dandolph, but I just can't resist the humour. Hope you don't mind, pal.)

BATOCHE (John Leeder, 2202 Broadview Rd. NW, Calgary, Alta. T2N H8) Everyone read the fake PASSCHENDAELE#8, right? Well, I agree that the material in BATOCHE is rather skimpy, but the games are well an and I can only admire any English-Canadian, and applause as loud a can, that tries to give us francophones a French-speaking zine...ad such is the case for John. His French could be better (although it isn't really poor), but my English could, too.

CHEESECAKE (Andy Lischett, 3025 N Daulin Ct., Chicago, IL60618, JE) This is a new, warehouse zine, I find it most entertaining, and the GM has been very sensible up to date. As a matter of fact, this is the warehouse zine I prefer in the world. Lcts of humour.

THE TORONTO TaleGRAM (Bill LaFossa, 2 Swift Dr., #304, Toronto, Oct. M4A 2A2) From what I've seen, TTT is a warehouse zine, but then t is the only warehouse zine (besides CHEESECAKE) I have really enj yed. I think TTT deserves encouragement, especially since Bill seems to be goodwilled (he picked-up rather eagerly 2 orphans...), and up o date, has been a good GM. (But it's really too early to judge, n w)

BROUHAHA (Bob Beardsley, 17 Moryan Rd., Edison, NJC8817, US) This, I feel, is one of the best Ameridan zines...it compares with LDNS. The GM is very personnable (to take over one of Cal White's favourite expressions) and seems to run his games well. He prints a lot of mater all on hobby controversies/debates/news.

LIES, DECEIT, AND NEFARIOUS SCHEMES (Patricia Jones, for adress, see DIPLOMACY WORLD and Jerry Jones.)
The games seem to be well-rune. There are some tid bits such as a bingo game, hobby discussions (eg.: ms vs. trade) and letters to the editor (not too much, though). But, what I really like about LDNS is the humour—it's the best American zine re-humour, I should think, which I feel is very refreshing in the US as there aren't very many of his brand down there...

THE BEHOLDER (John Kelley, PO Box 216, Klickitat, WA98628, USA) sain, I can't say too much about this new zine as I've seen too few issues yet. Although the repro is the shits (but then John has already pleader guilty)

it looks like John puts a lot of effort in his zine. Also, TB has a defining characteristic which sets it apart from all the other zines I have seen--it is D&D oriented. C'mon, D&D fans, give John some much needed support. (ie. \$\$\delta\$ for a sub credit.)

SAINT GEORGE AND THE DRAGON (Bob Sergeant, 3242 Lupine Dr., Indianapolis, IN4624, USA) Although most of you would think this is another D&D oriented zine, it isn't. It contains only Dip. In the three issues I've seen, there has been a pretty addecent how to-play article. Robert is associated with the designing of a new ratings system to be used in DW#22.

RURITANIA (Tony Watson, 201 Minnesota St., LasVegas, Nevada, 89107, USA) This is a SF (Science-Fiction) oriented zine, although it contains a certain number of games. It is mainly because these are Diplomacy related plugs that I can't expand much more on this, but you get the message: If you like SF, this is the zine to sub to.

BRUTUS BULLETIN: defunkt, or what?

DIPPY (Jim Benes, 417 S Stough St., Hinsdale, IL60521, USA) DIPPY contains about 15 well-run games, but watch out! I don't think his games are ratable, as Jim doesn't use Boardman Numbers. (At least, I have seen no indication of it...) DIPPY seems to be a politics-oriented zine, as over thalf the zine talks about American politics. The reproduction isn't famous, Although the Contents ARE OK.

EGGNOG (Konrad Baumeister, 11416 Parkview Lane, Hales Corners, WI53130, USA) There are only 2 zines I know of for sure that talk so much about variants: RUNESTONE and EGGNOG. Another characteristic with EGGNOG is it's astounding regularity: the zine is in the mail 24 hours after the deadline, somewhat like GRAUSTARCK. The games seem to be run fairly well, but there are no game openings. The only thing I didn't really like, if my opinion has any importance, is when Monrad reprinted a letter sent to someone else by Curtis L. Gibson, without Curt's permission. Otherwise, the zine is fairly good.

OW/PD (Larry M. Fond, PO Box 11090, Oakland, CA94611, USA) This is essentially a wargames, but I think it carries Diplomacy games as well. (Actually, I'm pretty sure.) OW/PD contains Third Reich games, Conquistador, and other related wargames. It is the only reliable wargames zine (that has links with Diplomacy) that I know of in North America.

ZEPPELIN (Laurence Gillespie, 23 Allen Dr., Halifax, NS B3M 3G9). I don't feel I can honestly give this zine a fevourable plug. Re-contents, it is pretty good. But I haven't seen an issue, and haven't heard of Laurence, since December 1978. Thus, I think the irregularity of the zine ruins the whole thing...frankly, I think Laurence is dropping out of the hobby, especially since two of my letters were returned with the mention "no such adress", and that Laurence hasn't sent me his COA) The zine would be excellent (especially with its give-away rates) if it was regular.

NON SEQUITUR (Richard Jarvinen, no stable miress for quite a valle) NS falls in pretty much the same category as Ampphalia. Don't get me wrong: Richard Jarvinen is one of the guys I like the most in the hobby. But I haven't seen NS since December 78. However, Richard has an excellent excuse for this—he's been moving three or four times since then and hasn't had the time to print another NS. He probably is sending flyers to the individual players (I don't know), which therefore would mean that he's reliable. I tend to like NS, too: it contains variants, wargames (such as Conquistadori) and many Diplo acygames. I'm looking forward to NS. 9...but hurry!

NUTS, VORTIRGERN, CHANTECLER, and FILIBUSTER (see below) are European zines.

