THE BAVARIAN ILLUMINUTTI

3 December 1971

Sigh: another WILE 'N' WORRY, dedicated to the memory, but no longer the games, of Dan Brannan's WILD 'N WOOLY. The game fee is \$4.00 (\$3.00 if you are already in 1971BF or if you are a member of the NFFF Games Bureau Diplomacy Division or if you are a member of the IFW or if you are a memther of the Diplomacy Association),

"Yeah, I know it's not much, but we got it from John Beshara for only 9500.

TRETK

Subscriptions are 10/\$1. Back issues are 5c each in lots of 2 or more, and 3c

each in lots of 5 or more (available, 1-27). Stand-by positions are available under the provisions of House-Rule 9. This is Pandemonium Publication #428 Edited and published by Rod Walker, 5058 Hawley Blvd., SanDiego CA 92116: telephone, (714) 282-1921; member, NFFFGB, NFFFGBDD, IFW, IFWDS, DA.

A NEW GAME OPENS!
Yes, indeedy, WILE 'N' WORRY is going to have a game open. The game fee is indicated above. The game is open to any player, and I hope to obtain a mixture of experienced and less experienced players. To register, please sent game fee and a list of countries in order of preference. If I receive a game fee and no preference list, I will assume you don't care what you get and you will then get what's left over. Until a Boardman Number is essigned, this game is known as W-2.

TOTIES TO SUPPORT EVERYONE IN SIGHT: TURKEY STARS NORTH Winter 1902: As noted in NEW ALBION 2, ENGLAND (R) F Eng-Wal, ITALY (R) F Ton-Mor, and RUSSIA (R) F Swe-Edi (imp) /a/, built A Mos.

Scripe 1903: There was a typo error last issue, in that the ITALIAN order A Ven-Avr failed and should have been underlined. None of the players railed this error to my attention before the publication of WOZ orders. Handver, several did afterwards, all of them indicating that they understood it was attention. Although the criterion of House-Rule 1 is not met, the error is corrected anyway.

AUSTRIA (Key): F Alb-Adr, A Ser S F Gre, A Vie-Tyr S by A Tri, F Gre S TORKTSH'F Ton.

S TORKTSH'F Ton.

S TORKTSH'F Ton.

PRANUS (Bastnley): F Wal-Lon, F Swe-Ska, A Yor-Bel C by F Nth.

PRANUS (Bastnley): F Eng S ENGLISH A Yor-Bel, F Mid-Bre, F Mar H, A Gas-Bur S by A Par.

GERMANY (Goldstein): F Bal S A Den, A Den S ENGLISH F Swe (otm), A Sil'S A Pru' A Pru S RUSSIAN A War, A Bur-Mun, F Bel S FEENCH F Eng /d/

/Hol, Pic, ob/; F Adr-Ion S by F Tun (F Adr /d//Apu, ob/), A Rom-Ven, A Ven-Tyr. /War.

RUSSIA (Naus): F Nwy-Swe, A Mos S A War, A Fin-StP, A Lvn-Pru S by A TURKEY (Thomson): F Ion S AUSTRIAN F Alb-Adr, F Ace-Con, A Smy-Arm, A

FALL 1903 ORDERS are due Thursday, 30 December 1971.

"When I submitted my victory statement for game JOHN McCALLUM writes: 1966C on stencil I had two objects in view - 1. To obviate the necessity of having this screed typed twice, once by me and once by you, and 2. To ensure that the editor keep his hands off it. Such vanity has brought its just desserts, of course. As is well known, what every writer needs most, next to having something to say and the ability to say it, is a good editor (this occurs at all levels of writing; for an example of a far higher level than Diplomacy drivel read any of the better reviews of a book just published, showing Ezra Pound's editorial contributions to Eliot's The Wasteland). Anyhow, dispensing with an editor I dispensed with my only safeguard and fell into error. In game 1966C both Bob Ward and Bob Cline played. I contrived to mix them up in hopeless fashion. In the paragraph beginning near the middle of page 3 of WILE 'N' WORRY 27, first line, "Bob Ward" should be replaced by "Bob Cline". Similarly, in the 7th line from the end of the same paragraph, "Bob Ward" is used where it should be "Bob Cline". The two other references, one to Ward and one to Cline, in the paragraph, are correct as they stand. My apologies to both Bobs. [In all fairness, John, I must confess that I would not have caught your error—I believe—had I typed your statement. I will, however, remind you of this (cackle!) the next time you send me something to be printed but not edited.]

CHARLES WELLS writes: "I was fascinated to read John's account of 1966C. There are a couple of comments I would like to make. It seems to me reasonable that a Triple Western Alliance, if it lasts long enough, has as its natural conclusion a war between France and England on the one side and Germany and Turkey on the other (I mean F & E are allies, and G& T are allies). How this war comes out depends on many factors. Germany is in the most unsuitable position, but I can't imagine any other alliance that is any better for Germany.

"The result I mentioned won't happen if Turkey and Russia ally solidly and stay allied. Then England won't make much progress and will be the weak sister of the 3-way alliance. I think in saying all this I am not disagree-

ing with John.

"The other comment is specifically about 1966C. I (France) had thought I was watching a fascinating game of balance of power being played master-fully by John McCallum (England). He did not aid Germany against me, but also did not attack Cormany, except once when Germany made some successful gains in my home territory—that was when he took Denmark. After that I managed to regain my home territory while Germany was otherwise occupied. Also I assumed that in the future I would not be able to get McCallum to side positively with me against Germany unless France got in such disarray that it looked like it would collapse. So I deliberately undermanned the home front, putting an excessive number of forces into the Mediterranean. The result was that Germany would occasionally get past my frontiers, I would via desperate defense push him back, he would get past again, etc. But I never got McCallum to attack Germany again.

"Of course, according to what John said in W&W 27, the reason he took Denmark in 1910 is not how clear to him. It could be that I completely misread his intentions, that he was not so easily to be induced to attack Ger-

many to save the balance of power."

[I WILL FINISH CHARLES' LETTER NEXT ISSUE,]