NUTS (Michel Liesnard, Avenue de Tervueren, 415, 1150 Bruxelles, B lgaque)
This is a decent French-speaking zine. It's semi-professionnal, but
yet quite different from DIPLOMACY WORLD. (On yes, there's some Dutch
articles in it as well...) It consists largely of wargames, and articles
on wargames, though it has Diplomacy articles as well. No games are
carried on this zine. If you know Dutch/French, or want to improv
one of these languages, this is the zine to subscribe to, even though
its rates are quite huge for non-Europeans...

VORTIRGERN (Roland Prévost, 16 rue Descombes, 76017, Paris) This sine has ultimately turned-out to be essentially a warehouse zine. It used to have articles, but now its editor doesn't have much spare time. It contains wargames and Diplomacy, as well as some hobby news. For those interested, Roland is the European Boardman Custodian.

CHANTECLER (Francis Bide, Square Salvador Allende, I2, B+200, Cugrie, Belgium) Don't be fooled—this is a bilingual zine. (French essentially, but has mucho English) This zine looks hopeful—(it's a new zine) it's pretty humourous (especially in the French parts), and carrie games. However, there's one flaw—Francis intends to limit his sullist to 60. (And it appears that it's already near that objective In any case, this is the European (excluding England) zine I prefer.

FILTBUSTER (Glyn Palmer, 1, Derby Rd., Hinckley, Leicestershire, Fritain)
Humam...not too bad. I enjoy it...especially because of its "Brit sh
accent". (Indeed...would you expect an English zine to "speak" line
any North American zine???) It consists mainly of letters to the ditor (pretty entertaining at that), games and hobby news. Recommen ed.

Lemme see...that's quite a bit of zines here...although I'll iv: it another try at getting trades from the other English zines, I a pect the above trade/ms list to decrease by at least 2 zines, and maybe 3.

However, I don't know where to reach the other English zines/editors. Any information would be appreciated. (Mark? John?)

Four pages (or nearly) is enough...let's leave it at that and proceed to other miscellaneous stuff.

Cangames 179

well, wouldn't you guess it...yes, dear Yanks, we have a coun erpart to your well-known national DipCons...it's the Cangames.

This year, the Cangames were held in Ottawa, from May 18 to M y 21.

I participated, and when I was finished, I was sort of disappointed. (You'll note that I make heavy uses of "Is" - yech. ... amateurism.)
(And besides, this column isn't likely to be very entertaining, as I'M trying to squeeze as much material as I can inside page...)

Well, Cangames '79 was nothing big...300 participants at the most. There were various tournaments, mostly figurines, but lots of Russian Campaign, Machiavelli, D&D and the rest as well. I participated in

none of these wargames.

Also, there were some orators (all boring) and some films. (One of which I got to see--it was very entertaining.)

But what pissed me off the most (at the beginning of the Con) was that no Diplomacy tournament was scheduled ... so I had to go and see the tournaments organizer and ask him what had happened to them. "There 's noone to run them!" was the immediate answer. Well; as you can imagine, I volunteered to organise as many as 🚉 the demand would

support...so two games were filled.

When these two games started, I scon found out that only the players of Game I were really interested in playing...the other players seemed to be there only to have a good time-they'd always talk about other things than Diplomacy, quit, come back, be reluctant to submit orders on time, etc. As a result, this game had so many irregularities

that I'd rather not talk about it at all.

The other game was pretty good, though. Everybody was very enthusiastic. The game proceeded smoothly and was completed within 32 hours. This I will talk about quite a bit in the future PASSCHENDARLES ... if the records I rec'd are complete, I'll even reprint the results and comment them.

Finally, there's another good aspect to the thing--at that Convention, I met three postal Diplomacy players-Pierre LaBreche Jr., Dave Pengelly,

and Denny Shortliffe.

All in all, I didn't have that much of a bad time at the Convention. But I still wonder if it was worth the \$10 I paid as an entrance fee. ...

NOWIKNOWTHAT WAS APOORLY ORGANIZED COLUMN. . . NONE EDT OT LLIME. . . I 'MNOT THAT STUPID

Now, here's something about some PASSCHENDAELE policies -- every player better pay careful attention to it.

The deadline for this month's see games has been rolled back by (1)one week. Hence, the zine will be sent one week later as well. The main reason for this is the amount of NMRs due to the confusion following the fake PASSCHENDAELE#8. Although I still can't figure out how come most players were sucked in by that fake, I can understand the players' problems... anyway, now there is no problem since there are no MMRs... because the deadline was rolled back one week. But don't expect that from me ever in the future--with my number-system, there's no way a fake will ever cause so much confusion in the future. And it's solely because of that confusion that I rolled back the deadline. The deadline for next season in all games is June 22, 1979.

Concerning telephones -- from now on, I will NOT accept telephoned orders on the deadline day. The reason for this is that I type all adjudications immediately after 3:30 p.m., when I've rec'd the last Friday mail. And for two months in a row, I've been burned by a player who called two hours after I had typed-up the orders. From now on, I will no longer accept orders on

a Friday. Period. Call before, that's all. telephoned (3) Don't you dere send anything to either: Rhal, Maxville, Cat. KOC 1TG or 603, Stanton Residence, in the future. This month, I accepted this correspondence, because of the confusion the fake PASSCHENIAELE had engendered. But this no longer is the case-in the future, ANYTHING sent to any of the above adresses will pay a visit to my fireplace without further consideration.

SOIGUESSTHAT'SITFORTHEMISCELLANEOUSTHINGS...ONTOKOREGAMES...BEFORETEND THEISSUEWITHABOUTTHREEORFOURTONS OF ADDITIONALS HITTYARTICLES. OKOKOKOKOKOK

1979AC (Fall 1901) SITUATION IN THE EAST GOES DOWN THE TUBES AS ALL POWERS EXCEPT ITALY/AUSTRIA STAB EACH OTHER!
Austria (Robert acheson): A Boh S ITALIAN A Tyo-Mun; F Alb-Gre; A Ser S F AlbeGree

England (Bruche Schneier): F Nrg-Bar; F Nth C & Edd-New; A Edd-New Germany (Roy Norton): F Den H; A Kie-Hol; A huh S A Kie-Hol, Italy (Dan MacLellan): A Tyo-Mun; A Ven-Pie; F Ion-Tun-Russia (Robert Paquin): A War-Gal; A Ukr-Rum; F Sey S A Ukr-Rum; F Bot-Swe.

Turkey (John Lipscomb): A Arm-Sey; A Bul-Rum; F Con-Bul(ac)

First of all, sorry for barbling up the English orders -- the tarbled abbreviations were "Nwy". Secondly, a small note to Bruce: sorry, but your two last changes were unsigned -- therefore, for my own protection, I was forced to use your original set of orders, which was the only one

Finally, thanks to Ron Kelly for submitting unused Russian standby orders. The deadline for Winter 1901 is June 22, 1979s

SC Chart, 1901.

Austria:

England:

Home, Ser, Gre. (5) Build two.
Home, Nyv. (4) Buil d one.
Home, Spr. Por. Bal. (6) Build threeld!
Ber. Kie, Mon. Der. Hol. (4) Build one.
Home, Trn. Mun. (5) Build two.
Home, Swe. (5) Build one.
Home, Bul. (4) Build one. France: Germany:

Italya

Russia: Turkeys

1977AB. is delayed. Jan Jensen and Barry C. Hickey have obviously be an confused by the fake PASSCHENDAELE, while Barry and Trevor (I'm no: sure about Travor) have requested a delay. Being an over-generous GM (shuckle), I'm granting the delay. I will send a copy of the last season to at least Jan and Barry to make sure they don't stay confused. The new deadline is June 22, 1979

Actually, this isn't too bad. The fake PASSCHENDAELE#8 only succeeded in provoking the delay of one game out of eleven ...

The All-GM Game. As said in PASSCHENDARLE#9, the first deadline is the next deadline. However, the next deadline in question is June 22, 1979 instead of June 15, 1979. Also, this game will be sent to all players in separate carbon-copy flyers, as suggested by Mark L. Berch. Than, at the end of each year, the entire results will be printed in PAS CHENDAELE along with comments. 1901 will be commented by Mark L. Berch. I'a looking forward to this first demo games

The Foreknowledge Games and the Scotch Chess Games: there wuite a few things to settle here, and which will be done privately.

Russian Campaign: this game has stalled profity much, for the main reason that both Robert and I have been overloaded with so much work lately that it has prevented us (and especially me) from doing ANYTHING.

And now. the press announcements: hem hem...sorry there, guys, for forgetting to print the press announcements along with your respective games...well, here they are: <u> 19780</u>

Paris-London: You should concentrate more on your game and less on your prose.

<u> 1978B</u>: no press.

19785 8

Ross-Ankara: You should be eliminated by 1905.

Rome-Vienna: I take great pleasure in beating you, Curtis. HIGH COMMAND: He's not too verbous, ain't he?

Turkey wants t treacherous Czar eliminated, & Austria agrees he's a major problem, & so some reductn of his southern, least deserved, domain is in order.

Berlin-Vienna: Withdraw from Silesia forthwith if you wish to avoid Anschluss.

Constantinople-Rome: Clod, can't you see my capital is in Con, not Ank? Constantinople-London: We offer you aid in a common cause.

HIGH COMMAND: hum...I'm not sure these two last bits of press belonged to 1978S, but that's whatswritten. 1977AB: No press.

1979AC: No press.

Oh yes, concerning the all-GM game. I have orders on file from Brian Johnston...

FTF vs. POSTAL

In my entire Diplomacy career, I have played in about 6 postal games, 27 ftf games, and GMed well over 10. All in all, when I compare the two modes of play (ie. ftf and postal), I tend to prefer postal by far to ftf. There are many reasons for this.

The main reason why I tend to dislike ftf vis-à-vis postal is that,

starting from the end of the mid-game, the surviving players become annoying. This is not representative of all ftf games, probably, but it's part of my experience as a ftf player. One exemple of annoyance by the other players is that there'll be 2 or 3 players who'll want to quit, and will try to convince the other players to quit playing so that everyone can share in a draw-this obviously is to the disadvantage of the leader, who can always weto such a decision, but there are always social pressures which will make him feel uncomfortable if he does. (For instance, the other players will make him feel like a "sore-winner", or something ridiculously similar.) Another thing that will tend to happen, in my experience, is that the losing players will come with some ridiculous pretentions, such as: "Well, x and y combine their centers, which gives them more than 18 centers, so they share in a draw no matter what everyone else says..." This, I found is particularly annoying eyou may have 15 centers, while x has 8, and y, ll. Obviously, they don't have any right to do what they claim, (especially if x or y is at your total mercy) but yet, they'll be ready to argue for hours, which IS annoying. Another example of this is when the owner of the game starts losing -- well, you probably guessed

which happens their care on berrong and and and weather ressun, the larer

confiscates the game which it amortice

I will admit that constiting similar scar dimes happens in this hobby- for instance, when a sine folds and all the games become stale. But that's rather games, while that in my experience as a itf player, more than 50% of the games have not ended smoothly.

between ftf and postal, which makes me prefer postal diplomacy. In itf Diplomacy, the phayers tend to panic. Le soon as a power gains a 2-centers lead, all other plwers panic and gang-up on him. That's at least my experience in more than 60% of the ftf games I've played. In postal Diplomacy, such panies are not as likely to occur. An ally will that or not stab you depending on HTS interest and not just because you've got a l or 2 centers lead over any other power.

Practically these are the main resome why I tend to prafer postal play. Oh yes, there is a third main reasons why I tend to prafer postal ned, all (but one) of the the fif games I've played were always with the same group of local folks. So what happens is that, once you've won the same, you're premy sure to lose the new loughs of games at veryone will gang-up or you right at the beginning. This doesn't happen very often in postal play-that's probably because of the much larger; ample

of players. It's usually rare enough that you play two games with

Read: I never said I hated ftf. I just said I prefer postal Diplomacy, for the above reasons. These reasons are valid as far as my experience as a ftf player is concerned...it may not be true for a city such as San Francisco, where there are thousands of the players (er...maybe a bit less...), so you can always find different opponents from game to game. But such is not the case for a place like Maxville, where we're lucky when we find 7 players for the whole village! (numbering about 2,000 persons) Such is not the case for Ottawe either, where I know only 5-7 postal players that are villing to play ftf.

TINVITEXOURCOMMENTS ON CHATSHORT ARTICLE ONET FAND POST ALD I PLOMACY....YOU CEXPERIENCEMENT DRASTICALLY DIFFERFROMMINE, AND THEAT 'MSURETHER EADERS WOULD BEINT LRESTED! NHEAR IN CHOOLET LANDREDOINT OF VIEW...I'LL FAYEL.OO/PM 53 ?!

IMPORTANT MISCELLANTES. (Flayers, pay attentions)

Passchendaele standby list. Frank Haika, John Lipscomb (19762).

Robert Acheson', Tony Matson, Barry C. Hickey, Walter M. Black,

Steve Colombo, John Kelley, Blair Cusack, Jan Jensen, and

Brian Johnston. (if your hame is followed by a Boardman number,

it means that you have been asked to submit standby orders for

that game; if your name is followed by a ', it means that you

already have replaced a player and that you won't be used until

the list has been used twice...) Oh yes, I forgot, after

Robert Acheson, Ron Killeen', Ron Kelly...

Passchendaele demo game standby list-Randolph Smyth, John Kelley, Eichard Jarvinen, and Blair Cusack...

As usual, there is nothing to put at the bottom of the page, which weams I have to fill it up with a filler, which pisses me off no end as I have so much material to put into this issue, and that by not I know the issue will go over 2 ounces, because I have so much stuff to put in.

FLASHS FLAYERS, READ THIS:

At present, there are ten regular postal Diplomacy games in PASSCHENDAELE/Antwery. I don't intend to let the games crowd out some of my much-preferred materials...hence, the following policy: I will let the games crowd out to a limited extent some stuff, such as chess and the wargames and the Foreknowledge Variants. At the same time, I will take measures to limit the expansion of games in this zine.

A first such measure is nothing original: increases in gamefees. Right now, there are 4 Diplomacy game openings in PASSCHENDAELE-the "Winter 1900 Variant", the "No-standbys Game". Eylaw, and Friedland. All these will still have a mere \$1.50 gamefee. When they fill-up, in theory, I should have about 14 games going in this zine. (In practice, I don't think that the two first ones will ever fill-up, so this brings down the figure to 12.)

also, I am hereby preating ANOTHER game-opening; an invitational game, consisting of raputed Diplomacy players only. To be eligible, you must have at least 4 Diplomacy game-wins to your credit. (They can be ANY games, not only games You must mention the four game-wins in question when you request registration—I'll look it up, and then decide on whether or not to accept your request depending on the truth of your information.) There again, the gamefee will be: \$1.50.

Here comes the increases, though. After Eylau and Friedland have filled-up (they're postal regular-Diplomacy openings), I will create another game-opening, Gaugameles. But the gamefee will have increased by 30%, to \$2.00. After Gaugameles fills-up, Hohenlinden will be opened. (gamefee jacked-up again, to \$2.50) After Hohenlinden, it will be Iojima. (gamefee: \$3.00) This will bring-up my number of games to something around 15-however, I expect either 1976A or 1976CX or probably both to be completed. (So I should have about 13 games in PASSCHENDAELE/Antwarp by then...) Then, I don't really know what will happen-if I have succeeded in working out a computer program, that will efficiently adjudicate all games, and faster, the gamefee for the other games, ie: Jena, Krondstadt, and Leutzen will remain frozen at \$3.00. If not, I will think of a system limiting the entrance of players into the games (much in Doug Hayward's style) while jacking up the gamefee to \$3.50, \$4.00 and \$5.00 respectively. I don't think I'll have to come to this extreme before at least a year, and maybe never at all, though.

You might be interested in knowing the reasons for this—well, I already said I wanted to limit space. Another variable is time, but it may not count at all depending on what the computer can do. But, also, I don't know what I may be doing in two years from now—then, I should have a job...(and a University degree) or maybe not. In any case, I wan't to avoid having to fold the zine (because there would be too many games to handle) whenever I would suddenly see my spare time reduced by 50-75%...so, with this system, I'll be sure the whole thing will be stabilized and will be an additional guarantee/protection against a fold. It is as much in your interest as in mine; and I don't think I'll fold this zine in ten years (if I go on being as crazy about publishing as I've been in the past), but I'm making

Just one advice--if you planned to join a game here, and care about **, do it now--as you can see, the gamefee rates will be going up in the future...

while still talking about the games, I have decided to make a minor change re-PASSCHENDAELE/Antwarp. From now on, 19730 will be in Antwern, while 1977AT will be in PASSCHENDAELE. This will permit me to save about \$0.50/month (by not having to send Antwern to so many players) -- I know this isn't really very much (about \$6.00/year) but I'd rather see this money in my pockets than just foolishly throw it out the window ...

THAT "SALL FORTHEGAMES "DISCUSSIONAND THOP END BODYMISUNDERS TO WE ANY OF THE COMMENTS

Ms. vs. Trades or ("Oh no! Not again!)

Lots of things have been said about this, especially in other zines (in this zine, most declarations were mere repetitions plus financial calculations), since Mark La Berch discussed them in his superb DIPLOMACY DIGEST. This probably shows Mark is now a pretty well-established publisher, since his comments found echoes throughout the whole hobby ... but this is besides the point.

In this "article", I intend to make my positions clearer, expand them, and finalize them. Let's hope this'll be the last one in this zine, as the topic must be getting pretty boring for you readers.

Ms is a sensible alternative to trades -- it can work just as This doesn't necessarily mean that trades are BAD, though.

Mark was 100% correct when he stated this clearly.

However, Mark did imply that, in many cases, the subscribers were footing the bill for the traders, and cited hypothetical examples to prove his point. That is where I disagreed, perhaps gauchaly at times. And now that my financial calculations are made, I think I can prove that this isn't the case, at least for PASSCHENDAELE.

It was said earlier that, for each pure subscriber, I lost about 24/1ssue; for each player, I lost 84/1ssue; for each Antwern player,

I lost 3le/issue.

Therefore, clearly, since every subscriber was paying less than the issue's PRODUCTION COSTS, he couldn't possibly have footed the bill for the traders! If there was someone footing the bill for

a trader here, it was bound to be me!
Also, I'd like to add that PASSCHENDAELE is not a model, however. Most publishers either break-even or have a surplus. My only point is that, at least in PASSCHENDARLE, the subscriber doesn't foot the 1/11

for any trader.

In addition, we should keep in mind that trades aren't only a matter of . There are other variables to consider -- for instance, the frequency and length of the zine you trade with. (As an aside, Mark already painted that out in DD, to support his argument.) You may trade with a tri-weekly ten-pager (assuming your zine is a monthly eleven-pager) selling for \$0.35/issue (while yours is selling at *0.40/issue) and think you're losing money in the trade. Not so. The difference in frequency probably makes-up for the difference in rates.

Another important variable is the trader's attitudes towards ms/ If Mr. S dislikes ms and accepts only trades, and assuming you like his zine, then you have only two alternatives -- sub, or trade. such cases, I prefer trading (eg.: it will give my zine a wider circulation, and thus, a larger publicity.); others, such as Mark, prefer subbing. That is their strictest privilege.

As a conclusion, I don't object to as at all, even though I have twice as many trades as as. But it won't be a <u>colicy</u> in this zine to <u>celled</u> either as or trades. I'll decide what to do with each zine, on an individual basis, depending on whatever is more convenient. Both ways work almost equally well, and neither way my subbase don't foot the bill.

As an aftermath, I feel slightly uncomfortable that some colleague. (it editors) got slightly emotional about the whole issue. At least, and editor distorted the truth by imputing Mark with things he never said. At least one editor violently broke thes with DIFLOMACY DIGGOD mainly because of Mark's position on ma/trade. The above statement might arise anger with the editors in question, as they know who becy are, but I hope not. (If I wanted to start a feud, I'd name them.) All I'm saying is that such a relatively minor debate was just not worth feuding...

aylar players' list: (a) James Clarks, Robert Paquin, Robert Acheson, and Barry C. Hishey.

(b) undecided: Craig A. Meges, Hayword Couture, Mobert I. Francis, Thom Burnett, If noone aends in 45/answer by June 22, 1979, they'll be removed from the tentatives list.

(c) If the "Winter 1900 Variant" or the "No-Standbys Game" don't fill-up by July 20, 1979. John Kalley and John Lipscomb will be transferred to Sylex or Friedland, depending on whether or not Sylew has filled-up.

Friedland players' list: dobort Acheson, Robert Paquin.

<u>COUTIDA-NAS</u> A page of information will be printed in THA NATIONAL concerning CDC and its working. (Asperully, with some collaboration from John Deeder or Sanuolph Smyth, although I can do it myssiff...)

The problem is with IDA/BA, though. I hear there are elections—who a rucbing? Also if one subscribes/trades with DIPLOMACY WORLD, is he am automatic IDAMA member or not? Finally, I would appreciate it if an IDAMA officer would send me a page describing the IDAMA in some detail. (Cal White? Craig A. deges? Jorry Jones? Bob Hartwig? Bob Sergeant?)

sould againt appreciate it if I got an answer ASAP, before June 12 1972 and 1979 preferably ...

PUBLISHING

I'm not really an authority on publishing-eighteen months is not an awful low, as compared to Handolph Smyth's 4+ years, John Leeder's 7- years, and John Boardman's lo years. It is possible, however, to give you info on how PASSCHENDARLE is printed, and to give you some places of common sense advice...

Most articles in PASSCHENDARIE are now written one day prior to printing, on the average. Since, between then and printing, I have time to think about them and make modifications, it theoretically gives enough time for improvements here and there. However, I usually think about what to write about one week prior to writing, which leaves additional time for bettering the stuff etc.

Articles, nowever, as you may well have already noticed, aren't FARHCHANDANIAL's unique feature. There are the headlines, the games, and the introduction. The introduction to an issue is ALWAYS prepared 30 days in advance, because it is 100% sure that nothing will change between then and the deadline. The headlines usually are prepared progressively during the month, as news come in. However, the games are ALWAYS adjudicated on the deadline day, after the last postal delivery, as I don't like to have to re-do them should someone send in a change of orders on the deadline day, which invariably happens for one game or another.

Then, efter the adjudications have been done. I reproduce staple collete advers and wail everything at once. This elvays means I won't go to bed that night. Which pidads off my distlications etc. as it takes me approximately 14 hours to do the adjudications etc. and that the last mail dollver y occurs as 3:30-4:00 p.m. But I can easily last lie in the sack at 4:00 p.m. But I can easily lists lie in the sack at 4:00 p.m. the day elter, which fit is played Ar/siriring off no pine. By hells no nakes of Sunder for the

ានប្រជាពលរដ្ឋមាន សំខែវិស ប្រជាពលរដ្ឋមាន បានប្រើបានស្រាស់ សំខេង ខ្លាំង សំខេង សំខែវិស សំខែវិស សំខេង បានប្រជាពលរដ សេសាស្រាស់ សំខេង ទ

As for some acrice on publishing, by personal philosoper is instituted from the end result really counts. There are some helpful states thought which a publish say at

als will accept or reject lit's entirely tig business.

Theoretically, you don't need AW Diplemparioned to elect publishing a sine. An example of this that more involvent my mind is Gal. Addison the only had four months of playing superioned before he started publishing JaNUS with John Cross. And I assure you, he did a sine job, for two years. Then, JaNUS folded, and Gal took over the JaNUS games into his new, decent, sine, EGE. Despise all controversies, a trink by did a fair job with his sine, but that's only my personal opinion, and you're free to blink otherwise...

Do it sou continue of the continue of the constraint of the constraint of the continue of the

you start printing your own sine

One thing that really is likely so hely you as to write articles for about one year in a given rine falthough there are advantages in writing in many rines at once) prior to starting publishings this has two advantages. If you wish to look a relation in your fature rine, it as good to say writing articles to the new to real it you like it but, nore important, these erstables have be the best publicity you can get. If they're good, that is, feethe will resemble your name and will then sub to your rine. The articles you write newd not be falloward related they're often much more afficient if they're remaindure.

Another thing: before you shart publishing, be sure you have at Agash 25 hours spare time around every deadline - this is a strict.

over-optimistic, minimum for printing a sine regularly.

Also, be certain you're aske fibercially before charting a massion is a good emergency fund to have. Read you don't need to have the money before starting a mine-you may rely on your parents, your wife, friends, another publisher for the money, or whatever. Or, if you have collaterals and are really "hot" about publishing now, the bank on the other side of the street will always be there. But be sure your source of funds is religible; if you're not sure of this, ther have

the morey or den't start publishing at all. Similarly be sure of your supplies—if you don't have a duplicator or supplies yourself than rely on more than one alternative. It is not infrequent that a zine folds just because the subsoil facilities were sout down during the summer or jest as a new sainoil policy. When I started publishing had all the following alternatives:

(1) Handelph Smyth's duplicator

(3) the University facilities

(1.) purchasing Don Wileman's duplicator of purchasing a duplicator at any store.

started publishing in September 1978. By December 1978, it

(1) Asnoolph Sayth moved west.

(3) Cacige Farkanya bad displad out of the hobby.

(3) the University wasn't willing to let me use her facilities, unless 1'd pay a dime per page.

(b) Don Wileman's duplicator's overall costs would come up too high

is a positive one duplicates

What gap print is gar business, as long as the market is there to support your ideas. You may want to print a warehouse zine; you may prefer to print either a gonzine or generate. All these alternatives are by definition "correct".

Mote that publishing and Ching the less deforent things. Concretity at its petter that you have seen SMing experience before starting a gameraine, but not obsciplely necessary. The most sensible things to do it to acquire experience (prior to atarting the zine) as a guest the or as a for CM even though for CMing. I've found, is quite different from postal Oking, as thous.

Test that, while is the publicating/CMing turiness, is is a good

rest that while is the publicating/Ofing business is as a good torny to show your bases and imperatelyly. For instance, Navel print southing without the action's written permission-duing charwise first pror that a confidential about is the best example of pror that a simple duing officialions, be consistently impacted for instance, if you don't accept unsigned orders from one guy, wall don't accept fines of the guy living next done.

That will be all for now. Note that this article doesn't claim to be genial, or even conclain. It was only designed to provide some the of the fit, has the fathers problement by composite from the service particle periods and fathers.

CARCOLINATE CATTING CONTINUE CONTINUE CHART POORTING CREAMON CARARTICLES ... WIT DONE ANY DARGARY AS AN ASTURY, IJUST REALT VEDTHIS INSUREREAKEMY PARECARD AS LE TRACES ... THIS OR ALTELY HAS THE REST THE ...

TALKING ABOUT OFFICE ANDS

desically, this hobby graw and prospered from the "invasion" by other groups, such as the chass hobby, the vargemes, Science-Flotion sic. (The SF Fans came in first; the choss fans, second, etc.)

Still today, we can see the influences of these various invasions, int by looking at the general tastes of the public. How do we "look" at these tastes? Well, that's easy enough-you just pick-up all the present Diplomacy zines, and look at their contents. These contents have to reflect the readers' tastes, at least theoretically, or there wouldn't be readers.

Today, the predominant theme-taste (after Diplomacy, of course))
is the wargames, I would say. I would also add that the wargames including some na ctiations are note popular than others, such as Machiavilli. The English Civil car, and the dise and Decline of the Intia Relation.
The zines that reflect this taste most, I would add, are CV/FD,
BUN SEQUITUR, and even CAMELEON A BINCOULAIRES. There are other zines that carry one to four wargames, such as FUE SI FIE and even Possumes.

Junion although Diplomacy remains the crushingly predominant game.

Another important taste is the excitations I'd even say that they are

inother important taste is the variants of I'd even say that they are at least as important, if not more (popularity wise) than the warsame: in this hobby. After all, RUMESIONA, NON SEQUITUR, CAMELRON A BINOCULAIRES, LA CITADELLE, PASSCHENDAFLE: FOR ST FIF. CHANTECLER. VORTIRGEN etc. ell talk to some extent of small or proced manifest organism in the two first and two less oness.

Science-Fiction and chars are also one of the public's tastes, but only far behind the other general bastes. I know only of one zine that talks to any extent about SF, and it's HURITANIA. Only a few zines whisper about chass, such as CAB and many French-speaking zines (yes, Passinable too; but it less than thispers about chass, sine for the deficient in the control of the deficient in secondary bastes, at least in sec hobby.

Sut, all these remain secondary tastes, at least in gar hobby. Diplomacy is more crushingly productional than ever. Maybe you'd like to know, as a new/future pubber, that the more specific, Diplomacy related testes are: (on yes, orank I forgot another general testes DAD; but I only know of one sine, The Buddhall, that mentions it is out hobox...?

-authoritarionisms it was count incredible, but those grapplayers was like this. By fauthoratorionisms, bean editors associated with controversies, feuds, thraces and villifications. Otherwise, sky would they have substanute; sub lists right now? Hany players like authoritarian personalities.

-scentificity: no. I don't seen religion by this, I only mean "devient", and even that term is considered priorative. Briefly, what I mean by this is "personal bouch". There are lots aline having lets such "personal touch", where the lots her no received a precising to an existence. The DEFINATION of the personal south the second data yes, I try to mess bildividualistic with the personal touch. Another sine that pops is now mind is EGB, which was very complar in no time (although we must consider the editor was already well-known when he started it.) because of this personal touch.

-warehouse sines on the other hand, many players prefer a silent GM and only-games-zines. DIPPL is a good example of this, I feel at the direct opposite, he genzines and the games; espect lightmour and how-to-play articles and other Dip-related articles. If that wasn't so, why do you think DIPLOMACY WORLD had over 500 ubbers' long was short sines, there. I don't know. The number of short sines needs to be predominant in the US, while the number of long sines is had and shoulders obove competition in Canada. In the other hami, the largest sine in the US, Male has the largest sub list.

-can where are millions of other characteristics that make one zine different from the others. The main point here is directed.

all new (although I'm rapher new mysmlf) and potential subscriber to me vour zines according to what you like; in all likelihood, there will always be over 20 subscribers ready to like your zine administration to always

well, that govers pretty much all the testes in the body of the somewhere, there's a zine reflecting at least one person's thatten should it be a gentine, a varehouse sine, or whatever,

PRODUCTING A ZINE,

This covers two espects: the repro methods, and the teem producwing the sine

Starting with the laster repect, let me say that there are verying policies of producing a sine. Today, the most "popular' mode is "one may teams" such as PASCHAMDAKAK (what? for lastly expected me not to sention it??) For St PIA, HUNESTONE and numerous other lines.

However, there are sensible alternatives to "one-man-teams". There has been present since in the past one still bedon they are pure and is and CHM GRAND SALIS, and I'm sure there are lots in the US. loday, Admit's involves comé form is collaboration between David Head and long Harword; more obvious is the new French speaking zine which Figure Caureons and I are going to produce together. Tosse teams to consist of lauband/wife, father/son or

brothskibrother teams, but not always. Com GRANO SALTE is the best example I can total of -four Dip-players simily decided to join their

efforts together to produce a since

And finally, there's the dinements trend described earlier. Most are seculible solutions, and I'm only mentioning this to give ideas to any potential publisher there might be in the crowi

shy are "more-than-one-man bears) sensible? Well, first of all, the coats pur capita are obviously the if four persons share a \$200 duplicator, the cost por capits will be \$50. This is a great advantage over one con lines, where the edutor will have to support the winter walls. Inother covertage is the share of worldabour-instead of spondies can 30 hours to produce one issue, as is the case for many one man wides, each one of every four editors will only have to spend something like a hours, which is a difference. Snother advantage in the pool of ideas all so, a zine is better in it has Dip-related acticles. only a philosophy but nobody will see shut me up well think this philosoph is just or good as injone's else. (Lines is held as entropy of the conting that is as entropy of the conting that you have been such to be the conting that the likelihood of hering articles is multiplied by by seconding to me, this is enother ndvantage. Finally emother, VER important exvantage is that it gives a zine sore reliability in one men sines. if the editor quits, overything goes down the tubes. Not so for the 2-3-4 co-2ditors-zines: if one gay quits, the other three are still there to continue the pork, and look for enother partner if they wish.

I don't really intend to discuss the repro methods....except to say that there are three main methods: mimeo, offset, and ditto. My can philosophy is that the repre method you use should go with your zine. For instance, if you have a "personal touch" zine. thus by all means use ditto, which is more associated to informalism then all other method.

and the Land reported and tender that we have you govern that they're much more associated to professionalism than the first one. I think that offset is come much on the same principle than photocopies, while that minec uses professional presses, widen gives

The even more professional Mine. (eg MANLOWACK WORLD)

Coupled with this is the protection mathods that some sines

mentake a look at DIPLOMACK Ploase. The seemingly adventage of this is that it saves space (is eval while still retaining a professional

abaracters.

Personnally, I prefer ditto sines, as I firmly believe that the bobby is an hobby for anathurs and not for professionals . . but this as only a metter of personal preferences, and I don't mind at all other since But I would NEVLE reproduce PASSOMENDARLE by any wakes magns than ditto, because & have a unplicator and book I don't involve to throw it aways - (what a silly reaches will bell it don't involve will both it don't involve will be a lifetime sub to sell will be sell as a sell of the sell will be sell to sell rather than throw it down the tubes !)

and a californithm placety communication is also not not a comparable of the early and communication of

Here's another sketchy, skimpy, or sharever you like er-scatteles

LUMBALISM YSS COMPREY AZISM

First of all cote that the terms "liberalise" and conservation are protex broad torus, are actually protty hard to software area

cially when applied to our nobby.

Generally, "conservation" is a term that can be used to define "autocracy". "the Wildens the game attitude", "the saidor conservation aims attitude", "the Wildens the game attitude", "the can of the principle of "all rigidity" area (the into "rows at terms at the way and the principle of "all rigidity" area (the into "rows at terms at the way at the principle of "all rigidity" area (the into "rows at the way at the way at the conservation of the principle of the conservation of the principle between the conservations and the conservation of or Canada, or in Britain, or to accompanion the tipe of be. Send. the finds continues "Liberals" and "conservations" in the OE and well accommend on the other hand, a "Theoret" funds to be associated with "companions.

constant "the players one the gene extitude". "The submer has a well to the the submer has a well to the the submer and ecopyration at the types. Heat they allter to be more "Liberel" nemouses.

However, these are ever caparalized beres. For instance, a 14 migne for constituent or farmatical for sufficient by stopic for its

the other characteristics on MC aper to her Hence, John 1 - beshare our be equaliered a "concervative", since the considerative always refused to savest the TCs members list for elec-toral ourposes; also, a certain "Buday Tetrick" can also be considered a "conservative" since it is pratty contain he was very partiel as i had The "if they don't like it , they can always leave attitude". We seem, a certain ben Lafofka was in favour of Gi inflaxibility towards lessanssets which does make him a "conservative" but still be dusen't rave the other characteristics.

Nov, since everything has been defined (777), here we dome to the eals thrust of this article. First of all, I am NOI saying that one or the other is good/bed. However, "conservation" is directly one unadjevocally (I den't think this is a word, actually) opposits to my den philosophy of kaplomacy. As a result, I will to tend with to amplicade/play in a sine where the ON is "conscreative".

My reasons are fairly simple- i just don't thing that a the

should act repressively towards his subscribers/players. If a player telephones 5 minutes after the deadline time, for instance, and that the GM hasn't done the adjudications. I think it to be of rather poor ethics not to accept the orders, as it's no skin off the GM's teethed realize that this acceptance might develop into your grapes (for instance, just after the phone, the GM might do his adjudications continued to the receive another phone call from another player vanishes to the consider to be fair, as every player who sends or telephones cropped in them taking his chances, without having any player more favoured than the other. But I agree that there are arguments against my position. Land the example I chose was just no good at all, darn its)

Similar's there has been SNs who disregarded comments and just did their thing with that abtitude in wind. it doesn't strike me as fair at all. That is why I would never enter a game if I knew the GM was a "conservative". I firmly believe that the players, who pay most of the costs, should have some in the GM's eyes. and I don't think any of you disagree.

GBE; ALLARTICLES HAVENOVES EVELAS FUDO CARLETINERHALTILY, ONLYON CHORATOGO....

DOLES YOUR DEST

Generally speaking, when one enters a game, it is to win. Of course the first thing to say it is the page, as hard as you can to sin'. But

Well, if this happens one thing NUT to Co is to have a neurosis. Seriously, if all hopes for a win have vanished, the player should extent his efforts towards a new goals the draw. If you can't beat as, join 'em, Contrary to many opinions, there is nothing wrong with a draw if you can't do any better.

Then if you see you can't get a draw, then I think it is a good idea to have an "A'll take second or third" attitude. This often

implies you will have to puppet for exother player.

it is very sensible to puppet to achieve a certain goal if you can't attain it by yourself. For instance, take the example where you've bean reduced to four centers, and that the three other powers have 9 centers each. You're in such a tactical position that you are about to be defeated totally by any one of them...

Then, it is very sensible to offer to puppet...in such cases puppethood has a LOT of advantages. Primerity, it will nost often permit you to bURVIVE. Secondly, if the dominant power accepts your conditions, (eg. You'll get me to second place before grabbing your lith center, and in return I'll do everything I can to help you grab that lith center, and the centers before that.) you've improved your chances of getting a much better standing in the game. (What could a centers power do to get to second place when all the other powers have 9 centers? Usually, not very much.)

Hovever, you must be careful as to when, and which power, you'll offer by to puppet. The first factor is that your offer MUST be sincere, and that it be Ballevable. Here's a rigiculous example where a puppethood offer would just seem IMCREMINERS If center-dussis offer a leaster Italy to puppet for her in return for the place. They you got the picture. You can't offer to puppet when my have the upper

hand. You MUST always offer to pupper for a greater power.

The second factor is that you must evaluate everyona's intentions before offering to puplet. For instance, if Germany and England pangup on France, and that France offers to puplet for Advance the effect of the puppethood proposal will be greatly distributed instand may accept the puppethood proposal, and then betray drance. After all, both England and Germany were after France for could accept a und, at such a stage, it is not likely that they'll HEALLY accept a puppethood proposal them—they'll more likely grab a couple of centers

before finally accepting the puppethoods

Another factor is that you must be prepared to be subjugated if you accept to become a puppet. No power, whenever competent, will ever accept to lose control over her puppet—if she can't keep control, she'll more likely stab to re-establish control. So be prepared, whenever you offer to puppet, to be at the mercy of your protector within one gameyear in most cases. This isn't really a disadvantage if you plan to keep your and of the bargain—it's only a problem when you betray and suffer the retaliation— whee, the dominant power will be able to crush you at her whim—but this isn't really a disadvantage—when you offer so puppet, by definition, it's because you're too weak to do well by jourself. The dominant power is usually your only chance of survival—so, if the dominant power reabs for no reason, you lost notaing.

uon't be alraid to accept puppet proposals when you've going down the tubes. It's your last chance, even if the proposal comes from your agressor. In any case, I don't see any percentage whatsoever in stabbing a puppet (obsdient, that is) because his units are in practice, mine. So, accepting a puppet proposal from your origin. agressor, when you're "rinished" anyway, has actuing but advantages.

In the other hand, when you're the dopinant power, don't be straid to make puppet proposals. (By this, I mean proposing victims to lecture your puppets.) This will always enhance your chances at a win. After all, a small puppet will usually be basically helpful in that he often holds key positions that you will occupy thanks to him. I come outpets' units are additional, bonus units, really, since, in the try, they'll do whatever you ask them to. The only problem is to kve, them well under your grip.

This is fairly easy when you have the units. For instance, take a 13 centers/units Turkey as a protector of a 4 units Italy.

All you have to do to keep Italy under your control is occupy a few key positions, such as Naples, and lock-up solidly your own borders to prevent an Italian stab. The next logical step is to send a couple more fleats in the Lonian and the Tyrrhenian. Note that these units will also be very useful in supporting Italy towards.

The wall, would remain the few for the weather the training

I guess a basic thing to do prior to making a pupperhood proposal is to gain a rejutation of reliability in the tarry stages of the game, and this vorks both weys. If the dominant power has the reputation of a backstabber, no country will ever went to pupper for it. On the other hand, if you miss moves one season per year, the dominant govers will MUCH prefer to conquer you and get rid of you rather constantly have to vory about an unraliable pupper. The makes what its potential odvantages are

WILL, THAT 'S ALL FOR PARIS CHAND AS LONG LO. I HUD LAUVALULUK SOLT, YES ACKA LIKA AFK BERCHETAL

results first, and then read the boxclines, and then put the issue to the drawer, and then read the boxclines, and then put the issue to the drawer, and then send the continuous bit by bit.

12 47 Gell-1000 (

PASSOREMULES price: 60 missrence monutes for over 3d pages.
Sub accounts
Send money pleases ______

MARRI Standby requests Said po management

Check your subsects number.

. Property

* 4)₁(%)

garry and Pat Jones. 1854 Wagner St., Pasadena, CA91107 USA

RSOO

